	1			
Before	the		TABLE OF CONTENTS	
COPYRIGHT ROY		WITN		T RECROSS
Washingto	n, D.C.	l)	Galaz	
	_	_	r. MacLean 9/41	
	:		c. Boydston 12	
IN THE MATTER OF:	*	By Ma	r. Olaniran	29
	:Docket No.	_		
Phase II Distribution	:2012-6	1	Galaz	
of the 2004-2009	:		r. Boydston 83 129/	297
Cable Royalty Funds	:CRB CD :2004-09	_	r. MacLean 127/193	
	:(Phase II)		r. Olaniran 276	
	. (Filabe 11)		H-I-B-I-T-S	MADY DEC
	- <u>·</u>	Exhil	oits Document	MARK REC
IN THE MATTER OF:	•	SDC.	TROL - 1000 Carallina Claim	4
217 2170 1212 2217 427	:Docket No.	601	IPG's 1999 Satellite Claim	4
Phase II Distribution	:2012-7	603	Satellite Claims IPG's Cable Claims	4
of the 1999-2009	:			
Satellite Royalty Funds	:CRB SD	606	IPG's Representation Agreement	4
	:1999-2009		with IWV Media	4
	:(Phase II)	607	· · · · ·	4
	_:	600	Salem Baptist Church IPG's Mandate Agreement with	4
Volume 5		608		4
Monday,		609	Paradigm Pictures Corporation Collection of IPG's Mandate	4
December 15, 20	14	609		4
		1	Agreements with Billy	
Room LM-403		611	Graham Evangelical Association Declaration of George Grange	4
Madison Buildin		611		4
Library of Cong		012	Declaration Filed by It Is	4
101 Independenc	e Avenue, SE		Written, Inc.	
Washington, DC		613	•	4
m		913	Search for Adventis Media Center	**
The above-entitled ma			Productions	
hearing, pursuant to notice	, at 9:07 a.m.	616	•	5
BEDODE BUT HONODARI B	IIZANNA W DADNEE	910	Chart of WCCI's Organizational Structure	5
BEFORE: THE HONORABLE S THE HONORABLE J	UZANNE M. BARNETT	618		5
	AVID R. STRICKLER	910	of Fictitious Name "Benny Hinn	3
Copyright Royal			Ministries"	
	2			
APPEARANCES:			Excerpt from IPG's Written	
THE TOURNIACED:		619		5
		619	Direct Statement in the 2000	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi			Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings	
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr	oup:		Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES	oup:		Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings	
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr	oup:		Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that	
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES	oup: Q. LP	622	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L	oup: Q. LP nue	622 624	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave	oup: Q. LP nue	622	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90	oup: Q. LP nue	622 624	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90	oup: Q. LP nue 024	622 624 625	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request	5
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996	oup: Q. LP nue 024	622 624	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery	5 6
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional	622 624 625 626	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements	5 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford	5 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants:	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal	5 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford	5 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH,	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal	5 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman	622 624 625 626 627 629 630	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal	5 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal	5 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-	5 6 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10
On Behalf of the Worldwi d/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER,	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IFG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of	5 6 6 6 6 6
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker,	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Fillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street,	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IFG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IFG-	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703	oup: Q. Lip nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Fillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200	oup: Q. Lip nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IFG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IFG-	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703	oup: Q. Lip nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains Mational Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants Correspondence from IPG-	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Fillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street,; Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG- Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG- Represented Claimants Correspondence from IPG- Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Fillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IFG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IFG-Represented Claimants IFG Agreement Willen TipG Agreement Willen Testimony from 2000 and 2003	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street,; Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion of America:	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ. LLP NW 36	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Declaration of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG- Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG- Represented Claimants Correspondence from IPG- Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 10 11 11
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 123	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68 71	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen Testimony from 2000 and 2003 Preliminary Hearing	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 10 11 11 11
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion of America: GREGORY O. CLANIRAL LUCY HOLMES PLOVNI	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36 Picture Association N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68 71	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IFG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IFG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IFG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IFG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IFG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IFG-Represented Claimants IFG Agreement Willen TipG Agreement Willen Testimony from 2000 and 2003	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 11 11 11 11
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Great BRIAN BOYDSTON, ESPick & Boydston, L. 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Fillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion of America: GREGORY O. OLANIRAL LUCY HOLMES PLOVNIKIMBERLY NGUYEN, E	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36 Picture Association N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68 71 72 75 76	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen Testimony from 2000 and 2003 Preliminary Hearing	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 11 11 11 7 7 7
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street,; Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion of America: GREGORY O. CLANIRA LUCY HOLMES PLOVNI KIMBERLY NGUYEN, E Mitchell Silberber	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36 Picture Association N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68 71	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen Testimony from 2000 and 2003 Preliminary Hearing	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 11 11 11 11
On Behalf of the Worldwidd/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street, 1233 20th Street, 1233 20th Street, 1245 20th Street, 1253 20th Street, 1265 20th Street, 1275 20th Street, 12	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36 Picture Association N, ESQ. CK, ESQ.	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68 71	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen Testimony from 2000 and 2003 Preliminary Hearing	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 10 11 11 11 7 7 7 7
On Behalf of the Worldwid/b/a Independent Producers Gr BRIAN BOYDSTON, ES Pick & Boydston, L 10786 Le Conte Ave Los Angeles, CA 90 (213) 624-1996 On Behalf of the Settlin Claimants: MATTHEW J. MacLEAN CLIFFORD HARRINGTO VICTORIA N. LYNCH, Pillsbury Winthrop 2300 N Street, NW Washington, DC 200 (202) 663-8000 ARNOLD P. LUTZKER, BEN STERNBERG, ESQ Lutzker & Lutzker, 1233 20th Street,; Suite 703 Washington, DC 200 (202) 408-7600 On Behalf of the Motion of America: GREGORY O. CLANIRA LUCY HOLMES PLOVNI KIMBERLY NGUYEN, E Mitchell Silberber	oup: Q. LP nue 024 g Devotional , ESQ. N, ESQ. ESQ. Shaw Pittman 37-1122 ESQ LLP NW 36 Picture Association N, ESQ. CK, ESQ. G& Knupp LLP	622 624 625 626 627 629 630 631 IPG 51 64 65 66 67 68 71 72 75 76 77	Direct Statement in the 2000 and 2003 Cable Proceedings Acknowledgment of Representation from Great Plains National Instructional Library Excerpt from Spreadsheet that IPG Produced Pairing its Program Titles with its Claimants IPG's Responses to the Settling Devotional Claimants' Discovery Request Declaration of Dr. Erkan Erdem Collection of IPG Representation Agreements Testimony of Chandra Winford SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal SDC's Response to IPG's Rebuttal Collection of Warren Judd Agreements Related to IPG-Represented Claimants Acknowledgments of Representation Correspondence from IPG-Represented Claimants IPG Agreement with Maureen Millen Testimony from 2000 and 2003 Preliminary Hearing	5 6 6 6 6 6 6 6 7 10 10 11 11 11 7 7 7 7 7 8

		5		7
I	IPG		1	cross-examination, and Mr. MacLean was just about
7	79 Printouts from Kenneth Copeland website	124	2	to begin his.
8	30 Copyright registrations for	131	3	
8	Kenneth Copeland Ministries 31 Transcript & Deposition of	133	ŀ	JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
8	Harbour 32 Correspondence Between IPG	134	4	MR. OLANIRAN: May I, your Honor, with
	and SDC counsel 34 Exemplar of Willie Wilson	137	5	respect to the time allotment?
	programming		6	JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
	39 Regent University Wikipedia Page 30 Exhibit 2 to SDC Direct	145 147	7	MR. OLANIRAN: I think MPAA had about
9	Statement 91 SDC 0327	149	8	six hours, was allotted about six hours in.
	92 Email to IPG from Judd 93 SDC Direct Statement,	151 153	9	We've gone over that. We have some cross-
	Appendix C for Satellite SDC Direct Statement,	154	10	
	Appendix C for Cable			examination and the closing, so we would ask the
1	and Controversies, 2004-9	299	11	Judge to allow us just a little bit more time to
2	Cable SDC Notice of Settlements	299	12	
	and Controversies, 1000- 2009 Cable		13	JUDGE BARRETT: Globally, what are you
3	NAR Notice of Confidential	299	14	looking at as far as completion of this hearing?
4		299	15	MR. BOYDSTON: I think today, I mean,
5	Settlement NAB Notice of Withdrawal of	299	16	what we have today, just as an overview, is
6	Joint PTP	299	17	finishing up Mr. Galaz's cross-examination. I
7		299		•
8	Word of God Fellowship	299	18	will have a short redirect. At that point, the
	Notice of Withdrawal, Satellite		19	employer and supplier aspect will be closed, and
9	Billy Graham Evangelistic Association Notice of	299	20	then we'll move over to the Devotional. As you
1	Withdrawal, Cable Billy Graham Evangelistic	299	21	will recall, Devotional has already called their
_	Ausociation Notice of	233	22	first witness, Mr. Kowalski. They will then be
	Withdrawal, Satellite		-	
		6		8
1	P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S		1	calling their other witness, which is Mr. Brown,
2	9:07 a.m.		2	direct and cross. And I think that would be,
3	JUDGE BARRETT: Good morning, all.		3	that's the only witness you're calling because we
4 P	Please be seated. We began with a total		4	stipulated as to the others.
5 a:	allotment of 26 1/2 hours, which I was rounding		5	At that point then, IPG would be
6 սյ	up to 27 in my head which would be nine hours per		6	putting on its defense to the SDC rebuttal, which
	party. I don't know what you agreed to, but I		7	will be Mr. Galaz, direct and cross. And then
•			8	that will be it because the IPG rebuttal to the
	long as we all come in under 27. But just so you		9	SDC is ten exhibits, which the SDC has agreed,
			ì	
			10	has stipulated their entry. And they're just
			11	orders and things like that anyway, so there's
	**		12	going to be nothing on that.
13 h			13	So that's what we have and then oral
14 40	0 minutes. And I didn't add those up, but	I'm	14	arguments, so we should finish today.
15 sı	sure they don't come to 26 or 27.		15	MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, if it would
16	In my preoccupation with numbers, I		16	help, the SDC we agreed to present our case in
	did not check to see where we left off on		17	six hours, plus one for opening and closing
17 di	Thursday. It appears		18	statement. And if it would help everybody, we
	hursday. It appears			will cede one hour to MPAA.
l¤ Tì		mav?	10	
18 Th	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I	may?	19	
18 Th 19 20	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I JUDGE BARRETT: You may.		20	JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sure it's going to
18 Th	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if I			

12

9

```
Mr. Boydston, I believe it is Dr.
     Brown.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: So. Mr. MacLean, you
     wanted to cross-examine Mr. Galaz: is that
     correct?
                 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, ma'am.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Or continue cross-
                 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, your Honor. Thank
11
12
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Galaz, you remain
13
     under oath.
14
     CROSS-EXAMINATION
15
                 BY MR. MACLEAN:
16
                Good morning, Mr. Galaz.
17
                 Morning.
                 Just to bring us back to where we were
18
    when we left off last week, I believe you said
19
20
     that you are now aware of a relationship between
21
     Fox Family and Saban Entertainment?
                No, I think that I testified earlier
```

Okav. Yes. I was the one that ran the searches on these and pulled these up. So why is this second page here, copyright claimant Saban Entertainment? I thought that you were making a challenge to MPAA's claim on behalf of ABC. Power Rangers was, Power Rangers is identified as the owner of copyright. There's no indication in any of the other, at least online, references to Power Rangers, with the Copyright 11 Office of any assignment of those rights, and I 12 guess it was to make the point that the copyright 13 registration is prima facie evidence of copyright ownership. 14 15 0 So you include this claim, 16 notwithstanding your awareness of Saban's 17 relationship with Fox Family? Well, when you say relationship, then 18 19 either the license, I mean, whether they -- that 20 doesn't suggest that there's been a conveyance of

copyright. A relationship -- I work for Film

Roman. We had a relationship with CBS and ABC

10

21

```
that they license to each other, so Saban has
     licensed to Fox.
           0
                 But you are, at any rate, today you
     are aware of that?
                 Today, I am aware that Saban -- that
     is correct. And Saban also licensed to other
     parties, as well, so . . .
                And when did you become aware of this
           0
     relationship between Fox Family and Saban?
                 Well. I'm not sure that's Fox Family
10
11
     that was, that I had any knowledge of. So I'm
     not sure that's the correct statement in my
12
13
     testimony.
14
                Okav. Well, you are aware, of course,
     that Fox Family and Saban merged with ABC in
     2001. correct?
17
18
                 Okay. So then I guess I don't
19
    understand. Why is -- you testified you
20
    conducted the research that's reflected in IPG
```

125, correct? These copyright public catalog

and Fox because we licensed to them, so it doesn't, even though we weren't necessarily conveying copyright to any of the works that we 5 MR. MACLEAN: No further questions. JUDGE BARRETT: Redirect, Mr. 6 7 Boydston? 8 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor. 9 REDIRECT EXAMINATION BY MR. BOYDSTON: 10 11 Mr. Galaz, you testified about several documents, including the one that you were just 12 13 being asked about, that were obtained from the Copyright Office website. You're familiar with 14 those, including the one in front of you now that 15 16 you were just being questioned on? 17 18 Now, specifically with regard to 19 Exhibits 21, 22, 24, 25, and 26, those are all 20 the same sort of document taken off the copyright 21 website, correct?

That's correct.

22

printouts.

21

```
13
                 Now, in Exhibit 105, Exhibit 105
                                                                   115.
     comprises a bunch of documents which, at least in
                                                                                Okay. Switching subjects, let's talk
     theory, are being offered to corroborate IPG's
                                                                   about Worldwide Pants. You'll recall there's a
     representation of various entities, correct?
                                                                    declaration by Fred Nigro of Worldwide Pants, and
                Yes. This is, if you look at 115,
                                                                   he references a recision letter from Worldwide
     which summarizes everything, this is the catalog,
                                                                   Pants, and there was a question raised about
     item 9 catalog research by IPG and third parties
                                                                   whether or not that was produced by IPG in
     in copyright mortgages.
                                                                   discovery. Do you recall whether or not IPG
                Now, the clarification I'm asking for
                                                                   produced that recision letter in discovery?
     is do Exhibits 21, 22, 24, 25, and 26, i.e. the
                                                              10
                                                                               We didn't.
11
    Copyright Office printouts from the web, are
                                                              11
                                                                               And why didn't --
                                                                               I didn't have it. I had understood
12
     those inclusive, are those included in Exhibit
                                                              12
13
    105, or do they stand separate?
                                                              13
                                                                   the date by which there had been a determination.
                No. and that's what threw me last week
                                                                   but it was a letter, actually, it says it was
14
                                                              14
    about Judge Strickler's question because I wasn't
                                                                   sent to me. It was sent to a Beverly Hills
15
                                                              15
    sure if they were included in there. And I went
                                                                   address I think two or three years after I had
16
                                                              16
17
    back over the weekend and I confirmed for my own
                                                                   already been living in Texas, so I presume that
                                                              17
    satisfaction that none of the online
                                                                   Marian Oshita attended.
18
                                                              18
    registrations that have been admitted as exhibits
                                                                               Okay. And there was also a reference
    here actually appear in item 9.
                                                              20
                                                                   in the declaration by Mr. Nigro. Mr. Nigro said
                When you say item 9, what's the
                                                                   that he had, that he had contacted counsel for
    exhibit -
                                                                   IPG. I think he meant me. Do you have any
```

16

Exhibit 105. Okav. So even though they corroborate what's in 105, they weren't actually in 105. And are they addressed, are they cross referenced, if you will, in Exhibit 115, the chart, the IPG chart? No, they're not. They're not crossreferenced there. So they're in addition to what the 115 chart directs someone to? 12 That's correct. 13 Okay. Now, with regard to Exhibit 14 125, that also is a similar document printed out 15 from the CRB website. Now, just to clarify, the 16 entities that are referenced in the printouts that are Exhibit 125, are those, do those have 17 14 anything to do with IPG claimants? They're not IPG claimants. They're 19

just claimants that I recognized from my

background experience were owned by third

parties. So they also wouldn't be referenced in

2 I know for a fact that it did not occur because the first indication that we ever had that Worldwide Pants was not going to cooperate and acknowledge our representation for any years was in May 2014. MR. MACLEAN: Objection. Move to strike as non-responsive. The question was does JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 12 Let me direct your attention to the exhibit, Exhibit 360, which was an MPAA exhibit 13 added in in addition to the MPAA binder exhibits. 14 15 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. Could you 16 give me the number again? MR. BOYDSTON: 360. 17 18 BY MR. BOYDSTON: It's an email stream in July and 19 August of 2011, which starts at the end, the way 20 21 email streams do, with an email from Ashley 22 Morgan of Amity Media Productions --

recollection of whether or not that took place?

20

20

17

```
Okav.
                 -- to an email address that's
     contactus ompaa. And it's essentially saying I
     just received a letter for Worldwide Subsidy
     Group. San Antonio. She goes on to say.
     essentially, I don't know who these people are.
     And then Mr. Olaniran responds to her and then
     there's some back and forth and eventually --
     well, that's essentially what the email stream
10
11
                 My question to you is does IPG
12
     represent Amity Media Productions?
13
                 Yes, we do.
14
           0
                 And are you familiar with Ashley
15
     Morgan?
16
                 No. I'm not.
17
                 And Ms. Morgan, obviously, seems, you
     know, received a letter from IPG and seems
18
19
     surprised and seemed like she didn't know who IPG
```

I don't recall if we've gotten anything directly from Amity. We have received information directly through Beckmann, and thevive cooperated. And the information that you refer to that you received from Beckmann, has it been information about Amity? Yes, and --In the report, I mean. 10 Yes. 11 Just a little housekeeping thing, 12 there was a piece of correspondence addressed, it was I think a mass email, but there was 13 specifically one of them addressed to a Jean 14 McBride of Paramount. Do you know why IPG was 15 sending something to Jean McBride of Paramount? 16 17 Yes, because Paramount is the 18 successor in interest to DreamWorks. I think they acquired DreamWorks around 2004 or 2005. I 19 don't know exactly when. But, consequently, the 20

contact information that we had for DreamWorks

who we had represented in certain years was then

18

21

1 Yes. IPG was engaged through 2 Beckmann. That document actually appears in the exhibits, if you were to look at 115. It wasn't cited for this reason because Amity Media was not 5 challenged by the -- the MPAA did not challenge 6 Amity Media. But the same documents that apply to Amity Media apply to other parties, so if you were to look at Exhibit 115, you'll see a reference to, for instance, Atlantic Film 10 Partners and Inca Productions. Both of those, their engagement was vis a vis Beckmann 11 12 International. And if you look through item four 13 for both of those -- I'm looking for it right now 14 -- it would be IPG Exhibit 101 at pages 3057 to 15 3059. I actually think it's 3059, and that's 16 where Amity Media is referenced. So I can only 17 presume that Ms. Morgan just wasn't aware of 18 that. 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 20 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 21 Has Amity, through Beckmann, cooperated with IPG in these proceedings?

Jean McBride of Paramount. So, consequently, even though we realized MPAA represents Paramount, we're obligated to send the same information to our adversary in that case. Paramount, because they're the successor in interest to DreamWorks. Now, a comment was made about whether or not, or a question was made about whether or not there had been an update of an address for DreamWorks being a claimant and now being succeeded by Paramount. Are you aware of any updated address information? 13 I'm not aware of a single part in these proceedings ever making a filing updating their address. And if that were the case, 15 16 DreamWorks' claims would be in violation because 17 of the change of their address that's never been 18 updated. 19 Well, let me ask you to take a look at 20 Exhibit 333. And 333 is, we won't have to go 21 into too much detail about it, but 333 was the

cover letter that the MPAA, it was the cover

22

20

21

Α

0

Right.

Any explanation for that?

21 | 23 |

```
1 letter to the MPAA's Excel spreadsheet we spent a
2 lot of time chatting about that was created in
```

- response to the order of July 30th. And as you look to it, let me just ask, generally, did the
- 1 100k to 10, 100 me just ask, generally, and the
- 5 MPAA either in that letter or in any other way
- 6 ever inform you that the Excel spreadsheet it was
- 7 creating might not be accurate?
- B A No.
- 9 Q And do you now have doubt as to --
- 10 well, strike that. After you got the spreadsheet
- 11 produced in response to the July 30th letter, did
- 12 you feel like you had reason to believe that it
- 13 was accurate?
- 14 A Yes
- 15 Q And why is that?
- 16 A Well, the entire purpose of our
- 17 request in the order was to couple which
- 18 claimants were making claim for which programs.
- 19 That was the whole point of the spreadsheet. So
- 20 if they give us a spreadsheet that does that,
- 21 without any qualification like, oh, by the way,
- 22 you've got to go input additional data from

- not, based upon what's been produced so far.
- JUDGE BARRETT: I heard the question,
- 3 Mr. Boydston. It's still not relevant.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Okay.
- BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- 6 Q Are you familiar with the MPAA
- 7 certifications that we've seen in this
- 8 proceeding?

12

15

- A As a general document, yes.
- Q And are you familiar with the length
- 11 of the certifications -
 - λ Vec
- 13 Q -- in terms of pages?
- 14 A Yes
 - Q And how do you have that knowledge?
- 16 A Actually, I just turned away from the
- 17 document that maybe not this one but other
- 18 documents that were provided to IPG from MPAA
- 19 counsel when they produced their documents, their
- 20 hard copy documents, Bates stamp numbers them.
- 21 And from my recollection, it was something like
- 22 5,800 for satellite certifications and 3,300
- 22
- 1 10,000 pages of certifications, I would have
- found it implausible that they wouldn't have that
- 3 to begin with. But in any event, that wasn't
- d communicated to us, so we had no reason to
- $^{\eta_{\rm c}}$ believe that the information in the spreadsheet
- 6 was inaccurate.
- 7 Q Now, later on in these proceedings,
- * the parties will be taking the various program
- 9 lists from each entity here and attempting to use
- $10\,$ $\,$ a methodology to come up with a distribution
- 11 plan, if you will, or calculation. At this point
- in time, based upon the testimony that you've
- 13 heard here regarding the spreadsheet and
- 14 regarding Appendix A and B to Ms. Saunders'
- 15 declaration, is IPG aware of how to go about
- 16 doing that with regard to the MPAA program?
- MR. MACLEAN: Objection, relevance for
- 18 this proceeding.
- 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
- 20 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, your Honor, the
- 21 relevance is that I'm trying to establish as to
- 22 whether or not IPG has the ability to do that or

- 1 pages for cable. It was, roughly, 10,000 pages
 - 2 of hard copy documents that were certifications.
 - 3 Q And at this point in time, absent an
 - 4 electronic record of those, is there any way to
 - 5 use those other than re-typing them?
 - 6 A No
 - JUDGE STRICKLER: If I may interject,
 - 8 counsel. Mr. Galaz, taking a look again at
 - 9 Exhibit 333, which you have in front of you I
 - 10 think. That's the August 11th letter from
 - 11 Mitchell Silberberg.
 - MR. GALAZ: Yes.
 - JUDGE STRICKLER: The second
 - 14 paragraph, next to the last sentence, says, "All
 - 5 these documents are attorney work product and are
 - of privileged documents." Do you see that?
 - MR. GALAZ: Yes.
 - JUDGE STRICKLER: Did you have access
 - 19 personally, I mean through counsel, but personal
 - 20 access to the documents that are identified in
 - 21 that paragraph, which includes -- let's take them
 - one by one -- the Excel spreadsheet?

•

```
MR. GALAZ: Did I have access --
     you're talking about the Excel spreadsheet that
     they produced?
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Right, yes.
                 MR. GALAZ: Yes. When they produced
     it here, that's when we had access to it.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me stop
     questioning you for a second and ask counsel of
     MPAA. In that paragraph where you say all of
10
     these documents are attorney work product and are
11
     privileged documents, did you intend to have them
     not produced, disclosed to Mr. Galaz and produced
12
13
     only to Mr. Boydston?
                MS. PLOVNICK: No, your Honor. We
14
     expected that they would be produced to IPG. We
15
     just explained that we designated them as
16
    restricted because they were work product, and so
17
    we put a restricted label on the electronic
18
     files. That's what we intended to do.
                JUDGE STRICKLER: But even though they
    were attorney work -- just this attorney work --
22
```

```
written in handwriting on the lower left side, if
     that helps. But it was marked 127.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: It was offered and
    refused.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: That was my
     recollection.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I stand corrected then.
 a
    I didn't recall what happened. It wasn't in it.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You don't have that?
 9
     Oh, well, it was offered and refused, according
10
11
     to my notes.
12
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Okav.
13
                 JUDGE FEDER: Mine, as well.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Then I have nothing
14
    further.
15
16
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
17
                 MR. OLANIRAN: I have two purposes.
    I'd like to ask Mr. Galaz a few questions. One,
18
     just a quick re-cross on the questioning he had
     with Mr. Boydston and Mr. MacLean. And remember
     last week, we had received a stack of documents,
```

and you said you would allow us -- I just have a

26

25

1 MS. PLOVNICK: Yes. And that was 2 regarding the electronic copies of Appendices A 3 and B, which were also produced through this and the Excel file. JUDGE STRICKLER: So all of the documents that are identified in that paragraph in Exhibit 333 were intended for Mr. Galaz and 8 Mr. Boydston both to see? 9 MS. PLOVNICK: We expected that -- I 10 mean, Mr. Boydston says whatever, you know, we 11 produced them to Mr. Boydston but we did not 12 intend to create a restriction by that. JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay, thank you. I 13 14 have no further questions. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. I have no 15 further questions, but, your Honor, I questioned 16 17 Ms. Saunders about, it would have been marked as 18 Exhibit 127, and I questioned Mr. Galaz who 19 actually created the document. I never moved that and asked that it be admitted into evidence, 20 21 and I do so now. That was Exhibit 127, which was

a chart, it's a chart that has the relevant years

28 few questions about those documents. 2 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry. I missed the last part. MR. OLANIRAN: We had received a stack of representation agreements on November 30th, and the Judge, Judge Barrett, told us last week she would give us some time to ask a few questions, and I'd like to do that at this time. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, your Honor. 10 11 JUDGE BARRETT: They were marked as an 12 exhibit, I believe. 13 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, they were 14 the very last part of IPG's. I think it was 15 Exhibit 101, and let me, let's confirm. It was 16 the very last section of that. It's right here. It's the ones with the handwritten Bates stamp 17 18 numbers at the end of Exhibit 101, IPG 101. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. 19 There's also an open question on Exhibit 122, but 20 21 we'll get to that. Exhibit 122, we still have an open question on that one, I believe. Mr.

```
testimony, in fact, included those claims?
     Olaniran?
                                                                2
                                                                                MR. GALAZ: No, because the Excel
                 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you, your Honor.
 2
                                                                    spreadsheet was the only document that we
     RECROSS-EXAMINATION
                 BY MR. OLANIRAN:
                                                                    received or have received up until this point
                 Good morning, Mr. Galaz. Greg
                                                                    that actually couples the claimant with the
                                                                    program. So if you look at Appendix A and
     Olaniran for MPAA.
                                                                    Appendix B to Mr. Saunders' testimony, it says
                 I just wanted to ask you just a couple
                                                                    these are the claimants. That's Appendix A.
     of questions on the Excel spreadsheet. The Excel
                                                                    Appendix B says these are the programs, but
     spreadsheet was produced following the July 30th
                                                               10
                                                                    there's nothing that indicates which are making
10
11
     order, correct?
                                                               11
                                                                    claim for which, other than if you were to go
                                                                    through and look on a line-by-line basis the
12
                 That's correct.
                                                               12
13
           Q
                 And prior to that order, you had
                                                               13
                                                                    10,000 pages and make some comparison and type it
                                                                    in yourself. We found it implausible, especially
14
     received MPAA certifications, correct?
                                                               14
14
                 The hard copies, the 10,000 pages,
                                                               15
                                                                    because we know that they advance monies to these
16
                                                                    parties on a percentage basis, that there was no
     correct.
                                                               16
17
                                                               17
                                                                    document out there that actually couples, whether
           0
                 Okay. And you --
15
                 We received that electronically.
                                                               18
                                                                    a hard copy or electronic but we presume it would
                 And you also had Ms. Saunders' written
                                                                    be electronic, that actually couples the program
19
                                                               19
     direct testimony which contained the two
                                                               20
                                                                    with the claimant. That was the purpose of our
     appendices for cable and satellite, correct?
                                                               21
                                                                    motion, and that's what we thought we had
23
```

29

30

1

And prior to the motions of process, 2 MPAA had represented to you that those were the 3 documents underlying the titles that MPAA was claiming, correct?

Correct.

1

14

15

Okay. So before the July 30th order, you had those three documents which established

MPAA's title claims, correct?

10 And when you received the Excel 11

spreadsheet, you chose to rely exclusively on 12 that spreadsheet as representative of what MPAA's

title claims were? 13

> Δ Correct.

Okay. 0

16 JUDGE STRICKLER: A question. Mr.

17 Galaz. After you looked at the spreadsheet to

18 see what MPAA was claiming, when you saw things

19 that you thought were not proper claims, did you

20 attempt to cross-reference what you saw in this

21 spreadsheet with Appendices A or B of Ms.

22 Saunders' testimony to see whether or not her JUDGE STRICKLER: So when you received

the electronic spreadsheet that coupled the

program with the claimant and saw what you

understood to be improper claims for titles, were

you capable then of taking that finite set of

those that you identified as allegedly improper

and then going back to Appendix A and B and

seeing, so you wouldn't have to go through all of

the thousands of titles there. You could just

focus on the ones that you thought were improper.

Let me ask you this: did you 11

understand that you had the capability to do that 12

13 more circumscribed activity?

14 MR. GALAZ: If I understand your

15 question, what we did when we got the Excel

spreadsheet was make a comparison with IPG 16

claimed programs and then just eyeballing it to 17

see that. And so that was a comparison between 18

the MPAA's Excel spreadsheet and IPG's data. If 19

you're, and I think you may be asking whether we 20

took the Excel spreadsheet and compared that to 21

the information --

36

33

```
JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me interrupt
     you. You're on the right track there. If you
     took the data from the Excel spreadsheet that you
     had identified as allegedly improper claims for
     claimants after you looked at your IPG data to
     make that determination in your own mind, did you
     then say, well, let me see if those are, in fact,
     titles and claims together or titles or claims
     identified in either Appendix A or Appendix B?
10
                 MR. GALAZ: Well, obviously, they
11
     wouldn't be titled --
12
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Not together.
13
                 MR. GALAZ: -- but separate and, if I
     could have an example, the "Outdoorsman" with
15
     Buck McNeely. Did we, you know, in the Excel
16
     spreadsheet, finding that there was a claim for
17
     the "Outdoorsman" for Buck McNeely that's being
     attributed to screen rights in Australia, did we
18
19
     then look at Appendix B to see whether the
20
    "Outdoorsman with Buck McNeely" appears thereon?
21
    We didn't. We didn't think there was any reason
```

to, but it would have been difficult anyway

spreadsheet, and it's this exhibit that's your cover letter, it indicates that it also attached now in a searchable form the Appendix A and the Appendix B. Q And that would have been the time that you would have needed to do the search based on the questioning that Judge Strickler just --Of course, if there was any understanding that there was a discrepancy between the Excel spreadsheet and the 10,000 11 pages of certifications, then ves. But there 12 wasn't. 13 Okay. I wanted to ask you just a 14 couple of questions in the exchange you had with Mr. MacLean. You said that the copyright registration was evidence of ownership. Do you recall that? 18 I think it's prima facie evidence of ownership. 19 20 Those were your words. And you were 21 talking with respect to Exhibit IPG 125. You

34

22

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

21

22

because of the way that it's actually arranged. 2 The Appendix B, there's hard copies of the programs for 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, etcetera, etcetera. And if I recall, there's at least three and maybe even more lines of information in very small font. It would have been going back through that 100-page document and going down and finding it. So that just didn't seem practical, and it didn't seem 9 10 necessary because we simply thought that what was 11 being provided to us was what was ordered, which 12 was a pairing of the program and the claimant. JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay, thank you. 13 14 BY MR. OLANIRAN: 15 Just a quick follow-up on that. The two appendices B were in alphabetical order, were 16 17 they not? 1 א А Yes, they are alphabetical order. 19 And you were also provided electronic copies in searchable form, were you not? 20 21 I think when we got this last -- at 22 the same time that we received the Excel

certificates in this proceeding for any title. have you? No, we've just relied on the online That's correct. And you're certainly not suggesting that evidence of ownership is the same as evidence of entitlement to retransmission royalties in this proceeding, are you? Well, an owner is entitled to make claims. So unless they've assigned that right or granted it to an agent, then I think so. 0 Okay. So can you look at the searches from a public catalog or even the actual registration certificate and determine whether the owner has assigned the right? Α The short answer is no. 0 Okav. You cannot definitively tell. MR. OLANIRAN: I have no further questions, your Honor.

JUDGE BARRETT: Anything further?

MR. OLANIRAN: Oh, one more.

actually haven't produced the actual registration

37 39 And I guess the short answer is I JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Olaniran? MR. OLANIRAN: It's Monday morning. don't know whether or not they were produced or not for certain. BY MR. OLANIRAN: With regard to the -- Mr. Boydston I'm completely confused. provided a bunch of rep agreements on November That's why I was trying to explain so 30th, and those rep agreements had not been produced to MPAA prior to that. 0 But it was your determination, you determined that MPAA needed to receive this Just -- I'm sorry. Go ahead. Yes. And my question is when did IPG representation agreement, even though you were not sure that they had been produced; is that 10 discover those documents? 10 11 Well, I think they had been produced 11 your testimony? but in the prior proceeding. That was my 12 Δ 12 Correct. understanding. I can tell you exactly because I 13 13 0 Okay. And you were certain that they discovered it over the Thanksgiving break, and had been produced in another proceeding? 14 14 15 that was T.M.I. But my background information 15 Yes. Α about my back being thrown out for several weeks To MPAA? 16 16 0 17 and, consequently, it was when I was going 17 Δ Yes. 18 through and identifying what had been produced in 18 Q And which proceeding was that? 19 this particular proceeding, specifically the That would have been the 2000 and 2003 20 contracts that had already been produced, that's 20 proceeding. 21 when I found out that they weren't in what is now 21 Would it surprise you that they were 22 101. They, I believe, were produced in the prior 22 not produced in that proceeding either? 38 40 proceeding and somehow or another didn't get in 1 Very much so. this proceeding, or if they did then I don't have Okay. With respect to the documents reference of it within my files. So that's what that were produced, you produced a letter of those were. But it was during, it was during extension for Direct Cinema. You can look at Thanksgiving week. It was literally the day that Exhibit 101, the handwritten Bates stamp number I discovered it, I compiled it, sent it to Brian 516, I think. There may be another number. I and had him email it to the MPAA, email it to read the first three letters. 5169. Okay. 4 So you discovered that they had not 9 0 See the letter of extension? 10 been produced in this proceeding, correct? 10 Α Yes. I believe -- well, I have to qualify 11 11 Is that the only document you have my answer because I wasn't sure whether my 12 12 produced with respect to substantiate IPG's records were full with where I was. I was also 13 13 representation of Direct Cinema? traveling at the time. And from what I had on my 14 14 I would have to look at 115 in order computer, they did not appear to be within the to determine that. No. 15 15 10 document that we had initially identified as item 16 0 But that is the only item, that is --17 four and produced as item four. So -page 5169 is the only item that you're shown 17 18 MR. OLANIRAN: Move to strike. It's 18 under representation agreement on item four. 19 non-responsive, you know. 19 I think your question -- maybe I

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

that they had not been critiqued?

I just wanted to know did you discover

20

21

22

misheard your question. I thought you asked if

that's the only document that we've produced.

And what we've also produced is correspondence

44

41

```
from Direct Cinema regarding their works.
                 My first question was, I was just
    confirming that, with respect to representation
     agreements, 5169 is the only document you've --
                 That's correct, and that's what 115
    says. So we don't have the mandate agreement.
     We have the extension agreement that refers to
    the mandate agreement, but we don't have the
     mandate agreement.
10
                MR. OLANIRAN: No further questions,
    your Honor. Thank you.
11
12
                MR. MACLEAN: A very brief follow-up
     with respect to these additional documents. Your
     Honor, may I conduct this examination from here?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: As long as the court
16
     reporter can hear you.
17
                 MR. MACLEAN: Okay.
18
    CROSS-EXAMINATION
19
                 BY MR. MACLEAN:
20
                Mr. Galaz, with regard to these
21
    representation agreements at the end of IPG
```

Exhibit 101, the hand-marked Bates numbers are

```
JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr. Galaz.
    You may step down.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: That concludes IPG's
    testimony regarding the MPAA, or, no, I guess it
     was our -- we're following the MPAA. Sorry. I
     think that concludes that program suppliers.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Could we take a look
     at Exhibit 122? It was the fifth document that
     you had to search for a three-hole paper for? Do
     you remember that one?
11
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I do.
12
                 JUDGE BARRETT: And what were the
13
     circumstances of that, and has it been offered,
     will it be offered? Is there an objection?
15
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I thought that it had
16
     been offered and admitted, but if I'm wrong I
17
     would like to --
18
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. The Court
     agrees with you, Mr. Boydston. 122 is admitted,
19
     and that settles that.
20
                 (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 122
21
```

was received into evidence.)

42

22

```
the ones that you discovered during Thanksgiving
     weekend may not have been produced in these
     proceedings; is that right?
                 And then you had them, at that point,
 6
     produced to MPAA; is that right?
          Α
                 That's correct.
                 You did not have them produced to the
9
     SDC at that time, did you?
                 I know that they don't have -- no, not
10
           Δ
    Devotional, but I don't think so.
11
                 And that is in spite of an order from
12
     this Board that everything produced, IPG produces
13
     to the MPAA is also to be produced to the SDC; is
1.1
     that right?
15
16
                 I'm sure it was an oversight, but yes.
17
                 MR. MACLEAN: No further questions.
                 COURT REPORTER: I'm sorry, sir. Can
    you repeat that answer?
19
20
                 MR. GALAZ: I'm sure it was an
21
    oversight, but yes.
```

MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing, your Honor.

JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean? MR. MACLEAN: So, your Honor, the first thing I'd like to do, we've got a number of exhibits here for which we don't have a sponsoring witness, many of them produced by IPG. Some of them, we have stipulation with IPG, some of them we do not yet. What I'd like to do is move these exhibits into evidence, and it will be a little painful but I'd like to go one at a time 10 so that we can ascertain to what extent we have 11 an objection that we have to overcome. So I'm just going to go through the 12 SDC exhibit binder, and I'll start with SDC 13 Exhibit 601, which is a copy of IPG's 1999 14 15 satellite claim produced by IPG in discovery. I move Exhibit 601. 17 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection, your 18 19 JUDGE BARRETT: 601 is --20 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection, your

JUDGE BARRETT: 601 is admitted.

21

22

Honor.

```
45
                                                                                                                    47
                                                                   purported mandate agreement with Salem Baptist
                  (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 601
                                                                   Church. I move 606 into evidence. 607 into
                  was received into evidence.)
                                                                   evidence. I'm sorry.
                  MR. MACLEAN: Go to SDC 603, which is
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
     a copy of the SDC's -- I'm sorry, the IPG's. I
 4
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: 607 is admitted.
     apologize. I believe this is satellite claims,
     as produced in discovery, for all the years at
                                                                               (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 607
     issue in this proceeding.
                                                                               was received into evidence.)
                                                                               MR. MACLEAN: SDC Exhibit 608 is IPG's
                  JUDGE BARRETT: Did you say that's
 9
                                                               9
                                                                   purported mandate agreement with Paradigm
                                                              10
                                                                   Pictures Corporation. We move SDC 608 into
10
                 MR. MACLEAN: 603, your Honor.
                  JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, three.
                                                              11
                                                                   evidence.
12
                 MR. MACLEAN: I move 603 into
                                                              12
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
13
     evidence.
                                                              13
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
14
                 MS. PLOVNICK: We have no objection.
                                                              14
                                                                              JUDGE BARRETT: 608 is admitted.
15
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                                                              15
                                                                               (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 608
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 603 is admitted.
                                                                              was received into evidence.)
16
                                                              16
                                                                              MR. MACLEAN: SDC 609 is a collection
17
                  (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 603
                                                              17
                 was received into evidence.)
                                                              18
                                                                   of IPG's purported mandate agreements with Billy
18
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 604 is a copy of
                                                                   Graham Evangelical Association, as produced in
19
                                                              19
    IPG's cable claims, as produced in discovery by
                                                                   discovery. Move SDC 609 into evidence.
20
                                                              20
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
21
     IPG. I move SDC 604 into evidence.
                                                              21
22
                                                              22
                                                                              MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                                                      46
                                                                                                                    48
 1
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection, your
                                                                              MR. HARRINGTON: 609 is admitted.
 2
     Honor.
                                                                               (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 609
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 604 is admitted.
                                                                              was received into evidence.)
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 604
                                                                              MR. MACLEAN: I believe SDC 610 is
                 was received into evidence.)
                                                                  already in evidence. Okay.
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC's 605 is IPG's
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: 610 has not been
     mandate agreement with Envoy Productions produced
                                                                  admitted. I believe.
     by IPG in discovery. I move 605 into evidence.
                                                                              CLERK WHITTLE: Yes, it's been
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I think it was
                                                                  admitted.
10
     admitted, but no objection.
                                                                              MR. MACLEAN: SDC 611 is a declaration
                                                             10
11
                 JUDGE BARRETT: It is admitted.
                                                                  of George Grange, Chip Grange, and an email
12
                 MR. MACLEAN: Oh, I'm sorry. Okay,
                                                             12
                                                                  attachment, I understand, from Mr. Boydston that
13
     thank you. I believe SDC 606 is already
                                                                  he's willing to stipulate to the admission of
14
     admitted, correct? No? Okay.
                                                                  this declaration. So I move SDC 611 into
15
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                                                             15
                                                                  evidence.
16
                 MR. MACLEAN: 606 is IPG's purported
                                                             16
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, so stipulated.
     representation agreement with IWV Media. I move
17
                                                             17
                                                                              JUDGE BARRETT: 611 is admitted.
18
     606 into evidence.
                                                             18
                                                                              (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 611
19
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 606 is admitted.
                                                             19
                                                                              was received into evidence.)
20
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 606
                                                                              MR. MACLEAN: SDC 612 is an amendment
                                                             20
21
                 was received into evidence.)
                                                                  and attached declaration filed by It Is Written,
                                                             21
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 607 is IPG's
                                                             22 Inc., with the Copyright Office. I move SDC 612
```

49

```
into evidence.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 612 is admitted.
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 612
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 613 is a public
     catalog, a Copyright Office public catalog search
     for the name "Adventis Media Center Productions"
     and some additional names that It Is Written --
     it's a collection of Copyright Office searches
     relating to IPG's claims to Adventis Media Center
     Productions.
14
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
15
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
16
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 613 is admitted.
17
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 613
                 was received into evidence.)
18
19
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 614 is a Senate
     Finance Committee Majority Staff Report related
20
21
     to Kenneth Copeland Ministries. I move SDC 614
    into evidence.
```

programs on the grounds that Kenneth Copeland and his wife Gloria Copeland, according to this document, their employment agreements retain the copyrights of their own works in their own names. Moreover, there's information in this document relating to the efforts to which Kenneth Copeland Ministries goes to to keep its employees from divulging information about Kenneth Copeland Ministries, including such things as if Kenneth Copeland Ministries' employees cooperate with people trying to keep the information, including, 13 in this case, the Senate Minority staff, that God 14 will blight their finances and so forth, showing 15 the lengths to which Kenneth Copeland Ministries will go to prevent us and others from learning 16 17 about their operations. So the document is relevant. I agree 18 19 that there are some reasons to question it. And to that extent, it's very unfortunate that IPG 20 21 and Kenneth Copeland Ministries have chosen not to present evidence and not to produce any

Ministries' claim to the Kenneth Copeland

50

```
1
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we object
 2
     to this document. As the panel may recall, I
 3
     think it was certainly discussed and it was also
     admitted in the 1999 cable proceedings a couple
 4
     of months ago. The basis for my objection is
 5
     hearsay. On top of being hearsay, I think that
     they're receiving a finding in the prior matter
     that the judges observed that there's a number of
9
     reasons to question its reliability. It was not
10
     excluded from the prior proceeding, but, based
     upon the judges' ruling, it seems to me like
12
     there might be good grounds to exclude it.
     Therefore, I make the objection.
14
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, first of
15
     all, to the extent that this is hearsay, it is
16
     also a public record and, therefore, falls within
17
     an exception to the hearsay rule. I will say
18
     that this is not the kind of evidence that I
    would typically offer in a civil case. However,
19
20
    in this case where we lack subpoena power, where
21
    we've presented strong reason in this document to
    question the validity of Kenneth Copeland
```

that are at issue here to show that Kenneth Copeland Ministries actually owns the copyrights to the programs it claims, notwithstanding what appears in this report. So on that ground, I admit it. I offer it for admission and ask that the Board accept it. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I 8 9 understand the panel is very familiar with this document, and I won't belabor anything. I'll 10 just submit my objection. There are things he 11 said that I would disagree with, but we can leave 12 that for another time. JUDGE BARRETT: I'd like to reserve until I can talk with my colleagues about this particular exhibit, but we can go ahead with the 17 18 MS. PLOVNICK: For the record, MPAA 19 does not have an objection to this document.

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Ms.

MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I'm moving

evidence relating to the employment agreements

52

20

21

22

Plovnick.

```
53
     now to SDC 616. This is a chart showing the
                                                              2
    organization of the, as we understand it, WCCI,
     which is the entity that IPG asserts is identical
                                                              3
     to Creflo Dollar Ministries showing the various
                                                              5
     organizations that appear on WCCI's
     organizational structure, corporate family
     structure. And this was produced from IPG in
    discovery. It was admitted into evidence in the
     199 proceedings, although as part of an IPG
     exhibit. I made the mistake last time of not
                                                             10
11
     moving it into exhibit evidence as a separate
                                                             11
12
     document, but I'm moving it into evidence now.
                                                             12
13
     SDC 616.
                                                             13
14
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection, your
                                                             14
15
    Honor.
                                                             15
                                                                  good time.
16
                MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
                                                             16
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 616 is admitted.
17
                                                             17
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 616
18
                                                             18
19
                 was received into evidence.)
                                                             19
20
                MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor. SDC 617 is
                                                             20
```

```
this one among ourselves. This is 617?
                 MR. MACLEAN: 617.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Reserve on that one.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: For the record, MPAA
     does not have an objection to this exhibit, so we
     would not object.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                 MR. MACLEAN: And, your Honor, I'm not
     familiar with the prior ruling that Mr. Boydston
     is referring to. If there's a citation then --
                MR. BOYDSTON: It was in the 1999
     cable proceeding that it came up and discussed by
                JUDGE BARRETT: Well, now would be a
                MR. BOYDSTON: I don't have it in my
     fingertips, but I know in the transcript. We've
     cited it before in papers, and we can find it.
                JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you.
                MR. MACLEAN: SDC 618 is an
21
     application for registration of the fictitious
     name Benny Hinn Ministries produced by IPG in
```

54

56

```
1
     associated. I move 617 into evidence.
 2
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, your Honor.
     There is no sponsoring witness for this document,
     and it could be questioned. And, therefore, on
     that basis, we object.
                MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor. I put my own
    name on on our witness list for that eventuality.
    I will represent to the Court that I performed
     this particular search myself, and what appears
    here is the search results. I'm willing to take
     the stand. I personally think it's poor form to
    put an attorney on the stand or to insist that
    your opponent put an attorney on the stand.
    Nevertheless, I move it into evidence on the
    basis of my representation, but I'm willing to
15.
16
    take the stand if necessary.
17
                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, there's
18
    been a ruling in a prior proceeding that counsel
    cannot be the sponsoring witness. Based on that,
19
20
    I don't think that Mr. MacLean is a proper
21
    sponsoring witness.
```

JUDGE BARRETT: We will also discuss

a Georgia Secretary of State search with respect

to Creflo Dollar and the entities with whom he is

```
discovery. I move 618 into evidence.
 2
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
                 MR. HARRINGTON: 618 is admitted.
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 618
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: And our SDC 619 is an
     excerpt from IPG's written direct statement in
     the 2000 to 2003 cable proceedings. And with
10
     respect to this one, I don't need the exhibit if
     IPG will stipulate, and I request this now, that
11
     IPG claimed the program "Singsation" and the
12
13
     claimant, Willie Wilson Productions, in the
     program supplier category in the 2000 to 2003
14
15
     cable proceedings.
16
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection to the
17
     document.
18
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 619 is
     admitted.
19
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 619
20
21
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 622 is an
22
```

22

```
57
     acknowledgment of representation, purported to be
     an acknowledgment of representation from either
     Great Plains National Instructional Library or
     Restructure Holdings LLC produced by IPG in
     discovery. I move 622 into evidence.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 622 is admitted.
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 622
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC Exhibit 623 is an
     IMDB search for the results of the program title
12
     "Home Sweet Home." I move 623 into evidence.
13
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I object, your Honor.
14
     No oponsoring witness.
15
                 MR. MACLEAN: My response on this,
16
     your Honor, is the same as my response with
17
     respect to the Kenneth Copeland Ministry Georgia
18
     Department of State search.
```

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr.

MR. MACLEAN: Yes, your Honor. Your

MacLean. We will, likewise, reserve on that.

Honor, SDC 624 is an excerpt from the spreadsheet

```
everybody understands, as IPG has itself
     explained, that in this document -- of course,
     the full document is too voluminous to be offered
     in this, in the courtroom here. But in this
     document, IPG has a list of program titles, has a
     designation as to whether they claim these
     program titles in either the devotional or the
     program suppliers category. In some cases, they
     list both the devotional and program suppliers
     category and then a list of the claimants on
11
     whose behalf they're claimed.
12
                 Now, IPG has explained and we accept
13
     the explanation that a single title can refer to
14
     a single program or can refer to multiple
15
     programs. And where a title is designated for
16
     both the devotional category and the program
17
     suppliers category, it could mean either two
     different titles, one claimed in one category and
18
     the other claimed in the other category, or it
19
     could mean that they don't know which category it
20
```

belongs in or if it could be both.

If I've misunderstood that, then I

58

21

22

that IPG produced to us pairing its program titles with its claimants. I move SDC 624 into 3 evidence. 4 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we object 5 on the grounds there's no sponsoring witness. 6 And I want to give a side explanation so you don't think we're being cute here. These are excerpts from our own document. However, the reason that we're objecting is that, because 10 there's no sponsoring witness, we can't cross-11 examine someone from the SDC on their 12 understanding about this. And our understanding 13 is that the SDC misunderstands the document, and 14 that's why there are no sponsoring witnesses of 15 consequence because, if they had a sponsoring 16 witness. I could then cross-examine them and hopefully, or theoretically anyway, explain that. 17 18 So the objection is no sponsoring witness. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, well, first 19 of all, I'm not really sure our understanding of 20 21 this document is relevant. However, I don't think there's any misunderstanding. I think

would accept Mr. Boydston's explanation to the contrary. But I don't think there's a misunderstanding as to this document. And it is from IPG's own production. The only reason that we provide an excerpt, instead of the entire 6 thing, because the entire thing would be too voluminous to be effectively used in these proceedings. 8 9 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, MPAA does not have an objection to this exhibit. We just 10 note that the judges' regulations, 351.10 through 11 3 allows for summary exhibits when there's a 12 voluminous original. It says, "The contents of 13 14 voluminous writings, recordings, or photographs which cannot be conveniently examined in the 16 hearing may be presented in the form of a chart 17 summary or calculation. The originals or 18 duplicates shall be made available for 19 examination or copying or both by other parties 20 at a reasonable time and place. The copyright 21 royalty judges may order that they be produced in 22 the hearing."

60

19

20

21

64

61

```
So we would think this is an excerpt
     and that falls within the spirit of this portion.
     And so for that reason, we don't object. And I
     think the whole thing was produced in discovery,
     so all the parties can examine the whole thing.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I have no disagreement
     with what Ms. Plovnick said whatsoever. That is
     entirely correct. The objection is still just
10
     lack of a sponsoring witness.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Boydston, are
11
12
     you disputing the accuracy of anything in the
     document that Mr. MacLean purports to be a
     summary of your documents?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No, not in the document
     itself. No, no, I do not.
16
17
                JUDGE STRICKLER: So what part of the ,
18
     summary are you disputing?
19
                MR. BOYDSTON: I'm not disputing any
20
     part of the summary. I'm simply, I'm disputing
21
     the procedural manner in which they're trying to
     admit it without a sponsoring witness. And,
```

```
MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, SDC 625 are
     IPG's responses to the Settling Devotional
     Claimants' discovery request. I move SDC 625
     into evidence.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 625 is admitted.
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 625
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 626 is a declaration
     of Dr. Erkan Erdem and an attached excerpt from
11
     data produced by IPG. I understand from Mr.
     Boydston that he stipulates to the admission of
     this declaration.
15
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I do.
16
                 MR. HARRINGTON: Exhibit 626 is
17
     admitted.
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 626
18
19
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 627 is a collection
20
     of IPG representation agreements of claimants
21
```

that it claims in the devotional category

62

```
again, that's why I gave that explanation so I
     didn't appear to be a goofball. The reason why
     is because there's not someone here who says,
     well, I see this and it means this or I think it
     means that that I can then question.
                 Now, we can do this in argument and in
     post-trial briefing, as well. But from a
     technical standpoint, it seems we don't have a
     sponsoring witness. And here there's a
     particular consequence that I see. Now --
10
11
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you.
     Exhibit 624 is admitted.
12
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 624
13
                 was received into evidence.)
14
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, you are
15
     more than welcome to put on your witness, if you
16
17
     think it is necessary, to rebut whatever it is
18
     you think SDC is concluding based on this
     document. You've indicated you think they
19
     misinterpreted it. Then you can put your witness
21
     on as a rebuttal witness to interpret it for us,
22
     so 624 is admitted.
```

produced in discovery. I move SDC 627 into evidence. MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. JUDGE BARRETT: 627 is admitted. (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 627 was received into evidence.) MR. MACLEAN: I believe SDC 628 is 8 already in evidence. Could I confirm? 628 is 9 not in evidence? 10 11 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection. 12 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. 13 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Now it is if it wasn't before. 15 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you. SDC 629 is the testimony of Chandra Winford from the 1999 proceedings testifying related to WCCI and Creflo 17 Dollar, along with the exhibits admitted in 18 connection with that testimony. I move SDC 629 19 20 into evidence. And by the way, this was 21 designated as part of our written rebuttal

22

statement.

68

65

```
MR. BOYDSTON: I have no objection, as
     long as it's the aggregate of the testimony. I
     think it is, but I want to know It's not
     excerpts, I believe.
                 MR. MACLEAN: I believe it's the
     entire testimony, your Honor.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 629 is
 9
     admitted.
10
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit No. 629
11
                 was received into evidence.)
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, just to get
12
1 4
     it out of the way. I'll move SDC 630 and 631 into
     evidence, which is basically our response to
1.1
     IFG's rebuttal against the SDC.
15
10
                 MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.
17
                 MS. PLOVNICK: No objection.
18
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 630 and 631 are
     admitted.
20
                 (Whereupon, SDC Exhibit Nos. 630
21
                 and 631 were received into
22
                 evidence.)
```

```
I may list that for everyone. I'll do it slowly
     and concisely. 51, 72, 74, 75, 76, 77, 83, 87,
                 MR. MACLEAN: I'm sorry. Are these
     documents being offered into evidence now or
     you're just letting us know?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, they are being
 8
     offered.
 9
                 MR. MACLEAN: Okay. So I have some
10
     objections to some. Is now the time?
11
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, let's talk about
12
                 MR. MACLEAN: Okay. I believe I've
13
14
     got all the numbers written down, so I'll just go
     through them. IPG 51 is a declaration of Warren
15
16
     Judd related to Adventis Media Center Production.
17
     I object to this declaration on the grounds of
18
     hearsay and no sponsoring witness. But also.
19
     with respect to this one in particular, I object
20
     on the grounds of, in a regular court the word
     would be judicial estoppel. I'm not sure what
```

the Board equivalent to that would be. But Mr.

66

```
MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, the SDC
     rests.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You are not calling a
     witness?
                 MR. MACLEAN: No, your Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okav.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
     call Raul Galaz.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Galaz, you remain
10
     under oath for quite a while.
11
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I know I
12
     haven't made it up there yet, but I'm trying to
     take advantage of dead space, if you will, while
13
     I get myself together. But there's something I
1.4
     want to launch anyway here. Something tells me
15
16
     the adversaries will have a thing or two to say
17
     about it.
18
                There are about 10 differentiations
19
    that we've pre-marked and are in our binders, and
     I'd like to move them into evidence. Each one is
    a declaration signed under penalty of perjury,
     and they are the following documents numbers, if
```

Judd signed an affidavit that was already in evidence, SDC Exhibit -- could I have your exhibit list? MR. BOYDSTON: I do stipulate it's in evidence. MR. MACLEAN: SDC 612. That conflicts with this declaration. And 612 was submitted with the Board, filed with the Board by It Is Written, Inc. If It Is Written, Inc., is going to file declarations with the Board, then IPG 11 should not be allowed to present this conflicting 12 declaration without having the witness present to 13 explain why there's this conflict between his 14 declaration here and the one he previously submitted. 15 16 So they should have the witness here if they want to make some explanation. But the 17 18 declaration on its face makes no explanation, so 19 I object to the admission of IPG 51. JUDGE STRICKLER: Before you respond,

the exhibit you're referring to, the SDC Exhibit

612, did the witness appear here in support of

```
69
                                                                                                                     71
                                                                   about eight years. And so I would submit that
    that?
                                                                   the judges can compare the two and draw their own
                MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, with respect
     to ... 612 is an amendment that It Is Written,
                                                                   conclusion as to what weight they give it.
     Inc., filed with this Board.
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is
                MR. BOYDSTON: It was filed, though.
                                                                   overruled. 51 is admitted.
                                                                                (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 51 was
    There was no personal appearance.
                MR. MACLEAN: Along with an affidavit
                                                                               received into evidence.)
    by Mr. Judd. And then IPG got this declaration
                                                                               MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor.
     that facially conflicts with his affidavit and no
                                                                   I defer to Mr. MacLean. I think he probably has
     explanation for that conflict. This is a case,
                                                              10
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. 72 I think is the
    your Honor, and I understand that the judges are
                                                              11
    admitting declarations when there's good cause
                                                              12
                                                                   next one. Mr. MacLean.
    for the witness not to be here to testify. In
                                                                               MR. MACLEAN: No objection to 72, your
13
                                                              13
    this case, this is an IPG witness for an IPG
14
                                                              14
                                                                   Honor.
    claim. They haven't brought him here to explain
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: 72 is admitted.
15
                                                              15
    this discrepancy. You shouldn't be allowed to
                                                                               (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 72 was
16
                                                              16
17
    take conflicting positions in two serially-
                                                              17
                                                                               received into evidence.)
     submitted affidavits.
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: 74, Mr. MacLean?
                And so I'm offering that as,
                                                                               MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object to
    basically, a rebuttal to the notion that, in this
                                                                   the admission of IPG 74.
21
     particular instance, there is good cause to allow
                                                              21
                                                                               JUDGE BARRETT: And what is the basis
    this document to come in without a sponsoring
                                                              22
                                                                   of the objection, Mr. MacLean?
```

72

```
witness.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor?
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, Mr. Boydston?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. First of
     all, the proffered declaration does address,
     contrary to what Mr. MacLean just said, in the
     last paragraph, paragraph eight, it does address
     the prior affidavit and he does give an
9
     explanation and acknowledges that he is now
10
     correcting that.
11
                 As to a proffering witness, Mr. Galaz
    is a proffering witness. As to good cause, it's
12
13
     about as good as it gets for this proceeding.
    Unfortunately, Mr. Judd is dying, we are told
1.1
15
    literally on his deathbed now from cancer. We
16
    inquired about him attending, and that was the
     answer they gave. And that is the good cause for
17
18
    him not being able to be here in person.
19
                 So I think that, particularly with the
    fact that this, in paragraph eight this affidavit
21
    does address the prior affidavit and, very
```

importantly, this affidavit is later in time by

```
MR. MACLEAN: Hearsay, your Honor.
     And on this one, I'd like to address for a moment
     why, with respect to this one, there is not good
     cause to allow this declarant to appear by
     declaration. And on that basis, your Honor, or
     to that extent. I'd offer SDC 615, as it were.
     rebuttal to the foundation of IPG 74.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: What was your SDC
 9
     number?
10
                 MR. MACLEAN: 615.
11
                 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, we've just
12
     discovered we don't have a copy of 74 in our
13
     binders. We go from 73 to 75, so we can't find
14
                 MR. BOYDSTON: My apologies. I can
15
     give you my copy. Oh, wait, there's a copy right
16
17
     here. You can keep it.
18
                 Your Honor, 615 is Mr. MacLean's
19
     declaration with the attached transcript of the
     Jan Harbour perpetuation of testimony. I
     certainly have no objection and had no objection
     to 615. It is the testimony that was elicited at
```

76

power to subpoena a witness. It's up to IPG to that time. In both that testimony and this

73

- declaration. Ms. Harbour clears up any questions
- there could possibly be regarding Kenneth
- Copeland Ministries, the Kenneth Copeland
- Ministries issues. And I submit that the judges
- are in perfect position to review those
- documents. They're consistent; and, in both
- circumstances, Ms. Harbour is stating under oath
- that Kenneth Copeland Ministries owns the
- material in question and that either Mr. or Mrs.
- 1.7 Copeland personally own it.
- So we covered the same ground in the 12
- 13 '99 cable proceeding. And I submit that this
- 14 evidence is very clear on its face, and there's
- 15 really no reason why it shouldn't be admitted.
- 16 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, we did cover
- 17 the same ground on the '99 proceeding but on an
- incomplete record, which is why this time I'm
- offering SDC 615 as part of this record. And if
- 20 Mr. Boydston has no objection, I offer 615 into
- 21 evidence.
- 22 MR. BOYDSTON: No, absolutely none.

- answer the very critical problems that we've
- raised in the Senate Minority Committee report.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, this is --
- JUDGE STRICKLER: Let me finish --
- MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, your Honor.
- Sorry.
- MR. MACLEAN: We've taken a position
- in this case that IPG should not be entitled to a
- 10 presumption of validity with respect to its
- 1.1 claims. And bearing in mind, IPG has in the past
- made claims on behalf of, and including in this 12
- 13 case in 1999, made claims on behalf of an entity
- 14 that did not own the copyright to the program it
- was claiming. 15
- 16 Now we have a very substantial reason.
- 17 a very substantial purpose, a reason to question
- 18 Kenneth Copeland Ministries' claims of copyright
- ownership of the programs it claims in this
- proceeding, and IPG has chosen and Kenneth
- Copeland Ministries has chosen not to answer
- 22 those questions. They should not be allowed to
- 74

1

13

- MR. MACLEAN: Okay. And, your Honor,
- with ...

1

- JUDGE BARRETT: 615 is already
- 4 admitted.
- 5 MR. MACLEAN: With respect to Ms.
- 6 Harbour's testimony, both in her declaration and
- 7 in the deposition transcript attached to SDC 615,
- she does not address, she does not clear up and
- certainly does not even address the most
- 10 important question, which is what do Kenneth
- 11 Copeland and Gloria Copeland's employment
- 12 agreements provide with respect to the ownership
- of the copyright? Ms. Harbour appeared 13
- 14 voluntarily, as established in SDC 615, for a
- 15 deposition. She refused to answer questions on
- that issue. She refused to -- they have not
- 17 produced the employment agreement from this case,
- they have not offered the employment agreements 18
- 19 in this case. The critical question with respect
- 20 to the ownership of Kenneth Copeland Ministries
- 21 programming is not answered. Now, I'd like to 22 make -- and that's on IPG. We don't have the

- without addressing the most salient question,

introduce this declaration, IPG 74, particularly

- which is what do the employment agreements
- provide? This is an issue in this case, and this
- is an issue that they have chosen to evade and
- And I say evade advisably because
- that is what they did. In the voluntary
- deposition of Jan Harbour conducted in the 1999
- 10 proceedings, as set forth in SDC 615, it was the
- 11 most evasive deposition I have ever conducted in
- my career. It should not be allowed, and they 12
- should not be permitted to do this. JUDGE BARRETT: My goodness, Mr. 14
- MacLean, you've had a calm career. 15
- 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, and I
- 17 apologize --
- 18 JUDGE BARRETT: No, we're going to
- 19 reserve on this one, so let's go ahead to the
- 20 next one. That would be 75.
- 21 MR. BOYDSTON: 75, yes. Can I just --
- he spoke for a while. That's argument. I think

77 79 JUDGE BARRETT: Next one is 76. he's entitled to make argument. That's not a MR. MACLEAN: No objection to 76, your reason to not admit it. That's my response. Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. JUDGE BARRETT: 76 is admitted. MR. BOYDSTON: That was 74, correct? JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. Now we're (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 76 was received into evidence.) talking about 75. MR. MACLEAN: Okay. Sorry, your JUDGE BARRETT: 77? MR. MACLEAN: No objection to 77, your Honor. I think we're now on IPG 75? JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. Honor. 10 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, well, this 10 JUDGE BARRETT: 77 is admitted. 11 is a very, very lengthy exhibit here. I object 11 (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 77 was received into evidence.) 12 to both the foundation of this exhibit, there's 12 13 no offering witness, and with respect to the form 13 THOGE BARRETT: 83? MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object to in which it's being offered, which is just a mass 1.4 15 collection of various kinds of correspondence. 15 83. This appears to be a declaration of Willie 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Excuse me Mr 16 Wilson containing opinion testimony with regard 17 Maclean. My Exhibit 75 is a three-page letter. to the correct, to the categorization of Willie 17 Wilson's program "Singsation." With respect to 18 MS. PLOVNICK: Mine is also. 18 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Mine is also. both the declaration from Envoy and this 19 20 MR. MACLEAN: Mine has about maybe -declaration from IWV Media, the judges have ruled 20 JUDGE BARRETT: It's a Worldchanger 21 that opinion testimony is not admissible. And letter signed by -this is actual even more clearly opinion 78 1 MR. BOYDSTON: Look and see what you testimony in this declaration than with respect have. I don't know why this is here. It should to the others. just be this three-page letter. You know, I 3 IPG was ordered in this case to guess, to aid the SDC, I'd be willing to, in a produce exemplar of Willie Wilson's broadcast program "Singsation." They failed to do that. break, try and figure out what this is, if it belongs somewhere else, so that your records are The only testimony in the record so far relating complete, or if it's just surplusage. But all to the exhibit that they did produce is that it that's being offered is the three-page letter. was not an exemplar of a broadcast program, and I MR. MACLEAN: All right. note that the declaration doesn't even mention JUDGE BARRETT: Maybe that's the 10 10 the exemplar or seek to lay any further 11 missing Exhibit 122. foundation for it at all. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: I'd have to go back and 12 So I object both on the basis of 13 look, but, if so, then they've got two of them. 13 improper opinion testimony and on the basis of 14 MR. MACLEAN: I object to SDC 75 as 14 improper foundation and on the basis of failure 16 hearsay, your Honor. 15 to comply with the Board's discovery order with 16 JUDGE BARRETT: I think you meant IPG 16 respect to the production of exemplars of this program "Singsation." 17 75. 17 18 MR. MACLEAN: I'm sorry. IPG 75. 18 MR. BOYDSTON: We did produce an JUDGE BARRETT: IPG 75 is admitted. exemplar. Mr. Rovin said he didn't think it was 13 19 representative. That's his opinion. We think 20 (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 75 was 20 21 received into evidence.) 21 he's just wrong. You can decide for yourself MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor. because this is going to be provided to you and

84

81

```
offered in evidence. That is the issue with
     regard to the exemplar.
                 As for Mr. Wilson, Mr. Wilson here is
     just simply stating facts about the nature of his
     programming. He's saying I sing gospel music,
     the themes are this, the themes are that. That's
     giving you factual information.
                 If there is an opinion in here, I
     don't see it, but perhaps Mr. MacLean can point
10
    it out. I'd also just point out, too, that under
11
     the law and under the rules of evidence, opinions
12
     are not inadmissible. An expert opinion by a
     layperson is inadmissible. We're not offering
     Mr. Williams as an expert. We are offering him
     as a percipient witness who can describe facts
16
     about his own television program, and that's what
17
18
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, he doesn't
19
     even limit his own television program. He's
20
     comparing it to other television programs,
21
     including programs claimed by the SDC. It's all
     opinion. This is essentially --
```

```
JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston?
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor.
     Only because, out of deference to the panel, it
     is 10:30 in case you would like to have time for
     a break. But I'm ready to go right now.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Let's go. I don't
     think this will be very lengthy.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: My hope, too.
     WHEREUPON.
     RAUL GALAZ
10
11
     was called as a witness by Counsel for the
     Worldwide Subsidy Group and, having been first
     duly sworn, assumed the witness stand, was
     examined and testified as follows:
15
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
16
17
                 Now, Mr. Galaz, please take a look at
18
     what has been marked and admitted, by my notes,
19
     as Exhibit 62.
20
           А
                 All right.
21
           0
                 Now. I believe we covered this or we
```

discussed this with regard to challenges by the

82

22

1 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Your objection to lay opinion is granted, and we will clarify as we enter the order which portions of the declaration we are not considering and which we are. So it is admitted. Exhibit 83 is admitted, subject to redaction of opinion, lay opinion. (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 83 was received into evidence.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And 87? 11 MR. MACLEAN: No objection to 87, your 12 Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: 87 is admitted. 13 14 (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 87 was 11 received into evidence.) JUDGE BARRETT: And 88? 10 17 MR. MACLEAN: No objection to 88, your 18 Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: 88 is admitted. (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 88 was 21 received into evidence.) 22 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor.

MPAA, and I'm simply, there's been the same challenge, essentially, by the SDC. So for the record, I just want to make sure that we address JUDGE BARRETT: On second thought, let's take our morning recess. Fifteen minutes. (Whereupon, the above-referred to matter went off the record at 10:32 9 and went back on the record at 10 10:52 a.m.) JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. We 11 12 did inquire about the temperature in the room. 13 Apparently, there's a whole sector of the 14 building that has no heat, so feel free to throw 15 on shawls or overcoats or whatever is necessary. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, before I 16 17 resume with Mr. Galaz, you may recall last week 18 Judge Strickler had a question about whether or not we could identify programs for which IPG made a challenge in its written rebuttal statement 20 21 and, of those, which programs the MPAA was 22 responding to or wasn't, and we endeavored to do

88

85

```
that. And I'm not offering this as evidence.
```

- I'm offering it just as an aid in response to
- your inquiry. So I have it here to distribute if
- you would like it.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: I like the idea. I
- have a problem with it not necessarily being
- evidence and being shown to the MPAA. That's the
- document that identifies what they're not
- objecting to without them having an opportunity
- to see it and examine the witness, that's
- troublesome I think.
- 12 MR. BOYDSTON: I understand. We were
- 13 just trying to comply with your request. And as
- 14 we did it, that occurred to us. So, I mean, one
- 15 thing I can do is I can provide it to counsel and
- 16 then maybe they can get back to us and say what
- 17 they think of it, and we could submit it at some
- point at a later date. 18
- 19 MR. OLANIRAN: Your Honor, I believe
- 20 the question was actually directed at us, and we
- 21 were going to provide it. I think I have some
- qualifications about how we're going to respond

- of fact and citing to the documents and the
- transcripts, as necessary, and we'll figure it
- MR. BOYDSTON: Very good. I was just
- bringing it up now because there had been talk
- about it. So we tried to do something along
- those lines.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Be frightfully aware
- that there should be no proposed finding of fact
- for which there is nothing in the record. And if
- it would facilitate MPAA coming up with their
- findings, perhaps you should tell them. 12
- 13 Communication, you know --
- 14 MR. BOYDSTON: I have plenty of copies
- 15 of this, so I will give it to them and they can
- 16 do whatever they wish with it.
 - JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
- MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor? 18
- 19 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. MacLean?
- MR. MACLEAN: I wanted to offer, with 20
- 21 respect to IPG Exhibit 83, which is the
- declaration of Willie Wilson, you ruled that you

86

17

- to that. Whatever is in the record is in the
- record. We will, at some point, identify the
- titles that we're not addressing with evidence,
- but we do address all of the titles one way or
- another.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: I may be wrong, but
- I thought we were going back and forth and
- discussing this. One of the alternatives, and
- maybe it was my alternative, was that perhaps it
- would be addressed in the proposed findings of 10
- 11 fact.
- 12 MR. OLANIRAN: I think you did mention
- 13 something to that effect, your Honor,
- 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay. I may have
- misunderstood.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: It was a back and
- 17 forth that was rather casual, so it's not a
- 18 matter of misunderstanding so much as just trying
- to figure out the best way to do it. And maybe ננ
- 20 the best way to do it is for you to hang on to
- those documents and use them as aids for yourself
- for purposes of instructing the proposed findings

- would accept it but subject to redaction. And
- over the break, we went through and found the
- five redactions that we would request, and I
- thought, to assist the judges and also to make
- our record, if we could offer those suggested
- redactions.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Sounds like a plan.
- Okay. Mr. MacLean, you may request redaction at
- this time.
- 10 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, your Honor. First
- 11 redaction, paragraph three, we request redaction
- of the entirety of that paragraph starting from 12
- the words "while I understand." So starting from
- the second sentence of that paragraph, the 14
- 15 remainder of the paragraph.
- 16 JUDGE STRICKLER: And the basis for
- the requested redaction?
- MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, the basis
- for all of these redactions are that these 19
- 20 reflect opinion testimony, inadmissible lay
- 21 opinion testimony, and also that they describe
- 22 opinion relating to the content of a program, an

Wilson does with the profits that his company exemplar of which has not been offered or makes. admitted into evidence. And then paragraph seven, back to lay JUDGE STRICKLER: I have a question opinion and failure to produce an exemplar or lay for you. When you say it's inadmissible lay a foundation for an exemplar, we object to the testimony, are you saying it's inadmissible first sentence of paragraph seven starting with because it's lay testimony or it's the type of lay testimony that should not be admitted? "music appearing in Singsation" and ending with MR. MACLEAN: It's the type of lay "just a music program." And that concludes our opinion that should not be admitted -request for redaction. 9 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. Do 10 JUDGE STRICKLER: Opinion. 10 MR. MACLEAN: -- your Honor. 11 you want to respond, Mr. Boydston? 12 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, your Honor, JUDGE STRICKLER: Not that it's lav 13 opinion, it's improper lay opinion? 13 briefly. With regard to paragraph three, again, 14 MR. MACLEAN: Improper lay opinion. 14 I think this is, we are not offering as an 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. We will take 15 expert. We're offering him simply as a lay percipient witness. And I think, on those 16 your requested redactions under advisement, but 16 grounds, what is in paragraph three here is 17 we do want to go ahead and get them on the 17 permissible. He's saying what he understand and 1Ĥ record, okay? So we're not going to rule right 18 what he believes. That doesn't carry with it the 19 now. 19 weight of an expert. It carries the weight of a 20 20 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, your Honor. 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, should I 21 percipient. And as a percipient, he is in the 22 best condition to describe certain facts about make comment or is this my opportunity to make

90

89

92

comment, or how do you want to handle that? JUDGE BARRETT: Let's let him get through the whole thing, and then you may -1 comment. MR. BOYDSTON: Okav. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, in paragraph four, we request redaction of the second sentence, second and third sentences of paragraph four starting with "scripture is often cited" and ending in "which is predominantly devotional in 10 nature." 11 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. 1 4 MR. MACLEAN: Same basis as with 1.1 respect to the last one. With respect to 14 paragraph six, we object, we request redaction of the first clause, "no different than other religious programming" to the end of that clause, and we also request redaction in paragraph six, the last sentence of paragraph six. On this

redaction, our request is actually not on the

basis of opinion. This one, we object to this

sentence on the basis of relevance as to what Mr.

his programming. And when he says it's a program that is primarily intended to inspire the tenets of Christianity, I think that is a statement of his own intent and his own belief. That doesn't mean it carries the weight of an expert. It means it carries the 6 weight of the individual who is actually speaking, singing, and performing. Now, you can 8 take that with a grain of salt, but it is he who 9 is developing the program and he who is actually 10 singing, and certainly he who is speaking can say 11 what they think they're speaking about. And 13 that's not an expert opinion. That's percipient, 14 I would submit. Now, where he says, "I consider such presentation no different than any other program intended to inspire the tenets of religious faith," there again, that is his own personal lay opinion, and we're offering it for nothing further. And if you take that into 20 21 ·consideration, obviously any such consideration 22 you took would not be as dramatic as if it were

21

from an expert. It's not being offered from an 1

2 expert.

With regard to paragraph four, he 4 says, "Scripture is often cited in Singsation and

personal religious testimonials appear within the

program." That is purely factual statement.

Then he says, "To relegate Singsation to being

just music, like Soul Train and American

Bandstand, misunderstands the purpose and

10 content." Again, there he is offering his view

11 on the comparison with those programs, but it is

12 not expert testimony and not offered for that

13 purpose. You can take it with a grain of salt.

but that's what the man who actually makes this 14

15 stuff save.

17

19

16 With regard to paragraph six, no

different than other religious programming, I

18 mean, it's just an opening phrase anyway. It's not that significant. The last two sentences,

though, he's saying I understand that certain

parties have attempted to distinguish us, you

know, in this particular way, and he says we are,

to whether it's a videotape that was not aired on

television but rather was distributed in some

other commercial form?

MR. MACLEAN: Yes, your Honor. This

DVD, and I know that it's not offered into

evidence, and we are going to object if that

comes up. But this DVD, and, actually, you'll

see in the portion of Mr. Wilson's, I think Dr.

Wilson's testimony that we have not objected to,

10 or at least not on the basis that I just

17 described with respect to our redactions,

"Singsation" is basically, basically promotes the 12

sale of these videos. So that's a video that 13

Willie Wilson Productions sells as a video. If 14

you want to buy the video, you can buy the video. 15

And then at the beginning of a video, there's an 16

17 introduction saving this is the DVD that we're

producing, you know, it's our first DVD 18

production. It says something to that effect.

20 It's not, even on its face or even purported to

be, a broadcast, an exemplar of a broadcast

program.

1

93

you know, refers to the profit. Again, this is

fact. If you consider that to be an irrelevant

fact, terrific. That's up for you to decide.

It's not a question of admissibility. There's a

question about relevance. Clearly, Mr. Wilson

think it's relevant as to whether or not he's taking profit from something that he thinks he's

doing, which is religious. You can take it or

10 Number seven. With regard to this

11 exemplar, there is an exemplar. I've got it here

to admit and then provide it to counsel and other 12

13 parties. You can decide what you think is on

14 here. It is a number of different programs, some

15 of which, I think all of which were, in fact,

broadcast. Mr. Rovin testified that he didn't 16

think this was representative. That's Mr. 17 Rovin's testimony. Okay. But I think if you

19 look at this, you'll see that it is a fair

exemplar of Mr. Williams --

21 JUDGE STRICKLER: Question: is this

the proposed exemplar that there's a dispute as

. And in response to something that Mr.

Boydston said, if it is true that Dr. Wilson is

in the best position to describe the content of

his programming, it's true only because they

defied the judge's discovery order requiring them

to produce an exemplar so we could be familiar

with the contents of Dr. Wilson's, of Willie

Wilson Productions broadcast program.

JUDGE STRICKLER: Refresh my memory.

Did Mr. Rovin testify as to that point?

11 MR. MACLEAN: Mr. Rovin testified that

12 he did review the DVD. He didn't say it wasn't

13 representative. He said it was, on its face, not

14 a broadcast program. It was a DVD produced and

15 marketed as a DVD. So it's not an exemplar of

16 the broadcast programming of Dr. Wilson's

17

18 And I'll point out that Dr. Wilson

19 himself, if they could lay a foundation for that

20 DVD, the place to do it would be in his

declaration. The place to do it would be for him 21

to say this is an exemplar of our broadcast

18

97

```
programming. He's not doing that. They've got
     no foundation --
                JUDGE STRICKLER: If the question is
     whether it's, on its face, a television program
     or a commercial DVD, arguably, if we watch that
     portion, we'd be able to determine that our for
     ourselves, right?
                MR. MACLEAN: Well, I agree with that.
     I'm not sure that that answers the question from
     an evidentiary basis. But, I mean, I agree with
11
     that. If you were to watch the program, you'd
12
     see the same thing that Mr. Rovin saw and that
    it's, on its face, not a broadcast exemplar.
13
                MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I just
14
    wanted to say for the record our only objection
15
    would be that the copy that we got of the
16
17
    purported exemplar was not in the kind of
    packaging and everything there. It was just a
1 ×
    copy with the title on top of it, and so I've
10
    never seen the packaging or any of that, so I
```

don't know if it is, in fact, what was produced

to us. He's representing that, so that may be.

```
MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, I would
     object that he's testifying. That's not in Mr.
     Wilson's affidavit, and he's not here to make
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I was addressing Mr.
     MacLean's comments that we defied the order --
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: -- for an exemplar.
     That was my point.
10
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Go ahead. You
     were about to ask Mr. Galaz, I think, about an
11
     exhibit
12
13
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I was. Exhibit 62.
14
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                 Now, we've looked at Exhibit 62
15
16
     before, and we can go through this fairly
17
     quickly. But first let's provide everyone what
     Exhibit 62 is.
18
                 Exhibit 62 is IPG's 2008 cable and
19
20
     2008 satellite claim that were sent in under a
```

1

5

10

12

1 But what I got was not in the kind of bubble wrap 2 thing. 3 However, it would be consistent with what Mr. Rovin said, that it was a commercial DVD and that it's a bubble-wrapped commercial DVD. MR. MACLEAN: One last point. If you look at the first sentence of paragraph three in IPG 83, which we haven't requested redaction for, "Singsation" is a half-hour program that's aired 10 on Sunday mornings for 25 years. That DVD is not 11 a half-hour program. It's I think hour long, 12 two-hour long. Anyway, longer than a half an 13 hour commercially-produced DVD for purposes of 14 sale as a DVD. 15 MR. BOYDSTON: We didn't define anything. We asked Mr. Wilson for an exemplar. 16 17 He said. "All I can give you is a DVD we sell. 18 The content of the DVD we sell are different 19 programs that we broadcast or different episodes 20 or segments from our broadcast." That's what 21 this is, and if you watch it it's evidently clear that's exactly what it is.

100

And I think you testified, well, do

you recall the MPAA made an argument that certain

common cover letter, and the Express Mail receipt

claims for 2008 cable and satellite simply had

4

is right here attached to it, as well.

never been made; do you recall that?

A That's correct.

6 And the SDC has leveled the same

attack. Are you familiar with that?

8 Yes. I am.

And, again, you testified about it

before. What did you figure out as to the, you

know, the document upon which they were relying?

Well, the document upon which

apparently appears in the record of the CRB is an

incomplete exhibit for 2008 satellite but not for

2008 cable. It's literally the exact same 15

16 exhibit. The heading is the same, and it

17 indicates that it's an exhibit for both cable and

satellite. The official version of the 2008 18

19 cable claim is the entirety of ten pages. The

exhibit for 2008 satellite was missing pages, I 20

think, four and five, nine and ten, if I recall 21

correctly. And that's pretty much . . .

103 101 And were they produced in discovery to Okav. And. again. Exhibit 62 is consistent with -- strike that. I think we your knowledge? covered it Please take a look at Exhibit 64. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to Okav. move that Exhibit 65 be admitted. 0 And tell us what Exhibit 64 is. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object to Exhibit 64 are the agreements that were produced to the SDC that relate to any IPGthe admission of Mr. Judd's declaration on the same grounds as I previously objected to it in a represented claimant who had a program that could be in the devotional category. different exhibit. With respect to the remainder And are you familiar with the records of Exhibit IPG 65, no objection. JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 65 is admitted 11 that constitute Exhibit 64? 11 in as much as -- oh, didn't we reserve on this 12 Yes, and you can see from the Bates 12 13 stamp that they were produced by IPG in this 13 14 proceeding. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: No, your Honor, you admitted it, but this is duplicative of it. 15 0 Yes. And where did you come across 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, we did. And so 16 these documents? 16 17 From the business records of WSG. 17 65 is admitted. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 65 was 1 4 18 move that Exhibit 64 be admitted. received into evidence.) 119 19 MR. MACLEAN: No objection, your MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor. 20 20 21 21 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Honor. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. Please take a look at what's marked as 102 104 JUDGE BARRETT: 64 is admitted. Exhibit 66 and tell me if you're familiar with (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 64 was that. received into evidence.) 3 Yes, I am. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor. 4 And what is it? BY MR. BOYDSTON: These are documents that were produced in discovery to the SDC in these proceedings and 6 Please take a look at what's been marked Exhibit 65, and tell me if you're familiar several of them in the 2000 and 2003 proceedings. with that. It constitutes correspondence from the IPG-Α 9 represented claimant where they indicate which And what is that document? What are 0 10 programs they want us to make claim on their those documents? behalf. 12 These are all documents that were And where did you get these documents? 13 submitted by IPG-represented claimants, a variety 13 From the WSG business records. 14 of acknowledgements of representation. The only MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 15 exception I think is the very first one. I don't move to admit Exhibit 66. 15 16 think we requested Adventis to sign an 16 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I have three 17 acknowledgment of representation because the 17 -- this is a lengthy exhibit, and I object to the 18 substance of that appeared in Mr. Judd's 18 manner in which it's being provided to the panel. declaration anyway, so it was just unnecessary. 19 But in addition to that, I have three specific 19 20 0 And where did you come across these 20 objections that I'd like to raise. 21 documents? JUDGE BARRETT: Before you raise any 21 22 WSG business records. 22 specific objections, Mr. MacLean, we note that

```
105
                                                                 simply stating what she understands of her own
     this exhibit is marked as restricted.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, your Honor.
                                                                 knowledge.
                                                                             JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. We're
     I would request that we go into closed session.
                                                             3
                                                                 looking at the bottom half of the page or the
                 JUDGE BARRETT: They're going right
                                                                 bottom half to the text; is that correct?
     ahead. They know what's coming. Thank you,
                                                                             MR. MACLEAN: Yes, your Honor. There
     gentlemen. Mr. MacLean?
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, these all
                                                                  are two emails on IPG 1153. There's an email at
                                                                  the top and an email below it.
     fall into the category of objection to improper
     lay opinion testimony. And so, first, I would
                                                                             JUDGE BARRETT: Right. And doesn't
                                                                  that say Maureen, comma, blah, blah, blah, blah,
     object to the email at the top of IPG 1146.
                                                                 Raul, so that would be Mr. Galaz's opinion?
11
     We're on IPG Exhibit 66, Exhibit 66, but Bates
                                                            12
                                                                             MR. MACLEAN: Thank you. All the more
     number IPG 1146. I think they're all in Bates
                                                            13
                                                                 reason to object to that statement.
13
14
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, again,
                                                            14
                                                                             MR. BOYDSTON: I'm not offering it for
15
     we're not offering this as expert testimony.
                                                            15
                                                                 his opinion either. I mean, this was just the
                                                                 correspondence. And if I was offering it for
16
     This is simply what the woman wrote. This is
                                                            16
17
     simply what the person wrote in their email. I
                                                            17
                                                                 expert opinion, it would absolutely be
                                                                  inadmissible for that purpose. I'm not. We're
     think that the SDC is going a bit overboard on
18
                                                            18
                                                                 simply providing -- all we're doing here, the
19
    this issue about opinion. Again, lay opinion is
                                                            19
     not inadmissible. It's inadmissible if it's
                                                                 only reason this is being provided is to show
                                                            20
20
21
    offered for its expertise, and that's not what
                                                            21
                                                                 there was correspondence between the parties.
                                                            22
                                                                             JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. thank you. Mr.
    we're doing.
```

106

108

```
1
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I just
     object to the first sentence at the top of this
     email at 1146 where she says "most or all of our
     programs are based on Christianity and would be
     considered religious/devotional." That's
     precisely the opinion that was already offered
     through a declaration of Envoy Productions and
     that the judges excluded from that declaration.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Noted. And your other
     objection?
10
11
                MR. MACLEAN: IPG 1153, the email on
12
     the lower portion of that page, "As you also
     brought to my attention, the primary focus is
14
     devotional in nature, a fact that I've confirmed
     with the information appearing on episode
     synopses for the broadcasts." In IWV Media's
17
     declaration that was offered into evidence, the
     judges excluded this precise opinion, and I would
14
19
    ask that, consistent with that ruling, that this
    opinion be excluded from this email, as well.
20
                MR. BOYDSTON: Our position is the
21
22
    same. It's not offered for expertise. She's
```

Galaz, I'm sorry, no disrespect meant by the "blah, blah, blah, " Mr. MacLean? MR. MACLEAN: And IPG 1207 in the same exhibit. This one is a particularly odd one, but I'll refer to the -- I object to the third sentence of this email where I believe Ms. Jackson is saying, "I believe Singsation falls under the category of devotional program which 9 generates a higher percentage than religious programming." So for whatever it's worth, I 10 object to the admission of that sentence in which Ms. Jackson claims that the programming, claims 13 her own opinion of the program being devotional and not religious. 15 MR. BOYDSTON: My response is the same. It's not offered for expert opinion. It's 16 17 merely offered to show that there was correspondence between the parties, and I 18 19 wouldn't expect that the panel, if it admitted 20 this, would give any expert weight to that 21 statement.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you.

111 109 move that Exhibit 67 be admitted. Thank you, Mr. MacLean. You may proceed, Mr. MR. MACLEAN: No objections. Boydston. MS. PLOVNICK: No objection. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I just JUDGE BARRETT: 67 is admitted. wasn't clear on the status of Exhibit 66. Is it (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 67 was being admitted or not admitted or admitted but not as to those things and we're holding those in received into evidence.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor. reserve? JUDGE BARRETT: Exactly the latter. BY MR. BOYDSTON: It is admitted but with the caveat that we will Please take a look at what's been 9 marked as Exhibit 68, and tell me if you're review the specific objections raised by Mr. 10 familiar with that document. MacLean to the language therein. 12 (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 66 was 13 13 I believe we've discussed this received into evidence.) 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. 14 document before, and it may have been admitted as 15 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 an SDC exhibit, but I'm not certain. And I think there may be handwritten notes on this one that 16 Mr. Galaz, please take a look at 16 17 what's been marked as Exhibit 67. Are you 17 were not in the other one. In any event, tell us what this is, specifically IPG Exhibit 68. 18 familiar with that, with those documents? 18 19 Yes. I am. 19 Exhibit 68 is the agreement between 20 20 IPG and Maureen Millen's various companies. The Λ And what were these documents? 21 They're comparable to the prior --21 reason I think it was. I think there was an issue Α 22 And they're also restricted. I would that the third page was errantly, somehow I 110 112 point out, so . . . presume it was a scanning error, excluded from JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. the production to the SDC. We had, in fact, MR. GALAZ: Comparable to the prior produced this in the 2000 and 2003 proceedings in category of documents. It's correspondence from 4 its entirety, and there was, in fact, testimony IPG-represented claimants to IPG. My about all three of the pages from me in the 2000 and 2003 proceedings. But it's the full three recollection is that it was in response to a follow-up discovery request asking for further 7 pages. correspondence between these parties and us in MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 8 response to -- maybe I need to back up. My move that you admit Exhibit 68. recollection is that there was a follow-up MR. MACLEAN: May I voir dire, your 10 10 11 request for documents that precipitated the other correspondence whereby IPG-represented claimants JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 12 VOIR DIRE EXAMINATION that identified what programs they wanted IPG to 13 BY MR. MACLEAN: 1.1 make claim for. 15 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 Mr. Galaz, the third page of Exhibit Okay. And were these documents 16 68 that starts with Exhibit A, is this the page 16 17 produced in discovery? 17 that you're referring to that was omitted from 1 14 Yes, as indicated by the Bates stamp. 18 the production? And where did you find these 19 Q 19 This is from my recollection. I think that's why we included it here. But I think 200 documents? 20 21 In the WSG business records. 21 that's correct, yes. 22 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 22 0 And these handwritten notes at the

116

113

```
bottom of Exhibit A, are these -- whose notes are
these?
```

- 3 A Maureen Millen's.
- 4 Q And is it your testimony that this
- 5 Exhibit A was included with this representation
- agreement at the same time that this
- representation agreement was created in 2012?
- 8 A Absolutely. And this document, all
- 9 three pages were, in fact, produced in the 2000
- ond 2003 proceedings. I suspect that somehow,
- 11 because we ran into this a handful of occasions,
- 12 when we were producing documents they were
- 13 getting scanned by a company that has a very
- 14 large scanner that runs very quickly. We found a
- 15 few errors, and there were things that had been
- 16 skipped over. So I think that's just one of
- 17 them.
- MR. MACLEAN: No objection, your
- 19 Honor.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 68 is
- 21 admitted.
- (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 68 was

- BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- Q Mr. Galaz, are you familiar with the
- 3 content of the excerpt of the record that is
- 4 Exhibit 71?
- 5 A It's been a while since I've looked at
- 6 this, so hang on one second. I'm fairly certain
- 7 I know why it was here. Yes, I know why it is
- B here.
- Q And what is the relevance of these
- 10 excerpts from that proceeding presently?
- 11 A In the, I believe, SDC rebuttal
- 12 statement -- well, there's two. In the SDC
- 13 rebuttal statement and the MPAA rebuttal
- 14 statement, there were assertions as to when I was
- 15 involved with WSG actively and in what capacity.
- 16 And there was, in fact, in the order following
- 17 the preliminary hearing, a reference that was
- 18 actually inaccurate as to when I was involved.
- 19 This excerpt addresses that subject. It also
- 20 addresses the subject of my preparation and Ms.
- 21 Millen's execution of the document we looked at
- 22 immediately prior that she signed on behalf of

114

- received into evidence.)

 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor.
- BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- 4 O Please take a look at what has been
- marked as Exhibit 71.
- 6 A Okay.
- 7 Q And are you familiar with this
- 8 document?
- P A Yes. It's an excerpt from testimony
- 10 in the 2000 and 2003 proceeding, specifically at
- 11 the preliminary hearing.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
- 13 move to admit Exhibit 71.
- 14 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, since the
- 15 entire testimony here isn't included, I guess I'd
- 16 like to have a proffer of relevance as to the
- 17 portion of the testimony that's been provided
- 18 here.
- JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston?
- 20 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, your Honor. May
- 21 I question the witness?
- JUDGE BARRETT: You may.

- IWV and several other entities.
- Q Okay. And you said there were two
- 3 purposes, and there's also two sections of the
- 4 transcript. I assume that you're referring to
- 5 the first section of the transcript that's
- 6 included?
- 7 A I think it may bounce around, but it
- 8 looks like these hearings were on three separate
- 9 days, November 13th and November 14th, and early
- 10 December, December 5th. And so you can see that
- 11 there's an excerpt from November 13th and an
- 12 excerpt from December 5th.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, your
- 14 Honor.
- 15 MS. PLOVNICK: Is this being offered
- 16 or --

- MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, it is.
- 18 MS. PLOVNICK: We just want to raise
- 19 one objection on completeness. It's confusing to
- 20 us because the first cover page is just the
- 21 November 13th day, but I think there were
- 22 snippets from various different days. In some

117

- parts, Mr. Galaz is being crossed. Other parts
 look like moving in certain exhibits and
- different things. So we feel like that, clearly,
- the Board has a copy of this transcript. They
- 5 have the whole thing, so we know this is a public
- 6 record. But we feel like this is not complete
- and a little misleading as presented, so we would
- 8 object on that basis.
- 9 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, your Honor, the
- 10 language speaks for itself. If you read it and
- 11 it makes no sense to you, then it makes no sense
- 12 to you. I think we set it up in a fashion in
- 13 which it will make sense to you, especially when
- 14 we reter to it in our closing papers and things
- 15 like that.

1

- JUDGE BARRETT: There are two cover
- 17 pages. It's pretty clear.
- 18 MS. PLOVNICK: There are?
- JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, there's a
- November 13th cover page and then a half dozen
- 21 pages back there's another cover page indicating
- 22 testimony from December 5th.

- 1 proceeding?
- 2 A Yes.
- 3 Q And how long or for which broadcast
- 4 years?
- 5 A It's for a three-year period. Let's
- 6 see. 2001, 2002, and 2003.
- 7 Q Let me ask you to take a look at
- 8 what's been marked as Exhibit 73, and are you
- 9 familiar with that document?
- 10 A Yes, I am.
- 11 Q And it appears to be a letter from a
- 12 representative of Billy Graham and then also --
- 13 well, actually, two letters from the Billy 'Graham
- 14 Evangelistic Association. Are you familiar with
- 15 these documents?
- 16 A Yes. I am.
- 17 Q And please explain to us the nature of
- 18 the contract between IPG and Billy Graham
- 19 Evangelistic Association.
- 20 A Well, it's actually three separate
- 21 contracts, each for a particular broadcast year.
- 22 They don't reference the 2001 contract here, but

118

- MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor. I have no
- objection to the admission of this exhibit with
- 3 the proviso, with the caveat that the portion of
- the exhibit starting on page 545, and you'll see
- 5 that that's part of a new section. I would just
- ask that it be noted, if Mr. Boydston agrees with
- 7 this, that this is actually the cross-examination
- 8 conducted, Mr. Galaz's cross-examination
- 9 conducted by Mr. Olaniran, which doesn't appear
- on the face of the document. But if that's not
- in dispute, then I have no objection.
- MR. BOYDSTON: That is not in dispute,
- 13 and that is true.
- 14 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. So
- 15 71 is admitted.
- 16 (Whereupon, IPG Exhibit No. 71 was
- 17 received into evidence.)
- 1% MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, your Honor.
- 19 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- $_{
 m 20}$ Q Mr. Galaz, please take -- well,
- 21 actually, before you do that, does IPG represent
- 22 Billy Graham Evangelistic Association in this

- 1 it exists. Well, I guess that answers your
- 2 question.
- 3 O An issue has been raised by the SDC as
- 4 to whether or not IPG actually agreed to live by
- 5 the terms of the agreement between the parties.
- 6 Has IPG, to the best of your knowledge, lived up
- 7 to the agreement with Billy Graham Evangelistic -
- -
- 9 MR. MACLEAN: I have to object. When
- 10 Mr. Boydston adds the qualifier "to the best of
- 11 your knowledge," I have to object on the basis of
- 12 foundation.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Sure.
- 14 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
- 15 Q What's your position with IPG?
- 16 A I'm its sole employee.
- 17 Q And are you familiar with IPG's
- 18 agreements with Billy Graham Evangelistic
- 19 Association?
 - A Yes.
- 21 Q Thank you. Has IPG attempted to live
- 22 up to the obligations between the written

121 123 of the Kenneth Copeland television series, which document that Billy Graham Evangelistic Association, I should say documents portal that goes under a variety of names. (Simultaneous speaking) you referred to -- I'm sorry. Now my question is MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I object to a mess, and I have to start over again. Has IPG acted consistent with the the witness! characterization of this document. It is not in evidence and move to strike. agreement, the written agreements between it and JUDGE BARRETT: The identification, Billy Graham Evangelistic Association? sustained. The identification is that it is a Yes. it has. printout from the World Wide Web. I believe that IPG may not have signed one of those agreements; is that true? MR. BOYDSTON: Yes. 10 I think we don't have copies of, JUDGE BARRETT: Are you offering it 11 11 12 signed copies of either 2002 or 2003. But IPG 12 then. Mr. -made the July claims on behalf of Billy Graham. 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I was just going 13 Billy Graham has cooperated with us. We've moved to ask another question to lay the foundation. I don't think I asked him. I need to ask one more forward under an understanding by all parties that we were representing them for not just 2001 question before I move to admit. 16 17 but also 2002 and 2003. 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. 18 Thank you. 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Mr. Galaz, did, how did 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to 19 you come by these documents? THE WITNESS: I went online to the 20 move to admit Exhibit 73. 20 Kenneth Copeland Ministries web page, as simple 21 MR. MACLEAN: No objection. 21 as that 22 MS. PLOVNICK: No objections. 22 124 122 1 JUDGE BARRETT: 73 is admitted. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I move to (Whereupon, the above-referred to admit Exhibit 79. MR. MACLEAN: No objection, Your document was received into evidence as IPG Exhibit No. 73.) Honor. 5 MR. BOYDSTON: And Your Honor, Mr. MS. PLOVNICK: No objections. Galaz, please take a look at what's been marked JUDGE BARRETT: 79 is admitted. as Exhibit 79. And, Your Honor, this is not (Whereupon, the above-referred to restricted, so I suppose we can let everyone else document was received into evidence as IPG 9 back in. Exhibit No. 79.) 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Open the doors. 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. 1.1 DIRECT EXAMINATION 11 Please take a look at what's been marked as 12 BY MR. BOYDSTON: Exhibit 80. And let me know if you're familiar 12 Mr. Galaz, are you familiar with 13 13 with those documents. what's been marked as Exhibit 79? THE WITNESS: Yes. I am. 14 14 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 15 Α Yes, I am. 15 And what's your understanding of what 16 And what are thev? 0 16 17 it is? 17 This is an excerpt, the online search 18 This is a printout from the Internet. 18 for Kenneth Copeland Ministries Works from the US It was submitted into evidence in 1999 federal 19 19 Copyright Office. 20 proceedings in order to demonstrate that on the 20 And how did you come to have it? 21 Kenneth Copeland websites, Kenneth Copeland's I went online and pulled it up and 21 22 website, it purports to be the owner and producer 22 printed it out. But I only printed out the first

125 127 It's the first page of it says 498 page. And that's why this one number is circled entries actually, copyright registrations that on the top. It indicates that there's 476 are made by Kenneth Copeland Ministries. It records. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to includes a variety of works, including their TV show, music for their TV show, a wealth of move to admit Exhibit 80. MR. MACLEAN: Objection on relevance, different --MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor. I'd submit Your Honor. MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, well that the relevance here is that this document may I ask? Rather than me testify, can I ask the tends to show that Kenneth Copeland's Ministries 9 has filed documentation such that these programs 10 question? 11 JUDGE BARRETT: Certainly. belong to Kenneth Copeland Ministries. That's 12 MR. BOYDSTON: All right, Mr. Galaz, this appears to be printed out from the copyright 13 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, may I voir 1.1 14 15 And under name it references Kenneth 15 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 10 Copeland and then full title it references 16 CROSS EXAMINATION 17 various programs. What is your understanding of 17 BY MR. MACLEAN: the meaning of that? 18 18 Mr. Galaz, if you take a look at the 19 MR. MACLEAN: Objection to the, Mr. 19 column in this document entitled Copyright Number, do you see that column? 20 Boydeton's characterization of this document. 20 21 MR. BOYDSTON: I was just --21 Δ Ves. 22 JUDGE BARRETT: I thought it had 22 0 And do you see those numbers that 126 128 already been established that it was a printout. appear below the column? 2 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. I Yes. 3 object to Mr. Boyston's characterization of the And you see each number begins with a 4 full title being, the full title that's listed on two letter prefix? Yes? this document being programs. There's no Α 6 foundation for that. 6 0 Do you know what the two letter prefix MR. BOYDSTON: I'm just saying what TX stands for? the document says and asked the witness to Δ I believe that's text, but I'm not describe it. certain. 10 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 10 0 And do you know what the two letter 11 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 11 prefix SR stands for? 12 Mr. Galaz, you remember the question? 12 Α Sound recording. 13 13 0 A majority of these prefixes here are 14 This document is from the copyright text and sound recordings, aren't they? 14 website, and it's a chart. And there's a space 15 Α Yes. 16 that says name and then Kenneth Copeland 16 With respect to the three entries here 17 underneath there. that have PA, do you know what PA means? 18 Α Yes. I can't recall what that stands for And then full title, and there's a 19 online. It's part of the registration, I just, 19 20 bunch of things that may be titles or maybe geese 20 it's evading me. 21 as far as I know. What is your understanding of 21 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, renew my the document? 22 objection on the basis of relevance. There's no

129 131 showing that any of these titles is a program or 1 MR BOYDSTON, 80. 2 that any of these titles, more particularly, is a JUDGE BARRETT: 80 for whatever weight 3 program claimed in these proceedings. 3 it might have. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I think (Whereupon, the above-referred to document was received into evidence as IPG 5 that's argumentative, and it's certainly an argument he can raise. That's no reason to keep 6 Exhibit No. 80.) MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. the document out. Please take a look at what's been marked as We believe this says more than that. Exhibit 81. And this is the transcript of Jan 9 9 but that's for us to argue and ensue. Maybe we 10 will. Maybe we won't. Harbor. 11 MR. MACLEAN: I think the time to do I believe it's already in one of the SDC exhibits. The format looks a little bit 12 that is now, Your Honor, when we're trying to lay 12 13 the foundation of relevance for this document. different, and so I would just ask the council whether or not there's any difference between 14 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I think we've 15 explained our theory of the relevance. It is a what was in his exhibit and what is in ours. As I said, the formats, this is the 16 piece of indicia that connects Kenneth Copeland 16 17 to these titles. 17 long form format, just a little different 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: What other indicia 18 formatting wise, but I otherwise I think it's the 19 is in evidence with regard to the connection of 19 same thing. 20 Kenneth Copeland Ministries to these titles? 20 MR. MACLEAN: So, to answer that 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Agreements, 21 question, this appears to be only Mr. Boydston's correspondence identifying them, a number of cross examination of this deponent. 22 130 132 other things. This is one. I would say this is MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I apologize. a fairly minor piece of the puzzle. (Simultaneous speaking) Exhibits 64, which include MR. BOYDSTON: That's correct. I -1 representation agreements, Exhibits 65 and 66, apologize. which include the correspondence between parties, JUDGE BARRETT: Was that included in which will identify certain programming. your exhibit? In addition to that I think also the MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: So your exhibit was Jan Harbour declaration and I think also a Jan Harbour testimony. I think all are, actually total? more concrete evidence. 10 10 MR. MACLEAN: Was the total 11 But this is, we're offering this as 1.1 transcript, yes. one of those things. MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, that is correct. 12 12 JUDGE STRICKLER: You're saying it's 1 4 13 I apologize. corroborative, but nothing on it stands alone in MR. MACLEAN: But I mean as long as 14 14 the absence of the corroboration. that's understood, I have no objection to it. 15 15 MR. BOYDSTON: I would say that's 16 MR. BOYDSTON: I move to admit Exhibit 17 correct. JUDGE BARRETT: For the record, we are MR. MACLEAN: I have no objection to taking official notice that the introductory 19 81 as long as it's understood this is an excerpt.

20

21

22

excerpt.

21

22

initials P-A stand for performing arts, and they

would include television productions. And so

we're going to admit, what is it?

MS. PLOVNICK: No objection to the

JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 81 can be

133

```
133
                                                                  Productions, an exemplar of Willie Wilson
     admitted.
                                                                  Productions programming.
 2
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
     document was received into evidence as IPG
                                                                        Α
                                                                              Yes, it is.
                                                                        Q
                                                                              And was what I just handed you what
    Exhibit No. 81.)
     DIRECT EXAMINATION
                                                                  was provided?
                BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                                                                              This was what was given to us.
                Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz,
                                                                              And it was produced to the other
    please take a look at Exhibit 82. And this is a
                                                                  parties, correct?
     piece of correspondence from May of 2002 between
                                                                              That's correct.
    you and it appears to be Barry Gottfried and
                                                             10
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
    Arnie Lutzker and others. Do you recall this
                                                             11
                                                                  move to admit Exhibit 84.
     document?
                                                             12
                                                                              MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Your Honor.
          Α
                                                             13
                                                                  The phony foundation that has been laid for this
14
          0
                And did you in fact, let's strike
                                                                  exhibit is that it is from Mr. Rovin who said it
15
    that.
                                                             15
                                                                 is not an exemplar of broadcast programming.
16
                Where did you get this document?
                                                             16 .
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: And we simply take
17
              I pulled it from the WSG files.
                                                             17
                                                                 issue with that. We asked for an exemplar from
                MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to
                                                                  the party, and this is what they gave us. Mr.
18
                                                             18
    move to admit Exhibit 82.
                                                                 Rovin watched it and drew his conclusion. I
10
                                                             19
```

20

21

MR. MACLEAN: I apologize, Your Honor.

I'm just refreshing my recollection as to what

this is. No objection, Your Honor.

watched it. I drew a different one. You will

watch it. I don't know what conclusion you'll

134 1 JUDGE BARRETT: 82 is admitted. 1 MS. PLOVNICK: We renew our objection, (Whereupon, the above-referred to for the record, that what we got didn't look like document was received into evidence as IPG that. So he's representing it, and I take that Exhibit No. 82.) representation, but I can't make a representation MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. that is this. All right, Your Honor, Exhibit 83 is the Willie 6 MR. BOYDSTON: That's true. Your Wilson exemplar. May I approach? Honor. We've got a box and we got that, not that JUDGE BARRETT: 83 is marked in my exact one but one exactly like it. And I opened 8 list as the -it up, and I made copies and sent them off the 3 (1 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, 84. 10 counsel. 11 JUDGE BARRETT: -- declaration. 11 So that's why what Ms. Plovnick was JUDGE STRICKLER: 84 is the exemplar. not in the box, but I will represent it was a JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, you're right Judge true and correct copy made on my computer of what Strickler. It is 84. was in the box. 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, I apologize. 83 15 MS. PLOVNICK: I mean I haven't had an was the declaration. This is 84, not 83. May I opportunity to examine the cover. I don't know 17 approach? 17 if there's any additional information that would 18 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 18 have been helpful to Mr. Rovin or not on the 19 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 19 Mr. Galaz, in response to, strike 20 20 So maybe I just object to the cover, 21 that. 21 but he's saying that the contents of this 22 22 occurred the same was what was produced to us. The IPG request from Willie Wilson

137

```
And I accept that representation. I
     see it's wrapped in shrinkwrap. So that may not
     be the exact one he copied.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: It is not. We had a
     couple. I think, just two originals. One we were
     going to give to the panel. One we gave to the
     SDC. And then we made a copy and gave the copy
     to the MPAA.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I am
     perfectly happy to assume that what's there is
11
     what we received in discovery. Nevertheless, the
1,2
     foundation hasn't been laid that this is, in
13
     fact, an exemplar of broadcast programming.
14
                 Dr. Wilson himself doesn't address it
15
    in his declaration, which is where you would
16
     think that foundation would have been laid if
17
     they were able to lay it.
18
                JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibit 84 is
19
    admitted.
20
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
21
    document was received into evidence as IPG
```

And did you print out these pages from

the Internet?

Yes, I did.

MR. BOYDSTON: I'd like to move to

admit Exhibit 85.

MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Your Honor,

a couple of different bases. First of all, as

we've said before, the DVD that was just admitted

into evidence is the only exemplar that was

produced to us.

11 It is not an exemplar of broadcast 12 programming, and therefore, this evidence, IPG 85

12 should be excluded on the basis of IPG's non-

14 compliance with the board's discovery order.

Also object on the basis of relevance. 15

If you take a look at most of these pages, there 16

17 is a link. There's only a portion shown and then

the link saying more, particularly under Singsation history. 19

20 The more isn't provided, and in our

21 position it isn't relevant. So we object to the

admission on that basis as well.

138

18

1

MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

Shall I provide it to the clerk?

3 JUDGE BARRETT: Please.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

Exhibit No. 84.)

1

2

10

17

5 Thank you. Mr. Galaz, please take a

look at what has been marked as Exhibit 85 and 6

tell me if you are familiar with those documents.

Yes, I am.

And what are thev?

Again, I had, just to demonstrate the

11 nature for what it's worth, Singsation program, I 12

went to the web page for it and started printing

13 out pages from it.

14 Also, I will note you can't tell from 15

this or looking at black and white, but you can

16 actually click on any one of these links, and

well, the first place says watch video clips from

18 Singsation.

19 So if you actually wanted to see if

there was any issues to what that programming is, 20

21 you could click there and it would demonstrate

it.

140

And finally, I'd like to refer the

court, the board to a ruling that it made with

respect to an SDC exhibit in the 2000-2003

proceeding.

5 We offered an exhibit to the

testimony, we offered the written testimony of 6

Michael Little, which included printouts of web

pages. IPG objected on the grounds that it was 9

simply materials printed out from Claimant's web

10 pages.

11 That objection is sustained. On the

12 basis of that ruling, we object to this

13 admission, which is essentially the same kind of

material with respect to Willie Wilson

Productions.

16 MS. PLOVNICK: Your Honor, we will

17 join the objection on the basis of relevance and

also completeness in that when we were served

19 with a copy of this exhibit, I went to the .

20 website in an effort to look at this.

21 And none of this is up anymore. It's

22 totally different content now on the website, so

144

141

```
these particular clips can't actually be viewed.
     There might be other things there, but not these.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, Your Honor, again
     we're being accused of not producing something.
     Well, we didn't have anything to produce other
     than what Willie Wilson provided us, which was
     what has been admitted as Exhibit 64.
                 With regard to completeness, to the
     extent that we may not have included one page
10
     from the multifaceted website I don't think makes
11
     the rest of it suspect or inadmissable in any
12
                 The fact that it's not up on the
14
     website anymore is a fact of, I take Ms.
    Plovnick's word for that. That's a fact. That
15
     doesn't mean it wasn't up when it was printed a
16
17
     month ago.
18
                 And so we offer it for, we're offering
19
    it into evidence for whatever persuasiveness it
     may or may not have. I think that much of what's
20
```

A Simply because the SDC has offered the

testimony of Dr. Brown. It indicates that he

3 works at Regent University.

MR. MACLEAN: Objection. Actually, we

5 have not offered the testimony of Dr. Brown.

6 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, not live

testimony, but there was testimony in the SDC

8 rebuttal statement. That's what he's talking

9 about there.

JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled.

BY MR. BOYDSTON:

12 Q Thank you, Your Honor. Please

13 continue Mr. Galaz. You said the reason why you

14 looked into this was?

15 A Simply to demonstrate the objectivity 16 of Dr. Brown by virtue of the fact that Regent

17 University was originally founded as Christian

18 Broadcasting Network University.

19 Christian Broadcasting Network is one

20 of the SDC represented --

MR. MACLEAN: Objection to the

characterization of this document. It's not in

142

this was what was up on the website a month ago.

Maybe there's not much weight, but

2 And it says what it says, and it speaks for

3 itself.

21

JUDGE BARRETT: We'll reserve on 85.

Mr. Maclean, if you could give us the citation to

6 that ruling in the prior proceeding.

been said here goes to weight.

7 MR. MACLEAN: I will. Thank you, Your

Honor.

9 BY MR. BOYDSTON:

10 Q Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz,

11 please take a look at what's been marked as

12 Exhibit 89. And do you recognize that?

13 A 89, mine jumps from 87 to 107.

14 Q Okay. And do you recognize this

document?

17

22

A Yes, I do.

Q And what is it?

18 A A printout that was made by me, just

19 background on Regent University, Wikipedia

 $2\sigma_{\parallel}$ article giving the history and background of that

21 institution.

Q And why were you looking into that?

evidence.

MR. BOYDSTON: All right, that's my

3 next step. I move to admit Exhibit 89, yes 89.

4 MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Your Honor,

5 lack of foundation, relevance and also, I don't

6 know this is a Wikipedia page printout.

7 MR. BOYDSTON: I offer it for what

 $\ensuremath{\mathtt{8}}$ it's worth and it can be judged on its merits.

9 MS. PLOVNICK: Our only objection

10 would be relevance. Mr. Brown's evidence is not

in evidence. Is there relevance to this?

MR. MACLEAN: Dr. Brown.

MS. PLOVNICK: Dr. Brown, sorry, Dr.

14 Brown.

MR. BOYDSTON: Doctor Brown did submit

16 testimony in support of a rebuttal statement.

17 That's the relevance.

JUDGE BARRETT: Doesn't Dr. Brown's

19 testimony identify himself, doesn't he identify

20 himself as affiliated with Regent University?

21 And haven't we had testimony from him

22 previously that it was originally established as

147 145 that we're here for today. Christian Broadcasting Network University? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, the MR. MACLEAN: We have. relevance is that we believe these descriptions JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. run afoul of the criteria for commercial MR. MACLEAN: All that is true. JUDGE BARRETT: And what does this programming offered by Dr. Brown in his written testimony that's relevant herein. add? MR. BOYDSTON: It's just to verify And we content in our conclusions of fact and law to point to these and say well, some that. There hasn't been any, everything you just referred to, Your Honor, was in the prior of these don't look all that religious based on 10 proceeding. Well, not the part in his testimony 10 this criteria and make that argument. JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, 90 is 11 here about being Regent, but the connection with 11 admitted. 12 Christian Broadcasting hadn't been brought up 12 13 before. 1.3 (Whereupon, the above-referred to JUDGE BARRETT: 89 is admitted. document was received into evidence as IPG 14 14 15 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 15 Exhibit No. 90.) BY MR. BOYDSTON: 16 document was received into evidence as IPG 16 Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a 17 Exhibit No. 89.) 17 18 BY MR. BOYDSTON: 18 look at what's been marked as Exhibit 91. 19 Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz, 19 All right. please take a look at what's been marked as 20 And are you familiar with those 21 Exhibit 90. 21 document's pages? 22 Α Okay. 22 Yes, I am. 146 148 And what are they? And do you recognize that document? Yes, I do. This is a printout of an Excel And what is it? spreadsheet that was produced in discovery by the This was the attachment. I can't SDC in the 2000 to 2003 proceedings. The pages, remember which attachment, an exhibit that just to note, don't run, they run sequentially up appeared in the SDC's 2000 and 2003 written to let's see, Pages 1 through 11. direct statement. 7 Then it starts over again, one through Q And from your understanding, what does four. The reason being that there were two tabs 9 it depict? 9 on the Excel spreadsheet. So one of these was a 10 Well, it identifies the programs for 10 printout of one tab, and then it picks up with which they are making claim in that proceeding 11 11 the printout of the second tab. and the significance here is the program 12 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor I'd like to description. 13 13 move that Exhibit 91 be admitted. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'd like to MR. MACLEAN: Same objection with 1.1 14 move that Exhibit 20 be admitted. respect to the last exhibit. And Your Honor, I 14, 15 16 MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Your Honor, would add here, Dr. Brown's testimony is not in 16 relevance. None of these programs are being evidence. 17 17 challenged in this proceeding. 18 If IPG would like to rely on it or 18 And the judges have ordered that any refer to it or impeach it or whatever they'd like 19 19 20 challenges not raised in advance of this hearing to do, then they can offer it into evidence and 21 that we're having today are waived. So I don't 21 we'll address that at the time. see any relevance whatsoever to this proceeding But until they do, none of this is

151

```
149
 1
     relevant.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, if the SDC is not
 2
     going to ask that there be any conclusions of law
     or fact based upon Dr. Brown's written testimony,
     then this would become irrelevant. But I guess
     we kind of got to wait and see what their
     arguments are in that regard.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: 91 is admitted.
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
 4
     document was received into evidence as IPG
10
                                                               10
     Exhibit No. 91.)
11
                                                               11
12
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                                                               12
1,5
                Thank you, Your Honor. Please take a
                                                               13
     look at what's been marked as Exhibit 92. And
1.1
                                                               14
     are you familiar with this document?
15
                                                               15
16
                 Yes.
                                                               16
                 And it appears to be an email exchange
                                                               17
    between Warren Judd and Worldwide Subsidy Group.
     Is that correct?
                                                               19
15
20
          Α
                That's correct.
                                                               20
```

Dated May 13th and 15th, 2004. Did

you receive this and respond to it?

```
1
                 MR. BOYDSTON: I'm trying to establish
     its relevance. It's hard for me to establish the
     relevance if we don't have any testimony about
     what this document is about.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: I don't think it's
     going to be impossible, Mr. Boydston, if you ask
     the right questions.
 8
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Okay.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm going to admit 92
 q
     over the objection.
                 (Whereupon, the above-referred to
     document was received into evidence as IPG
     Exhibit No. 92.)
                 BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                 Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz,
     please explain if you would what you were asking
     Mr. Judd, what he was answering, to your
     knowledge, in this email exchange?
                 I was asking about a particular
     program that they informed us was their program.
21
     And in order to demonstrate the efforts we had
```

150

Yes, I did. Well, I didn't respond to it. I had initiated the communication, and Mr. Judd had responded to it. MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, thank you for that correction. Your Honor, I'd like to move to admit Exhibit 92. MR. MACLEAN: Objection, Your Honor, as to relevance and also, I'm just going to stick with relevance on this one. 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor --MR. MACLEAN: With any program that's 11 challenged in this proceeding. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may I 13 question the witness? 1.1 15 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 10 MR. BOYDSTON: You asked a question of 17 Mr. Judd. He answered. What were you asking? 1 8 What did you understand him to answer? 19 THE WITNESS: There was --20 JUDGE BARRETT: Well, that's the contents of the document that's not admitted at 21 this point?

devotional or not.

In this case, he's saying that it's not devotional. It's also secondarily he asked because it's a program being claimed in the program suppliers category, excuse me, in the devotional category by the SDC for Calendar Years 2001 and prospective. Thank you. Please take a look at what's been marked as Exhibit 93, and tell me if 10 you are familiar with that document. 11 Yes, I am. And what is that document?

made to first identify whether our program was

13 This is the Appendix C to the testimony. I can't recall which witness, in the 14 SDC direct statement for 1999 through 2009 15

17 0 And what is its significance, subject 18 to your understanding?

satellite.

A, it ties back to the prior exhibits 19 which reflect that there are criteria being used for characterization of a program as devotional or non-devotional.

12

16

21

155 153 sustained more on a relevance ground, whether she And then also I think we were mentioned McDougall, M.D. also I thought I recall had a non-compete or not. being included on this. That was my MR. BOYDSTON: Understood, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. recollection. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I move to BY MR. BOYDSTON: Do you understand whether or not All admit Exhibit 93. MR. MACLEAN: No objection. Global Media submitted claims in these JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 93 is proceedings? 9 admitted. The way you phrase it by in these 10 (Whereupon, the above-referred to 10 proceedings I'm not sure what you mean. I know 11 document was received into evidence as IPG 11 that they filed July claims, but I know that they've never filed a petition to participate in 12 Exhibit No. 93.) 12 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at 13 these or any proceedings for that matter. Exhibit 94 and tell me if you know what that is. 14 14 Thank you. Have you ever been contacted by any representative from All Global 15 I'll volunteer. I think is 94 not essentially 15 16 the same sort of exhibit with regard to cable? Media with regard to claims that entity might 16 17 THE WITNESS: For the 2004 to 2009 17 have in these proceedings? 18 cuble titles for SDC, that's correct. Α No. 18 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I move to 19 Have you ever seen any documentation 20 admit Exhibit 94. 20 that would establish claims by All Global Media? 21 MR. MACLEAN: No objection, Your Strike that, asked and answered. 22 There's been an allegation by the SDC 154 156 1 MS. PLOVNICK: No objections. that IPG may have at one time filed placeholder JUDGE BARRETT: 94 is admitted. claims. First off, can I ask you, what is your (Whereupon, the above-referred to understanding of what is sometimes referred to as document was received into evidence as IPG a placeholder claim? Exhibit No. 94.) My understanding of a placeholder MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. claim is when a claim is filed on behalf of an Mr. Galaz, there's been an argument lodged by the entity with the intention of acquiring their SDC that claims by All Global Media might be authorization subsequently. superior to IPG claims. Has IPG ever filed such a claim? What do you know of, what can you tell 10 me? What do you know about All Global Media, the 11 11 And are you familiar with changes in 12 company called All Global Media? the regulations governing these proceedings with 13 THE WITNESS: I know that it's, one of regard to the names submitted of claims? 1.1 its principals, if not sole principal is Marian 14 1% Oshita. I know that it was founded by her while 15 And what do you know about that? 10 she was still a member of IPG and contractually 16 My understanding is that at some point 17 precluded from competing with IPG. 17 the regulations changed, and it was then added that the full legal name was required to be 14 MR. MACLEAN: Objection. I object to 18 identified. 19 the witness! characterization of a contract 19 that's not in evidence and that has not been MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I will 20 20 21 produced into best evidence. 21 continue, but I do notice it's 12 o'clock.

JUDGE BARRETT: The objection is

JUDGE BARRETT: How much more do you

```
157
                                                                                                                     159
                                                                                MR. MACLEAN: And I could, if there is
     have?
                                                                    no objection, perhaps I could give this to the
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Probably ten minutes.
 2
                                                                    clerk and we can provide copies for everybody
     could be shorter, but --
                 JUDGE BARRETT: We'll take our noon
     recess. We will reconvene at 1 o'clock. During
                                                                                If we proceed until tomorrow, we can
     recess, Mr. Galaz, Ms. Woodson, you're not to
                                                                    do that tomorrow. If we do not, then we can do
     discuss the testimony with each other or with
                                                                    it by mail or something, email or something.
                                                                                JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. That would
     anvone else.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
                                                                    be fine.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                                                               10
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: Is there anything in
11
                 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter
                                                               11
                                                                    particular on that document you want us to focus
12
     went off the record at 12:01 p.m. and resumed at
                                                               12
                                                                    on, or is it there just for the sake of
1 1
    1:08 p.m.)
                                                               13
                                                                    completeness?
1.1
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, with respect
                                                               14
                                                                                MR. MACLEAN: Well, there for the sake
                                                                    of completeness, but I mean I can, if it would be
14,
    to IPG 85, you asked for the transcript citation
                                                               15
                                                                    helpful to the judges I can read in a couple of
16
                                                               16
                                                                    lines that we believe are significant, that we
17
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
                                                               17
10
                 MR. MACLEAN: -- I was referring to
                                                               18
                                                                    would want to be considered if this exhibit is to
19
    with respect to the testimony of Mr. Little. The
                                                                    be admitted or considered.
                                                               19
20
    transcript citation is from the 2000 to 2003
                                                               20
                                                                                JUDGE STRICKLER: How many is a
    Phase II proceeding, June 6, 2013, Pages 1340,
                                                                    couple?
    Line 21 through 1345, Line 2 is where the
                                                                                MR. MACLEAN: Two.
```

```
And the disposition of that objection
     is in the judge's final distribution for Phase
     II, 78 Fed Reg 64984 at Page 64987, October 30,
     2013.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, also with
     respect to the same exhibit, we had an objection
     based on completeness of this exhibit.
10
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Which one is that?
11
                 MR. MACLEAN: IPG 85.
12
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes.
13
                 MR. MACLEAN: Over the break we found
14
     in our files a copy of the page that appears if
15
     you click on that more link. This was printed
16
     out on May 11, 2014.
17
                 I only have this one copy, but I would
1 8
     ask that, to make the exhibit complete if it is
    admitted, that it be admitted in its entirety
19
20
    along with this portion of the page that we
21
    printed out.
```

MR. BOYDSTON: No objection.

objection was discussed.

160 JUDGE STRICKLER: Why don't you have at it? MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. Okay. So just to put it into context, the document is a recitation of the history of Willie Wilson, of the program Singsation. And there's a line here that says, in which Mr. Wilson is being, Dr. Wilson is being quoted, "It's history in the making. Observe the program's Executive Producer Willie Wilson. We are making this more than black gospel show. We 12 are incorporating gospel music." 13 Oh, I'm sorry. It's more than one sentence, but it's all the same line, "We are 14 15 incorporating gospel music from all ethnic groups 16 and denominations. 17 Gospel music has touched and 18 influenced people of all races and nationalities. And we intend to make this program a truly 19 20 integrated celebration of music." 21 That's the line that we think is

important to put the website information into

,

```
163
                                                   161
                                                                             MR. MACLEAN: Well, Your Honor, of
     context.
                                                              1
                                                                 course IPG P85 is the one that IPG printed out.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.
                                                                 We obviously, all we have is the one we printed
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: And where does it
                                                                 out. We did it earlier.
     fit within these documents, the documents that
                                                                             JUDGE STRICKLER: Just so the record's
    IPG · ·
                                                                  clear. They were accessed two different times.
                 MR. MACLEAN: If you take a look at
                                                                             MR. MACLEAN: That is my own
    IPG 85 and you look at the lefthand side of the
    document --
                                                                  understanding. May I give this to the clerk?
                                                                             JUDGE BARRETT: If you would, please.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Yes.
10
                 MR. MACLEAN: -- you see a little
                                                                 Mr. Boydston?
11
    blurb that says Singsation History? And then
                                                             11
                                                                             BY MR. BOYDSTON:
                                                                             Thank you, Your Honor. Mr. Galaz,
12
     there's a paragraph there at the bottom of the, a
                                                             12
     paragraph and a part of another paragraph and
                                                             13
                                                                 please take a look at what's been marked as SDC
     then a link that says more.
                                                                 Exhibit 624.
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: I see it.
                                                            15
                                                                       Α
                                                                             Okay.
16
                 MR. MACLEAN: If you click on that
                                                            16
                                                                       0
                                                                             Do you recognize that document?
17
    link, that's what comes up. And the paragraph
                                                            17
                                                                       Ά
                                                                             Yes.
    cited here is the same as the first paragraph of
                                                                             And what is it?
18
                                                            18
                                                                       0
                                                                             It was a document that was produced in
19
    this page.
                                                            19
                                                                 discovery as one of several documents that was
20
                                                            20
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: So you're saying it
21
                                                                 underlying the exhibits in IPG's written direct
    should be inserted after that first within the 85
                                                            21
                                                                 statement identifying --
                                                   162
                                                                                                                164
1
                 MR. MACLEAN: I think that appears on
                                                             1
                                                                             JUDGE BARRETT: It's marked as
```

```
every page. It's just on all the pages that got
 3
    this link to Singsation history. And that's the
 4
 5
                 I mean I would just put it at the end
 6
     of IPG 85. I think that would be fine. This is
 7
     just what comes up if you hit that, well, what
 8
     came up.
 9
                 I understand the website has since
10
     been changed, but as of anyway, May 11, 2014 when
     this was printed, that's what came up when you
12
     hit that link.
13
                JUDGE BARRETT: How many pages is it,
14
     Mr. MacLean?
15
                MR. MACLEAN: Three, Your Honor.
16
                JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Then the clerk
    will just add those three pages to --
17
18
                JUDGE STRICKLER: Just a guick
19
    question, it actually came up May 14, 2014? The
20
    document in question has got a date on it of
```

November 18, 2014. So they, the website was

accessed at two different times.

2 restricted. 3 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh yes, I'm sorry. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, gentlemen. BY MR. BOYDSTON: 5 Go ahead and continue. It was one of the documents that IPG produced as one of the, several documents 9 underlying IPG's written direct statements specifically those portions of IPG's written 10 direct statement identifying which claimants and 11 12 programs are represented. 13 However, I think that this is incomplete now that I see it. Okay. Now, it seems to have one 15 16 column that's programs and then another column 17 days and category. And then a third column 18 that's Claimant. 19 The final column says Claimant time restrictions. Are the claimants listed under the 20

Claimant section, IPG Claimants?

Yes.

Α

21

22

167 165 Do you recall being instructed to do And what was the purpose of creating this document? that? THE WITNESS: Yes. It was a tool that was being used by MR. BOYDSTON: And, in fact, did IPG an expert witness in order to run a program that they had that according to their offered do that? methodology would ascribe a value to a particular THE WITNESS: Yes, and just for broadcast. clarification, it was agreements amongst any parties appearing in that Claimant column. Okay. If you scroll down a few pages, let's see. Specifically, let's see, the one, MR. BOYDSTON: Correct. two, three, four, in the fifth page, strike that. 10 MR. MACLEAN: I'll object to the characterization of the order. In fact, I In the eighth page, the first title in 11 12 the lefthand column is Lost and Found. And two 12 believe the judges can take official notice of columns to the right it lists this Envoy 13 the order itself and then ask for that. MR. BOYDSTON: I was just asking if he Productions, Paradigm Pictures Corporation under 14 14 14. the column for Claimants. Do you see that? 15 responded to the order. JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Go on. 16 16 17 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. I don't 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Did Envoy Productions see a column that starts with Lost and Found. and Promark television respond to your inquiries 18 18 THE WITNESS: Actually it's the second in that regard? 19 19 THE WITNESS: Yes, they did. 20 20 item. MR. BOYDSTON: And what did they 21 MR. BOYDSTON: Oh. 21 22 THE WITNESS: I quess the first item respond with? 166 168 is grayed in. It says City that Forgot About 1 MR. MACLEAN: Objection, hearsay. Christmas. And, Your Honor, I'll remind the judges, IPG has MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, the first side is already offered into evidence and the declarations were objection to this extent, shaded. It's I believe the seventh page, and it looks like this. declarations for Envoy Productions and Promark JUDGE BARRETT: Seventh side or Television. seventh sheet? And the judges have already ruled and MR. BOYDSTON: Well, the seventh side. have already excluded the portions of those 9 if you will. declarations that relate to an alleged agreement THE WITNESS: I think it's the between Envoy and Promark on the grounds that no 10 10 eighth. such agreement was produced to us. 11 MR. BOYDSTON: I stand corrected. 12 MR. BOYDSTON: I would suggest there's 1.3 Maybe it's the eighth. good cause here for the hearsay because this was JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Thank you. directing responding to an order by Your Honors. 14 14 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: The eighth side? 15 And that's what we are relating here 16 MR. BOYDSTON: Sorry, the eighth, I'm 16 is what was the response to an order by Your 17 mistaken. Correct. I'll represent to you that 17 Honors. And I think that's good grounds. 18 there's a discovery order issued in these 18 JUDGE BARRETT: Can we get the IPG exhibit number that we're talking about? 19 proceedings by which IPG was directed to contact 19 MR. BOYDSTON: The IPG exhibit number 20 its claimants and request copies of any contracts 20 we're talking about, now I'm confused. Oh, that 21 between the parties whose name appear in this 21 Claimant column, if you will. 22 Mr. MacLean is referring to? 22

172

169

```
JUDGE BARRETT: Correct. Exhibit 69
     and Exhibit 86, do those seem to be the ones
     we're talking about?
                 MR. MACLEAN: I believe that is
     correct. Your Honor.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, that is.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Yes, Your Honor.
     That's correct.
                 MR. MACLEAN: With respect to Exhibit
     69, I objected specifically to Paragraph 4. That
11
     was excluded. And with respect to Exhibit 86, I
12
     objected to Paragraph 3 on this basis. And that
13
     was excluded.
14
                 Mr. Galaz should not be allowed to
     testify to what's in those, to the content
15
     essentially or the subject of those paragraphs
16
     since they've been excluded from the declarations
17
18
     on the grounds that we were given no notice
    whatsoever of this alleged relationship until we
19
20
     received these exhibits.
```

```
go and check with its clients to see if they have a contract between them.

The clients then responded yes, we do.

Now they also have them put that in their
```

5 declarations. I still don't understand why that

6 is objectionable, but you did rule that that was

7 not admissible in the declarations.

8 Still, I think it's odd that IPG made

9 an inquiry based upon the order of the judges,

10 and now we're not, somehow not allowed to tell

11 what the requested inquiry was. Your Honor --

JUDGE BARRETT: What's the date of the

13 order in question?

JUDGE STRICKLER: July 30th, all

15 right.

MR. BOYDSTON: I believe it was part

17 of that July 30th order, yes.

18 MR. MACLEAN: I believe that's

19 correct, Your Honor. The order wasn't for them

20 to inquire. The order was for them to produce

21 the documents. And it wasn't just the agreements

2 themselves. It was all documents.

170

JUDGE BARRETT: All right, is either

MR. BOYDSTON: No.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.

69 or 86 restricted?

MR. BOYDSTON: May I address that,

Your Honor?

21

1

JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, you may.

6 MR. BOYDSTON: All right, Your Honor,

I don't believe this should've been restricted or

taken out in the first place. Again, their

argument is that they were provided no notice of

10 the relationship between the two entities.

11 There was not a specific request that 12 that be done. If that was the judge's order that

13 IPG inquiry amongst its clients as to this issue

14 for anybody where there were two entities listed

in this cell of this document we're looking at,

16 Exhibit 624, and IPG did that.

And IPG reported the result of that.

18 The result of that was that these entities said

19 yes. We have an agreement between them. So I

They made a motion, and they got an

22 order from Your Honors saying that IPG needs to

don't understand where this is all coming from.

1 MR. BOYDSTON: We did. I'm sorry. Go

ahead.

3 MR. MACLEAN: It was all documents

4 relating to agreements, including correspondence.

5 And we had a request, okay, here we go.

6 Our follow up request, Document

Request Number 5, produce all documents,

8 including agreements, emails and correspondence

9 regarding claims filed by IPG in these

10 proceedings on behalf of Great Plains

11 Instructional Educational Library.

And then we list all the Claimants.

13 Oh, I'm sorry. I'm reading the wrong request.

MR. BOYDSTON: But that request --

JUDGE BARRETT: Just a minute, Mr.

16 Boydston.

17 MR. MACLEAN: I apologize, Your Honor.

18 I was reading the wrong request. I'm sorry. I

19 lost your page. Okay.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we don't

21 dispute that this was requested and ordered. I

don't know if we need to waste time on this.

17

173 175

```
MR. MACLEAN: Okay. Your Honor, it
                                                                   was the Tuesday before last.
     was our Follow Up Request Number 1. This is in
                                                                                That was the first time we ever knew
                                                               2
                                                                    that IPG asserts that there was a distribution
     SDC Exhibit 25, 625, SDC Exhibit 625.
                                                                    agreement between Promark Television and Envoy
                 We requested to produce all documents
                                                                   Productions.
     relating to written agreements between Envoy
                                                                                And there's nothing produced, no
     Productions and any of the following entities
     relating to any television program claimed in
                                                                    correspondence, no nothing other than these two
                                                               7
                                                                    declarations and now Mr. Galaz's I take it is
     these proceedings.
                                                                    about to testify what he, about his oral
                And then we list all the entities that
    are listed in that spreadsheet along with Envoy
                                                                   conversations.
                                                              10
10
    Productions. And that would include Promark
                                                                                All this is hearsay. More
                                                               11
11
                                                                    importantly, all this is trial by ambush because
12
    Television.
                                                               12
                MR. BOYDSTON: So stipulated.
                                                                    we were not given any notice of this, any notice.
                                                                                In fact, on the contrary, we were
                MR. MACLEAN: Then we move to compel
19
     that IFG produced nothing, saying they had
                                                              15
                                                                    misled in the other direction, that IPG's
     nothing in their possession.
                                                                    argument just because we put these names together
17
                We didn't move to compel, our argument
                                                              17
                                                                    on the spreadsheet doesn't mean that there's a
    being, whether IPG has anything in its possession
                                                                    relationship.
18
                                                              18
19
    is not the issue. The issue is do the Claimants
                                                              19
                                                                                MR. BOYDSTON: I'm going to ask that
20
     in the proceeding have anything in their
                                                              20
                                                                    counsel make their arguments and try to make them
```

174

21

11

MR. OLANIRAN: May I, Your Honor? I would just hesitate, as much as I really hesitate

and Mr. Galaz is making hand gestures, mouthing

to do this, but I have sat here for a few minutes

more concisely. We're running out of time, and

this is a tempest in a teapot. Ms. Olaniran?

words to counsel. And I would appreciate if you could please direct him to not do so.

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you, Mr.

Olaniran. Communication is not necessary for

9 this point, Mr. Galaz.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor --10

JUDGE BARRETT: Judge Strickler? 12

JUDGE STRICKLER: I just want to make 13 sure I understand the reason why you're trying to

elicit this testimony. Maybe there's not a 14

15 dispute here.

Is it that you're not introducing this 16 17 for the truth of the matter or asserted, that is to say not that there's any relationship between

Envoy and Promark but simply to have the witness

tell us what he did in response to the order so 20

21 that whatever he tells us about he responded

22 we're not going to take it for the truth of the

compel. IPG responded and we said IPG is apparently asserting some relationship between these entities. IPG, in its opposition, said just because we list them together doesn't mean we're asserting a relationship. There might be agreements. There might not be agreements. The judges compelled a response to our request, which is not limited to agreements, all the documents relating to agreements, which would 10 11 include correspondence regarding agreements. It would include correspondence based 12 13 on the agreements like royalties and accounting and things like that. 14 IPG's response to that following the 11. judges' order was IPG does not currently have any 16 responsive documents in its possession, custody 17 18 or control.

No documents will be produced. No

notice whatsoever given that IPG was, in fact,

asserting any relationship between any of these

entities until we received IPG's exhibits, which

And that's what we're moving to

21

22

21

22

possession.

1 matter? 2 We're just going to listen to his testimony as to how he responded to the July 30th 3 4 order in that regard. MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I also ask that 5 6 it be that the hearsay issue be waived for good cause, but certainly, even if that's not going to happen. I would like to have that testimony elicited for the purpose you just described, to show that IPG made the inquiry it was directed to 11 make and what was given in response by Envoy and 13 JUDGE STRICKLER: But if there's no 14 dispute or issue as to whether or not IPG 15 complied or not with regard to a discovery order, 16 why do we need to hear it for that purpose? 17 MR. BOYDSTON: We have Mr. MacLean here saying that we didn't respond, that we 18 19 didn't provide them this information, this trial 20 by ambush, et cetera, et cetera, et cetera. 21 JUDGE STRICKLER: Well, it may or may 22 not be trial by ambush, but that would have

that it had no documents and that it was not asserting a relationship or would not assert a relationship. MR. MACLEAN: Two different points. 5 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. JUDGE STRICKLER: You were reading from 625? MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. 9 JUDGE STRICKLER: Counsel, what page? MR. MACLEAN: Page 17 follow up 10 11 request, Document Request Number 1 is our request 12 and Response to Request Number One is IPG's 13 response. 14 And if you take a look at the date of 15 this document, you'll see it's August 11th, which is the date by which IPG was ordered to comply 16 17 with your July 30th discovery order. So this is the response that we got 18 after IPG was ordered to produce documents in 19 response to this discovery request. 20 21 In IPG's opposition to our motion to compel documents in response to this discovery

178

177

180

```
nothing to do with whether or not IPG complied
 2
     with the discovery order or not.
 3
                 And I don't hear either of your
     adverse parties saying they want a sanction or a
     ruling based on failure to engage in appropriate
     discovery. Am I right, counsel?
                 MR. MACLEAN: With respect to this
    issue, that's correct, Your Honor. We simply
    object to any evidence being offered to show
    relationship in which we were given no notice
10
     whatsoever.
12
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: You're not arguing
13
     that IPG did not comply with the discovery order.
14
    You're saying that their compliance demonstrates
15
    the absence of a document.
16
                 MR. MACLEAN: Well, Your Honor, I
    don't know whether they complied or not. All I
17
18
    know is that we didn't receive anything in
    discovery that would alert us to the existence of
19
```

JUDGE BARRETT: And let me make sure

I heard you correctly. You said IPG responded

```
request, we had made the argument, if IPG is
     asserting a relationship between these entities,
     we need the agreements and the correspondence and
     so forth in connection with those agreements.
                 IPG's response to that was just
     because we list two entities side by side on the
     spreadsheet doesn't mean that there's a
     relationship between them. It could just mean
 9
     that they're planning two different programs.
                 JUDGE FEDER: Where does that appear?
10
                 MR. MACLEAN: It's in IPG's opposition
11
12
     to our motion to compel, and I believe Ms. Lynch
     is looking up when that was filed now.
14
                 I certainly ask the judges to take
     judicial notice of that, official notice of that
15
16
     opposition.
17
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, we're
18
     getting lots of speeches. I just want to ask the
19
     witness to explain.
20
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Just a minute Mr.
21
     Boydston. We're not going anywhere. I'm sorry.
22
     You might like to catch a flight tonight, but it
```

a relationship here.

20

181

```
will happen or it won't.
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Understood.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I believe
     that the opposition you're referring to is IPG's
    Opposition dated June 26, 2014. And I will infer
     up to --
                 JUDGE BARRETT: That predates this
     follow up, response to the follow up request.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Well, it predates this
10
    response because this was in the opposition to
     our motion to compel. So in that opposition,
11
12
     they say, and I'm looking here at Page 3 of their
     opposition.
14
                They say specifically, "the SDC
15
     referred to an Excel spreadsheet produced by IPG
16
     only in discovery, pursuant to which IPG
17
     summarizes the particular years for which IPG is
18
     making claim for programs of a particular title
19
    and the various parties from whom IPG secured the
```

rights to make claim for such title over the

course of royalty years in the proceedings."

next paragraph, it says, "For example, if IPG

It says then, skipping down to the

it's the same program or that the claimants have any relationship. Now for the first time in the exhibits produced to us only for this hearing the Tuesday

before last, they say well, with respect to Envoy and Promark, there was a distribution agreement.

First time we ever heard that. First time we had any opportunity to try to challenge

it. And as I said last time, we rely on this.

I mean we relied on what we had, and their responses to our discovery questions.

When we make tactical decisions as to 12

how to prepare for this hearing, including 14 whether or not we engage, we spend our resources 15 to engage an expert witness to review Envoy

16 programming, which we decided not to do. 17 We're responsible for that decision,

18 but we were entitled to make that decision based 19 on complete information and not based on a, what

it turns out to be, misleading statement of IPG's 20

MR. BOYDSTON: I don't believe it was

21 position in this matter with regard to program titles that are claimed by multiple claimants.

182

13

```
made claim for a particular program title for
    Calendar Year 2006, then such title would appear
    on the reference summary with one, the program
    title, two, the name of the granting party, and
     three, the year 2006 in sequential columns.
                 If IPG were making claim for the same
    title, even a different program but with the same
9
    title for Calendar Year 2009 and receive
    authorization from a different grantor, IPG
10
11
    summary would reflect one, the program title,
12
    two, the names of both granting parties, and
13
    three, the years 2006 and 2009.
                 Such summary, however, does not refer
15
    to, assert or suggest the existence of agreements
16
    and correspondence between such granting parties,
```

which may relate to different programs and have

just because they put down two claimants in the

same line doesn't mean they're asserting that

This put us on, this tells us that

come into their claimed program list in a

multitude of ways."

misleading. You will make that determination when it's argued. JUDGE BARRETT: You were asking your client about IPG Exhibit 69. Is that right? 6 MR. BOYDSTON: No, I wasn't actually. That got brought up. But the question was --JUDGE BARRETT: 624. MR. BOYDSTON: Really, I was referring to SDC 624. My question was when you went to, 10 11 after the order came down and you went to Envoy and went to Promark and you said, do you have any 12 contracts between you, what did they say and what 13 did they give you in response. 14 15 That was my question, and then we had 16 everything else after that. That's the question,

pure and simple. It was objected to.

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

on. Mr. Galaz, are you familiar with Bob Ross

THE WITNESS: Yes.

MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you. I'll move

Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

17

18

19

20

21

22

Inc.?

17

18

19

20

21

22

20

22

187 185 and accounted to by PBS. BY MR BOYDSTON. And when that happened, did IPG then 0 And how did you first learn of the send money to Bob Ross Inc.? entity Boss Ross, Inc.? Yes, over the next decade we received It became an IPG represented claimant. several accountings from PBS and continue to I actually didn't have any, excuse me. I actually didn't have any direct relationship with account to them. Bob Ross Inc. It was a claimant that had been Please look at what's been marked and admitted as Exhibit 53, and I'll just remind secured by Marian Oshita. When you testified about the fact that everyone that Exhibit 53 was appended, if you will, to include the additional pages that Mr. you were not involved in a variety of different aspects of the IPG business for some time when 11 MacLean provided. you were incarcerated, et cetera, at some point 12 And I think it's now part of all of 12 in time after that, when you got back involved 13 our Exhibit 53, just a reminder that that more heavily with IPG, did you at that time see 14 happened. Now looking at Exhibit 53, Mr. Galaz, 15 that IPG had filed claims for Bob Ross Inc.? 15 the first page is a letter dated January 16, 2013 to me from Bob Ross Inc. 16 Yes, and that included the year in 16 17 which I was incarcerated in which Marian Oshita 17 The second page is an email, and then made claim. That would've been July 2004 for the third, fourth and fifth pages were 18 18 attachments to that email. And the last of those 19 2003 broadcasts. 19 And did IPG then make claims for Bob is a very small spreadsheet entitled search 20 0 20 Rocs Inc. after that? results. Do you see that? 21

186

1 Q And did IPG receive, well, what claims 2 specifically did IPG make on behalf of Bob Ross

specifically did IPG make on behalf of Bob Ros

Inc. And when I say specifically I mean what

Yes.

category?

A Well, we made claim in the July

6 claims. You didn't necessarily specify in the

July claim what category the programming was.

It's to my knowledge all out of the PBS broadcast

p category.

 \mathbf{Q} At some point then did IPG receive

11 royalty payments through the public broadcasting

12 category for Bob Ross Inc.?

13 A Yes. For the PBS category we don't

14 challenge PBS. They have their own internal

method of distribution.

I don't necessarily agree with it, but

17 I know it's not biased. I don't believe it's

1x biased by any means.

15 So we file it with them and to the

 $2n_{\parallel}$ $\,$ extent that we have any IPG represented claimant

whose programming comes up in the PBS category,

23 then we'll get accounted to, it'll be collected

Q Are you familiar with that document?

A Yes, I prepared that.

Yes.

3 Q And it seems to reflect payments made

to Bob Ross Inc. Is that what it is?

5 A Yes, it was.

6 Q So these payments by IPG to Bob Ross

Inc.?

8 A That's correct, and it reflects the

9 dates in which the monies were received and then

10 accounted.

11 Q Prior to 2013, are you aware of

whether or not Bob Ross Inc. ever contacted IPG

regarding any of these payments?

14 A There was no challenge to it. I know

that they never contacted us to say what is this

16 for.

17 Q And did IPG communicate with Bob Ross

18 Inc. to obtain programming information during the

19 time period prior to 2013?

A Yes, I believe in 2012 we had.

Q And did Bob Ross Inc. respond?

22 A My recollection is that they didn't.

20

```
Okay. At any time prior to 2013, did
     Bob Ross Inc. ever inform IPG that IPG was not
     entitled to collect contract royalties for Bob
     Ross Inc.?
           Δ
                 Did, prior to 2013, did Bob Ross Inc.
     ever return any checks sent to it by IPG?
           А
                 No.
                 Prior to 2013, did Bob Ross Inc. ever
     tell IPG that Bob Ross Inc. had entered into an
11
     agreement with All Global Media?
12
13
                 Prior to 2013, did you have any
14
     awareness independent of that that All Global
15
     Media had an agreement with Bob Ross Inc.?
16
                 I'm sure I didn't.
17
                 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry. You're
18
     sure you --
19
                 THE WITNESS: I'm sure I did not.
20
                 JUDGE BARRETT: You did not.
21
                 THE WITNESS: Yes.
```

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.

191 BY MR. BOYDSTON: I actually want to refer you to the emails, the cc, if you will. And the second cc is memangum@pbs.org. Are you familiar with that email address? Yes, Marc Mangum. I understood to be just from his email address with PBS and Steve Friedman for PBS as well. And I believe you instructed me to include that individual and an individual named 11 Friedman from PBS on any communications. 12 13 MR. MACLEAN: Objection, leading. 14 MR. BOYDSTON: I'll re-ask it. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. Thank you, 15 16 Mr. Boydston.

17 BY MR. BOYDSTON:

18 Did IPG wish to include the PBS on its 19 correspondence with Bob Ross Inc. about these

21 А Oh, absolutely. 22 0 And why was that?

issues?

20

190

192

1 MR. BOYDSTON: Now, in 2013 were you apprised of the letter that was sent to my office that's the first page of Exhibit 53? THE WITNESS: Yes. MR. BOYDSTON: And at that time were you surprised that Bob Ross Inc. was taking the 7 position it was? MR. MACLEAN: Objection, relevance as to Mr. Galaz's surprise. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 10 MR. BOYDSTON: Withdrawn. Please take 11 12 a look at the document which is the one, two, 13 three, four, five, I believe it's the sixth page of Exhibit 53. The email at the top is email from me-to Wal@bri. I believe that's Mr. 16 Kowalski. 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Boydston, I don't 18 have 53 marked as admitted. Has it been offered? MR. BOYDSTON: I believe it was 110 20 admitted.

JUDGE BARRETT: The clerk agrees with

Well, the initial letter, that's the first exhibit here that was received by your office January 17th came immediately on the heels of our receipt of monies from PBS. We actually received the monies on January 7th and then this letter came dated January 17th. So it was immediately after that. There was actually a lot of allegations that were being made. 10 We wanted everything to be out in the open and obviously we couldn't do that or, well, 11 we couldn't do that unless we apprised PBS of 12 13 exactly what was going on. So it was our instruction to you to include Steve Friedman, who I've dealt with for 15 years or more on this and Mr. Mangum who had ended up on some, I think, prior email. 17

regard to Bob Ross Inc. since the beginning of

Has anyone from PBS contacted IPG with

MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

18

19

20

21

22

2013?

No.

you. Okay. Thank you.

21

.

I have nothing further. MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I have some 2 cross examination. Can we take our afternoon break now? It would give me about a few minutes to choose which exhibit binders I'm going to lug over there. JUDGE BARRETT: We can take five minutes. It's way too early to take our 15 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. 21 JUDGE BARRETT: We'll take five 120 minutes. 1.5 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter went off the record at 1:44 p.m. and resumed at 1.3 14 1:52 p.m.) JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. Mr. 16 17 MacLean. CROSS EXAMINATION 18

- 195 I think it was an issue which category it was coming into because this is when during the '99 proceeding we hadn't had a ruling yet as to Feed the Children's categorization. So it was being identified as potentially within one of the other, obviously contingent upon what was occurring in the '99 cable proceedings. 0 Could you turn to. I'm still on MPAA 10 Exhibit 307, which includes two declarations? 11 It's the Feed the Children declaration? 12 13 0 Yes. There's a declaration. Well, actually there was a Feed the Children Inc.'s 14 15 notice concerning representation and motion to substitute. 16 17 And behind that there's a Declaration
- MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor. Thank

 19 email called Exhibit 1 to the Declaration of

 you. Good afternoon, Mr. Galaz.

 THE WITNESS: Good afternoon.

 21 BrianB@ix.netcom.com. That's Mr. Boydston's

 BY MR. MACLEAN:

 22 email address. Correct?

18

194

193

.....

1

A That's correct.

O And Worldwide

Q And WorldwideSG@aol.com, which is

IPG's email address. Is that correct?

of Travis Arnold, and behind that there is an

4 A That's correct.

5 Q Okay. Did you receive this email when

6 it was sent on July 1, 2014?

A I'm sure I did.

8 Q This email purports to terminate IPG's

9 representation of Feed the Children. Is that

10 right?

11 A Not entirely. You look halfway down

2 it says for 2013 calendar year or any future

13 years.

14 Q Okay. So did IPG file a claim on

15 behalf of Feed the Children for 2013 or any

16 future years?

17 A Yes

18 Q And that claim was filed after July 1,

19 2014. Correct?

20 A Correct.

Q Did IPG produce this email here to the

22 SDC in this proceeding?

Q I'd like you to take a look at MPAA

Exhibit 307. Mr. Galaz, when this exhibit first

came up, I heard your counsel say an objection

that IPG is not claiming Feed the Children in

this proceeding. Is that accurate?

A Well, I think that the issue was

whether or not it's been claimed in the

A devotional category.

Q I'm just asking is Feed the Children

10 being claimed?

11 A In the entire proceeding, it's being

2 claimed in the program suppliers category. I'm

14 sorry.

15

20 21

Q Okay. So Mr. Boydston was wrong about

14 that?

16 A I think from a literal standpoint that

17 was incorrect.

19 Feed the Children in the devotional category at

 $20\,$ $\,$ the beginning of this proceeding. Isn't that

21 right?

22 A At the beginning of this proceeding,

197 199 in your satellite claim because your satellite I'm not certain. claim is missing those three pages? Did IPG produce this email to the SDC I'm sorry. Say that again. in the 1999 proceeding? These three entities, Jack Van Impe, I'm certain it wouldn't have because that would've, this post-dated the '99 Life Outreach and Willie Wilson Productions are proceeding. not in your 2008 satellite plan because your 2008 Let me turn to, could you turn to, satellite plan is missing those three pages. while we're on the MPAAs, MPAA Exhibit 302? Well, they don't appear on this document. That's correct. 10 Now you understand that the SDC has 1:2 11 challenged IPG's claims on behalf of Jack Van 11 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which names are that Impe, Life Outreach and Willie Wilson Productions 12 again, Counsel? 12 for 2008 satellite. Is that right? 13 1.3 MR. MACLEAN: Jack Van Impe, Life I understand that. Correct. 1.1 14 Outreach And if you take a look at MPAA Exhibit 15 15 JUDGE STRICKLER: Hang on one second. 302 about 20 pages from the end, and each page, Go ahead. I'm sorry. 16 16 of course, is double sided. So it's 20 sides MR. MACLEAN: Jack Van Impe. Life 17 17 10 from the end. 18 Outreach and Willie Wilson productions. This is IPG's joint satellite claim THE WITNESS: Actually I have to 19 19 for 2008. Correct? 20 20 qualify that. Actually with Willie Wilson Productions no, that's incorrect because if you Α Yes. 21 This is from, and you understand this actually look at the second page of the document, 198 200 to be from the copyright office's or certified it's actually identified Willie Wilson record from the copyright office. Correct? Productions as one of the entities for which we JUDGE BARRETT: I believe it's from had provided an exemplar broadcast information. the Copyright Royalty Board. It's on Page 2. MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor, JUDGE STRICKLER: Which entity? certified record from the Copyright Royalty MR. GALAZ: Willie Wilson Productions. Board. Correct? JUDGE BARRETT: It's identified as, oh THE WITNESS: Yes. I see what you're saving, as an example. BY MR. MACLEAN: THE WITNESS: Right. 10 This differs from the document that BY MR. MACLEAN: you, the revised document that you put into your 11 As an example of a broadcast that was own exhibit list. Correct. 12 12 distantly re-transmitted. 13 I think this one we saw that it was 13 That's correct. missing Pages 4, 5, 9 and 10 of the exhibit. That you don't actually claim, at 15 And so just to, and Pages 4, 5, 9 and least in this particular document. You don't 10 would have been where Jack Van Impe and Life 16 actually claim Willie Wilson Productions as a Outreach and Willie Wilson Productions, I take it 17 17 claimant. 18 you to be saying they would've been there if 18 А Well, it actually says Willie Wilson those pages had been included. 19 19 Productions, Inc. right there. Yes, I'm sure that they would've been. 20 20 0 As the owner of the broadcast program. So if I understand your testimony 21 21 Δ Correct.

22

0

correctly, these entities, in fact, do not appear

22

Now just so that we're completely

203 201 that relation. clear here, these pages are obviously not here. Just earlier today I asked you about 0 Is it your testimony that IPG omitted the pages IPG's agreement with IWV Media, right? when it made the filing? Correct. Or is it your testimony that the Which as produced to us was missing 0 Copyright Office or the Copyright Royalty Board Exhibit A, right? had somehow lost the papers? It was missing the third of three A With all due respect, the Copyright pages. That's correct, because it was a scanning Royalty Board, I'm certain that the Copyright error, but this, these are hard copies that are Royalty Board lost it because we've had being sent to the CRB. 10 comparable situations. It's not they're being scanned in and 11 Comparable situations? 0 then electronically sent. So that would be the 12 Where there's been missing claims. 12 They've been relocated, and so when I saw this or 13 distinction. That's what I meant by this would when we deduced this, then it didn't come as a not be a scanning error. 14 15 15 Let's talk a little bit about Bob Ross complete surprise. 16 So what you're saying is because in 1.6 Inc. What did you do, well first of all, you 17 your experience the Copyright Office has missed 17 were incarcerated when exactly? What were the 1.8 pages before. You deduced that the Copyright 18 dates? 19 Office has lost these papers. 19 February 2003 through May 2004. The last six weeks being at a halfway house in San 20 Well, not on that basis alone. The 20 21 other basis being that everything was sent at the 21 Antonio Texas. 22 same time. The exhibit for, and when I say During this period of time, Marian 202 204 everything was sent at the same time, 2008 cable Oshita was running the operations of IPG? 2 was sent at the same time as 2008 satellite. 2 And Lisa Galaz. 3 It's the identical exhibit. It 3 Together or separately? appears as the identical exhibit with its Separately. heading. It was in the same package. Based on Q When you returned from your that I'm certain there would've been, I mean I 6 incarceration did then you essentially take over can't imagine us just omitting Pages 4, 5, 9 and the management of IPG? 10. â Well. I would never say I took over Well --9 the management at that point. I started re-We're handling one document that CRB familiarizing myself with what was going on. 10 10 11 is receiving hundreds There really was very little that was 11 12 Well, even in the context of the last 12 occurring at that point in time. But to say took 13 few days here at this hearing, has IPG 13 over the management, no. I wouldn't say I took 14 experienced some scanning errors with its over the management. documents? IPG, of course, filed a claim for Bob 16 Has IPG experienced some scanning Ross Inc. for the year 2004. Is that right? 17 errors? 17 18 Yes. 18 That would've been filed in July of We've determined that there's been 19 19 2005? 20 scanning errors in discovery. That's correct, 20 Α That's correct.

21

22 was filed in 2005?

but this isn't a scanning error. This wouldn't

be a scanning error, so I'm not sure I understand

21

Did you put that claim together that

205

```
A I'm sure I reviewed it, but it
would've been, July 2005, that would've been with
Lisa Galaz.
```

4 Q Bob Ross Inc. was included on that
5 2005 claim. Is that right?

A That's correct.

7 Q Actually both the 2005 joint cable

a claim and the 2005 joint satellite claim.

A That would make sense.

10 Q And I'm sorry. When I said 2005, I
11 meant the 2004 joint cable claim, the 2004 joint
12 satellite claim which were filed in July of 2005.
13 Is that right?

A Okay. Well you had said filed in July 2005, so I took it as the 2004 claim. That's

16 correct.

Q What did you do, if anything, to confirm before filing those claims that IPG

19 validly represented Bob Ross Inc.?

20 A Sure. Prior to that we looked at the.

 $\ensuremath{\text{21}}$ $\ensuremath{\text{--}}$ well, to put it in context because it relates to

22 it, in July 2005 it was about six months after

207

2 them about whether there had been an extension

3 agreement?

A I do not recall.

Q Do you typically contact claimants in

6 advance of filing your claims to confirm whether

you have continuing agreements in place?

A No, because if there's a continuing

9 agreement in place then the only thing that would

10 change whether you would include them on a joint

11 claim or not would be if they sent a termination

letter terminating, a notice of termination

terminating the term.

14 That would be the only thing that
15 would be relevant. If a notice of termination
16 were received, then at that point you would
17 calculate, you would look at it against the

18 contract and calculate it to figure out whether
19 or not the date, if there's one being identified

20 in the notice of termination is accurate.

21 If not, then you contact the party, 22 such as what we, well, like what we did with Feed

206

Marian Oshita had lost her lawsuit with Lisa

2 Galaz.

3 And she just sort of disappeared. So

 $4\,\,$ $\,$ we didn't have her as a source of information.

We did have filings she had made in the prior

6 years. And one thing, excuse me.

7 One thing that had occurred that I

8 think of the hundreds of agreements we have,

9 there's only one other instance in which this

10 exists is that we'd had three consecutive filings

11 on behalf of Bob Ross.

But we didn't have the contracts with

Bob Ross because they were all ones that had

14 dealt with correspondence between Marian Oshita

15 and Bob Ross Inc.

16 So by seeing that there had been

17 claims for multiple consecutive years, the

18 presumption and it was a reasonable presumption,

19 was that it was pursuant to an extension

20 agreement or a long term agreement with WSG and

21 that there was a continuing obligation for IPG to

make the joint claim, including Bob Ross.

1 the Children.

2 That's why we continue to make claim

3 for them for the 2013 filing after we had

4 received the letter from them. They had

5 miscalculated. We told them they had

6 miscalculated. They didn't object.

Q Let's take a look at IPG Exhibit 53.

8 A I'm sorry.

9 Q 53. Okay. If you turn to the last

page of IPG Exhibit 53, which is the spreadsheet

11 showing search results that you testified was

12 payments made to Bob Ross Inc.

13 A Okay. That's not the last page of 53

14 I don't think.

Q I had understand that's where it was

16 --

20

10

17 A I think that 53 is a series, a variety 18 of correspondence with Bob Ross and what that got

19 appended to was the email of February 1, 2013.

O Okav.

JUDGE STRICKLER: It's the fifth page

22 down.

```
209
                                                                                                                   211
                 MR. MACLEAN: Okay. Thank you. I put
                                                                   for the years 2004 and 2005?
    it in the wrong place in mine. That's fine. If
                                                                               I heard him say that he received
                                                               2
     you could turn to the page entitled Search
                                                                   payments from All Global Media. I'm not sure if
 -1
     Results.
                                                                   it was for those years.
                THE WITNESS: Okay.
                                                                               Well.
                 BY MR. MACLEAN:
                                                                                But I know what years we made claim.
                 And these are all the payments that
                                                                   If I recall and I had looked at this, I could
     IFG has made to Bob Ross Inc. with the exception
                                                                   actually refresh my recollection because I didn't
     of the one check that Bob Ross Inc. returned. Is
                                                                   look this up.
     that right?
                                                              10
                                                                               There's a declaration here that we
11
                 That's correct. It's a printout of
                                                              11
                                                                   didn't admit into evidence. I know that we had
12
     documents from our Quicken program.
                                                              12
                                                                   payments that covered through the 2007 broadcast
13
                Okay. And if you take a look at the
                                                              13
    bottom. The earliest date is at the bottom,
                                                                               And I don't recall if it started in
14
                                                              14
    payment on August 28, 2006, Check Number 1022,
                                                                   2002 or 2001. If you want me to look, I'll look.
14
                                                              15
                                                                               If you would, you're saying you need
10
    Bob Roset Inc.
                                                                         0
                                                              16
17
                IPG royalties paid is the category.
                                                              17
                                                                   to look at your document?
    Doe: that denote that the check itself showed
18
                                                              18
                                                                               To recall which years these payments
    that it was from IPG?
19
                                                                   related to.
                                                              19
                I'm not certain whether the check
          Α
                                                              20
                                                                         0
                                                                               Okay. Look at your declaration.
    said IPG or WSG.
                                                                   That's IPG 50.
                                                              21
                Okay. So this is the first payment
                                                                               So it's 2001 through 2007 though I'm
```

212

```
that IFG made, and this would've been for the
     year 2001. Is that right?
                 I'm sorry. It would've been for what?
           0
                 The copyright royalty year 2001. Is
     that right?
                 I have no idea. From this information
     you can't deduce what calendar year it applied
     to. There might not have been any royalties for
     that calendar year.
.10
           Q
                 Well, there was a payment made I think
     you read in 2006. Correct?
                 It says August 28, 2006.
                 A payment on October 3, 2007. Is that
     right?
1-1
15
                 That's correct.
16
                 A payment on October 1, 2008.
17
           Α
                 That's correct.
1 ×
           0
                 And then no further payments until
     November 21, 2011. Is that right?
19
                 That's correct.
20
                 Now, did you hear Mr. Kowalski's
21
22
     testimony that he was paid by All Global Media
```

not sure which of these payments applied to which particular broadcast year. You're very clear about this, Mr. Galaz. Are you testifying that IPG has made a payment to Bob Ross Inc. that covers the cable royalty year and satellite royalty year 2004? Not from, I mean I can go back and look. No. from this information I don't know that. I know that one of them applies to 2001. 10 I know one of them applies to 2007. I'm not sure which years the others apply to. At 12 least one applies to 2001. At least one applies Okay. So your testimony is you made a payment for the year 2001 to Bob Ross Inc., 16 right? 17 At least one. Correct. 18 0 You made at least one payment to Bob 19 Ross Inc. for the year 2007. 20 Α That's correct. 21 0 But you cannot testify that you made

at least one payment for the year 2004.

213

```
A Not without checking the accountings,
```

- 2 which I didn't bring with me.
- Q And you cannot testify that you made
- 4 a payment for the year 2005.
- A Not without checking the accountings.
- I didn't realize it was an issue.
- 7 Q Well, I think you just said that you
- made payments for the years 2001 through 2007.
- But what you really meant is you made payments
- 10 for the years 2001 and 2007.
- 11 A That I know for certain, but there
- were other years other than 2001 and 2007. I
- 13 don't know which ones.
- 14 O If IPG made a claim for Bob Ross Inc.
- in 2004 and then did not receive a royalty
- 16 payment for PBS for Bob Ross Inc. in 2004,
- 17 wouldn't that raise a huge red flag about why IPG
- 18 is not receiving a royalty check for one of its
- 19 own claimants?
- 20 A Absolutely not, and I can explain why.
- 21 Q Go ahead.
- 22 A Because sometimes there are not re-

215

Okay. Then I'm sorry. Could you ask

- 2 your question again?
- 3 Q Wouldn't, in the situation where
- 4 you've made a claim to PBS on behalf of a
- 5 claimant --
- A All right.
- Q -- and PBS is issuing no royalties on
- 8 behalf of that claimant --
- 9 A For that year.
- 10 Q -- for that year, wouldn't PBS account
- 11 for that \$0 royalty by sending it, by notifying
- 12 IPG that it's receiving no royalties for that
- 13 claim?
- 14 A No. absolutely not.
- MR. BOYDSTON: I'll object. I think
- 16 it calls for speculation as to what PBS would do.
- 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
- 18 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- 19 Q Turning back to IPG Exhibit 53, first
- 20 page of Exhibit 53, this is the letter that Mr.
- 21 Boydston received from Mr. Kowalski. Correct?
- 22 A Yes

214

- transmitted broadcasts. There are other
- circumstances that it may not have ended up in
- 5 the PBS methodology for distribution.
- 4 It's not all encompassing and
- comprehensive. So that's actually absolutely
- $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{e}}$ $\ensuremath{\mbox{\ensuremath{}}}$ common in this industry to receive royalties one
- year and not receive any royalties the next.
- I mean with the variation of literally
- bundreds of thousands of dollars. And we
- 10 experience that on a regular basis.
- 11 So to have received no royalties for
- 12 any particular year, if that's what occurred,
- 13 which I can't verify without looking at the
- 14 accountings, wouldn't have raised any suspicion.
- 19 Q Wouldn't PBS, in that circumstance,
- 16 have accounted for \$0 paid to Bob Ross Inc. if
- 17 there was simply no royalty payment to be made?
- 18 A I would presume what PBS would do if
- 19 we made a claim and someone else made a claim --
- 20 (Simultaneous speaking)
- 21 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- 22 Q I'm not asking you to presume.

- Q At the bottom cc'ing Steven Friedman
- 2 and Marc Mangum. Correct?
- 3 A Correct.

- O The decision to cc Steven Friedman and
- 5 Marc Mangum on subsequent correspondence, they
- 6 were already alerted to this issue by the initial
- 7 letter. Is that right?
- A I would presume so.
- 9 Q So taking a look at the email dated
- .0 from Brian Boydston to Walt@bobross.com, dated
- 11 February 27, 2013, which is the fifth page into
- 12 this exhibit.
- 13 Well, it might be more than the fifth
- 14 page. Maybe I'll say the third page from the end
- 15 of this exhibit.
- JUDGE STRICKLER: February 27th?
- MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
- 18 This is an email from Mr. Boydston to
- 19 Mr. Kowalski of Bob Ross, is that right?
- 20 MR. GALAZ: Yes.
- 21 BY MR. MACLEAN:
- Q And in this email, and did you

217 219 have been no authorization for it. instruct Mr. Boydston to send this email? That was, as I understood, the offer 2 2 I don't know if it was myself or Denise personally, but it was on our behalf. I'm that was made that the alternatives that were 3 provided to Mr. Kowalski. fairly certain that he was just probably just following up on his own. MR. MACLEAN: So, basically, you told 5 Mr. Kowalski either agree to our representation The first line says, I still have not and let us take our commission or we'll send all heard from you following my last correspondence the money back to PBS and you get nothing? requesting that you forward whatever correspondence you have verifying your contention MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor. That misstates the evidence here or that that Bob Ross, Inc. terminated its agreement with WSG by providing notice under the agreement. 11 misstates the evidence objection. 12 You understand, don't you, that Bob 12 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. MACLEAN: Even today, you still 13 Ross, Inc.'s contention is that the agreements 13 have not returned this money to PBS? 14 were outside of the term -- of their term, is 14 MR. GALAZ: Well, we gave them a check 15 that right? 15 for 80 percent of the total without any 16 Α Do I understand what Bob Ross's 16 17 understanding is? 17 qualification as to it being full and final settlement. That's a matter of record. 18 0 18 19 I'm not sure I can do anything other 19 Mr. Kowalski begrudgingly refused to than ·cash it. I think he said here because it wasn't 20 20 21 21 100 percent. Why would you expect to have received

218

1 circumstance, he could always cash the check for

I think our perspective was under any

1 after the mandate agreements had expired? 2 Well, receiving a notice of termination isn't the same as saying that it's outside their term. You're confusing concepts. 5 The next sentence says, if your organization set the required written notice. WSG shall abide by it and return the collected royalties to PBS. 10 Is that right? Did IPG ever return 11 the collected royalties to PBS? 12 We never received any documents from 13 Mr. Kowalski. So, we offered two things, we 14 offered we would either take the 20 percent 15 commission and that's ultimately what we did 16 because we received no documents from them; or if 17 they could demonstrate that there was no 18 authority for WSG to make claim on their behalf. then we would return it all back to PBS. 100 19 percent of it back to PBS contingent on the 20

agreement that PBS not distribute any to Mr.

Kowalski or Bob Ross, Inc. because there would

written notice of a termination from Bob Ross

80 percent and if there was an issue with us, he could raise it with us. But, until we actually got the SDC rebuttal statement, we didn't even know whether there was, in fact, an agreement with All Global Media. BY MR. MACLEAN: Well, he returned the check to you, 10 right? 11 He returned the check and then 12 continued to insist on payment of 100 percent. 13 Q He couldn't, after returning the 14 check, he couldn't thereafter cash it, right? 15 No, but we've then -- okay. 16 And you didn't, even after receiving the SDC rebuttal statement have never returned 17 18 the money to PBS, right? Because at this point. I think our 19 belief is that but for the claims that were made 20 21 by WSG, by IPG, those monies wouldn't be paid. So, consequently, there would have been an

223 221 I'm sorry, that's the wrong one. Did Α entitlement. you say 356? There's -- it's not clear, it's a 2 little bit more complicated than this, but it's MR. MACLEAN: 356 and 257. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, if it will not clear that we wouldn't have been entitled to assist James, we will stipulate that Bob Ross, any portion of those monies. Inc. is able to document these claims that were It's not clear that you would or 0 submitted. wouldn't? MR. MACLEAN: I'd still like to ask Would not have been entitled some А portion of those monies. One of the issues that him a few things on it, Your Honor. 10 JUDGE BARRETT: You may. got touched upon was the agreement with All JUDGE STRICKLER: Before you do, we'll Global Media which, until we received the SDC 11 12 rebuttal statement, Mr. Kowalski refused to 12 wait until the witness is turned around and ask a 13 provide to us. 13 question. 14 We've had other circumstances where 14 MR. GALAZ: I can't find that. Is 15 Ms. Oshita has misrepresented to parties that 15 there --16 they were, in fact --16 MR. BOYDSTON: May I approach, Your MR. OLANIRAN: Objection, Your Honor. 17 1.7 Honor? I believe the guestion on the floor is whether or JUDGE BARRETT: You may. 18 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Galaz, before 19 19 not IPG returned the money to PBS and --20 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 20 you begin with that, I wanted to ask you just a 21 MR. OLANIRAN: Thank you. 21 follow-up question based on Mr. MacLean's MR. MACLEAN: Even after this questioning. 224 1 correspondence that's in IPG Exhibit 53 and the 1 You said that you filed on behalf of correspondence that the SDC has submitted, IPG Bob Ross in July of 2014 because you were filed another joint claim for cable and satellite concerned that you might be exposed to some for royalty year 2013 in July of 2014, right? liability if had an obligation to still make such MR. GALAZ: That is correct. claims -- file such claims and didn't do so. 5 BY MR. MACLEAN: correct? 6 You're still asserting that IPG MR. GALAZ: That's right. represents Bob Ross, Inc.? 8 JUDGE STRICKLER: Correct. But the letter that you received on January 16th, 2013 No. As of July of 2014, you still asserted that Mr. Boydston received from Mr. Kowalski 10 10 that IPG represents Bob Ross, Inc.? specifically says that you're not authorized to 11 11 12: As of July of 2013, it was working -claim for Bob Ross going forward. 13 July of '14, it was our concern that it was our 13 So, why would you have had any concern obligation to continue making claim for Bob Ross, that you could be liable after you had received Inc. not any more than that. 15 this what appears to be the unambiguous 16 You can always withdraw a claim, you 16 termination of any relationship that might have

17

18

19

20

21

22

existed and any relationship going forward?

that but at the same time, I know that we've had

all sorts of confusion with parties when they

start dealing with post-term collection rights.

MR. GALAZ: Well, you're right about

And we've had parties flip-flop just,

at 356 first.

can't revive a deadline and the concern that we

had was that we would ultimately be found liable

claims, in the Exhibits 356 and 357, we'll look

Let's take a look at those joint

if we didn't make a claim for them.

17

19

20

228

I mean, even in this proceeding, you'll see exhibits that have been submitted, declarations where a party has said nearly one thing one day and another thing the next. JUDGE STRICKLER: So, when you receive a letter that's unambiguous termination such as this January 16th, 2013 letter from Bob Ross, in the ordinary course of business, do you say that you disregard them because the client who has terminated you may quote, unquote, unterminate you the next day so better to be safe than sorry and just keep filing claims? 12 13 MR. GALAZ: Well, first of all, I 1.4 wouldn't say that there's necessarily an ordinary 14 course of business because terminations are extraordinarily rare. That just hasn't happened 16 17 on very many occasions. 18 But, we've had circumstances, let me give you an example, where we've had a minimum of 19 a three year term for a party and within one 20 year, they've sent a termination notice to us 21 saving immediately write me out.

MR. GALAZ: If there had been, in fact, a -- and this is one of the things that I've gotten into -- it was complicated by the involvement of All Global Media. We had prior circumstances where we had an IPG represent a claimant, and this came about very innocently, you might say, contact us or we contacted them only to find out that they had signed a contract for All Global Media having been expressly mislead and it wasn't just through 11 correspondence like Mr. Kowalski said he 12 experienced, but actually communications, 13 conversations with Marion Oshita where she 14 indicated we're just changing our name to All 15 Global Media, here's a new contract for you to 16 sian. 17 So, the party thought they were continuing on with us even though there's 18 technically a signed contract with All Global 19 Media and no termination with us. 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Is one of those 21 22 claimants that you're referring to by way of

226

225

1 Well, in that circumstance, it's both an issue of our entitlement for the three years and our obligation for the three years. And we've had other circumstances where parties have said, well, you know, I told you that I wanted you to make a claim even though there was, you know, indicators from them that they wanted us to cease and desist, you know. And that's been very frustrating as well. 10 So, yes, even in the face of a letter that you would suggest would be just unambiguous, 11 12 I think we have to look at each and every one of those circumstances and just try to do what would 14 be the safe thing to do. 15 And it was truly because of what I had said, you can always withdraw an application, you 17 cannot revive a deadline. 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: So, you say you have 19 to look at each one in particular. So, what was 20 there in particular about the Bob Ross

termination of January 16th, 2013 that led you to

continue to make a claim in July of 2014?

comparison, is that claim represented in this 2 proceeding? 3 MR. GALAZ: It was Chesler Perlmutter Productions. They never sent IPG a termination but they then signed an agreement with All Global Media which, when they found out the details and the circumstances surround it, they basically sent a letter of record, you know, to the -excuse me. a letter to the address of record to All Global Media. 10 11 So. I think that, in a short answer, 12 I think, yes, but in the program suppliers 13 category. JUDGE STRICKLER: And is that a 15 document in evidence in this proceeding, as far 16 as you know? 17 MR. GALAZ: No, I'm certain it's not. 18 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 19 MR. GALAZ: I'm certain it's not. 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. MR. MACLEAN: Take a look at MPAA 21 Exhibit -- actually, my first question relates to 22

21

1 booth MPAN Exhibits 358 and 257. 2 If you take a look at Ma. Vermon's signaturur line on each of these two documents, is there may resonn that you are aware of that these two documents, is there may resonn that you are aware of that these two signaturus look or similar? 5 Ma. CALASI thought she was using a 7 utump because, I mean Ms. Barrott - Judge 8 Barnott, excuse we, had referenced that because 9 they jook very similar or ms. 80, but she said 10 that she doesn't have one. 11 BY MR. MACLASM: 12 A Key you ware of whether she does or 13 not? 13 A I have no idea, but she said she 14 didn't. 14 A I have no idea, but she said she 14 didn't. 15 MR. MACLEBN: And taking a look or - 17 and we'll earn twich Robbibt 356, IRC's joint 12 A All this come in the context of the 13 '99 cable proceedings where a challenge was being 14 made. 16 Lours for cable retrainments on 2013. Taking a 10 look at Exhibit A 20 JUDGE STRICKERS. Thenk you. 17 MR. MACLEBN: Mich document are you on, 20 Councel? 20 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 18 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 20 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 20 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 21 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 22 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 23 MR. MACLEBN: Thenk you. 24 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 25 MR. MACLEBN: Thenk you. 26 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 26 MR. MACLEBN: Thenk you. 27 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 27 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 28 MR. MACLEBN: Thenk you. 29 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 29 MR. MACLEBN: Thenk you. 30 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 30 JUDGE STRICKERS. Thenk you. 40 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 41 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 42 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 43 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 44 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 45 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 46 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 47 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 48 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 49 MR. MACLEBN: Mach A 356. 40 MR. MAC		. 229	1	231
If you take a look at Ma. Vernon's signature line on each of these two documents, is there's my roason that you are owere of that these two signatures look so similar? Ma. MALAS. I though she was using a group because, I mean Ms. Barnett - Judge To group because, I mean Ms. Barnett - Judge To work they look vary similar to ms. So, but she said that the deem't have cos. They not? The YMM. SACLEMN: A I have no idea, but she ead she The didn't. MA. MALEMN: And taking a look at c- and we'll start with Enhibit 386, Ifo's joint claim for coble retransmission 2013. Taking a look at Enhibit A Journoal? MA. MACLEMN: Maha 386. 230 A Well, what it's a type. Judge FRICKLER. Thank you. MA. MACLEMN: Taking a look at Eshibit A, first thing, the line at the top, that the surface a reason thet you pusced the direct claim for 2012 MG. MALE, I she worldede Sabeledy Group, Life Joint Claim for 2012 MG. MALE, I she certain this is at the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will cocur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to moke on Dobahi of parties and then we will excise from Sabeledy Group, Life Joint Claim for 2012 MG. MALE, I an certain this is at the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will cocur in the preparations, we'll take from Should of parties and then we will excise from Should of parties and then we will excise from Should of parties and then we will excise from Chat and preparations, that which, it's not often, but Shoulcon of termination, that which, it's not often, but The prior year whatever claims we have to moke on Dobahi of parties and then we will excise from Chat and the preparations, yer'll take from Chat and the same sheet year after year after MG. MALE, I have contined to the would take effect MG. MALESHNI. BY MG. MALESHNI. A This correct. A Well, where a lime are with deal add in any make verisions. A Well think by so eaid in response to one of the young sections that it's earlier to - it's e	1	both MPAA Exhibit 356 and 257.	1	A Well, no, but for the reasons that I
Signature line on each of these two documents, is there may reason that you are aware of that these two mignatures look so similar?			2	, .
therm.nny reason that you are nours of that these to injunctures look so similar? ** Was GALEA! I thought she was using a 7 utamp because, I mean Ms. Barnett Judge ** Barnett, excuse me, had referemend that because 'they look very similar to me. So, but she said 'that she docen't have one. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 O Are you wase of whether she does or 13 Inor? 14 A I have no idea, but she said she 'th didn't. 15 MR. MACLEAN: Mach taking a look at 17 and we'll start with Exhibit 356, 150's joint 18 look at Exhibit A 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 20 Councel? 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 356. 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 356. 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 156. 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 156. 230 231 3 A First thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the wohibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldodde 5 Subbeidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 5 MR. CALER: I me certain this is the 16 first I'vo ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 Will cocur in the preparations, we'll take from 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that my claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 tormination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 no worktities from whor rights had been 19 controcted. 20 A That's correct. 21 A All this adjeal pane with respect to Semmy 22 A Sol this case in the context of the 23 Pyou absence that insue? 24 A Correct. 25 Q You wanted to remove that insue? 26 A Well, then it's a type. 27 Q I noticed you still haven't corrected 28 A Well, then it's a type. 29 A Well, then it's a type. 20 So, for la years may we've had this 22 sume type appearing in the same apreadsheet? 28 A Well, then it's a type. 29 A R. MACLEAN: I've aver after year after 29 year that you go through and waybe make 29 O Now, I think you add in response to 29 O Now, I think you add in respons		-	3	Q And you didn't excise Feed the
N. GALAS. I thought she was using a 7 stramp because, I mean Ms. Barnett Judge 8 harrott, oxcuse me, had referenced that because 2 they look very similar to me. So, but she said 10 that the doean't have one. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 O Are you ware of whether she does or 12 A All this came in the context of the 13 not? 12 O Are you ware of whether she does or 13 A All this came in the context of the 13 not? 13 A I have no idea, but she said she 14 made. 15 O You wanted to resove that issue? 16 O Are you ware of whether she does or 17 A All this came in the context of the 18 made. 18 you did add a legal hame with respect to Renny 9 Sim Ministries, now it says Royal Hearing Center 19 cable proceedings where a challenge was being 10 made. 19 cable proceedings where a challenge was being 11 made. 19 cable proceedings where a challenge was being 12 A Correct. 19 Q I noticed you still haven't corrected 15 A Correct. 19 Q I noticed you still haven't corrected 16 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the pipe case. 19 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 19 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 19 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Dahibit 1 A, if is the Worldadde 19 the whibit, Ebribit A, if is the Worldadde 19 the whibit, Ebribit A, if is the Worldadde 19 C First I'vo ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 11 babalf of parties and then we will excise from 11 that any claimate that have forwarded notices of too maintain, that which, it's not often, but 10 notices of townination, that which, it's not often, but 10 notices of townination, that which it's not often. Dut 10 not ortices from whom rights had been 19 NR. MACLEAN: I'm energate to 11 now ortities from whom rights had been 19 the prior year whetever claims we have to make on 19 that my claimate that have forwarded notices of townination, that which, it's not often, but 10 notice of townination, that which it's not often. Dut 10 not ortice of townination, that which it's not often. Dut 10 not ortice from whom rights had been 19 the			4	Children at line 60?
### Chart is thought she was using a contempt secure. I mean Me. Barnett Judge contempt secure. I mean Me. Barnett Judge contempt secure. I mean Me. Barnett Judge contempt contempt secure me, had referenced that because contempt	li		5	
### Surnott, excuse se, had referenced that because Description Description				
beginner, excuse me, had referenced that because they look very similar to me. So, but she said that she doesn't have one. Sy MR. MACLEAN: A flave no idea, but she said she didn't. MR. MACLEAN: And taking a look at and we'll start with Exhibit 356, TRV's joint claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a look at Exhibit A. MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. MR. MACLEAN: Which document are you on, didn't. MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. A limit series which was also mentioned in the 199 cable. A Correct. A All this came in the context of the made. A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A Correct. A Well, then it's a type. Counsel? MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. Z30 A Well, then it's a type. Counsel? MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of the whibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide Suboidy Group, LLG Joint Claim for 2012 Retrummission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will occur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to make on behalf of parties and then we will excise from the that any claimsnts that have forwarded notices of the termination, that which, it's not often, but notices of termination that would take effect adding this broadcast year and would add in any now ontities from whom rights had been BY MR. MACLEAN: A Well then it's a pot often, but first I've over noticed that. Typically, what corrections, maybe not? MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely make revisions one of the Juge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once the it's been made. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. A That's correct.		•		
10 that she doesen't have one. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Are you seare of whether she does or 13 1 99 cable proceedings where a challenge was being 14 A I have no idea, but she said she 15 Q You wanted to remove that issue? 16 MR. MACLEAN: 17 and we'll start with Eddibit 356, 180's joint 18 claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Enkhibit A 19 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 Councel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 230 3 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mach would take set of the text with Eddibit A 24 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 230 4 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 25 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 26 Retransmission Royalties. In there a reason that 27 you passed the defect claim for 2012 28 retransmission Royalties. In there a reason that 29 MR. MACLEAN: Take certain this is the 20 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 21 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 21 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 23 to rotices of termination that would take effect 24 make revisions. 25 MR. MACLEAN: 26 MR. MACLEAN: Take often, but 27 notices of termination that would take effect 28 during this broadcast year and would add in any 29 cabale proceedings where a challenge was being 30 You vanted to remove that issue? 31 A ll this came in the context of the 32 MR correct. 32 A Correct. 34 Correct. 35 A Mell this came in the context of the 36 the typo Ministeries, now it says Royal Reaxing Context 36 Carrect. 37 A All this came in the context of the 38 Made. 39 You vanted to remove that issue? 40 In noticed you estill haven't corrected 41 the typo Ministeries, 42 In noticed you estill haven't corrected 43 the typo Ministeries, 44 Line came in the context of the 45 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 45 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 46 the typo Ministeries, 40 In noticed you estill haven't corrected 41 the typo Ministeries, 41 A I'm sorry. 42 A MR MCLEAN: 43 A I'm sorry. 44 A I'm sorry. 54 A I'm sorry. 55 A MR MRCLEAN: 56 No MR MRCLEAN: 57 MR MCLEAN: 58 N		•		
10 Church, Inc. d/b/a Benny Rinn Ministries, 11 BY NR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Are you aware of whether she does or 13 per called proceedings where a challenge was being 14 made. 15 Q You wanted to remove that issue? 16 A Correct. 17 and we'll start with Exhibit 356, IRO's joint 18 claim for cable retrememission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Exhibit A				
11 OSTRECKLER: Which document are you on, 21 OLORGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 22 COUNDER? 23 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 24 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 25 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 26 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 27 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 28 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 29 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 20 MUGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 COUNDER? 21 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 22 Same typo appearing in the same apreadeheet? 23 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 24 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 29 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 25 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 20 MR. MACLEAN: MEMA 356. 21 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 24 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 25 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 26 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 27 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 28 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 29 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 29 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 20 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 21 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 22 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 23 A Time sorry 24 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 25 Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 26 Returnsmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 27 you passed the direct claim for 2012 28 Returnsmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 29 MR. GALAX: I am certain this is the 29 MR. GALAX: I am certain this is the 29 MR. GALAX: I am certain this is the 29 MR. GALAX: I am certain this is the 29 MR. GALAX: I am certain this is the 29 MR. GALAX: I am certain this is the 29 MR. GALAX: Make revisions, absolutely 29 MR. MACLEAN: 20 MR. MACLEAN: 21 MR. MACLEAN: 22 MR. MACLEAN: 23 MR. MACLEAN: 24 MR. MACLEAN: 25 MR. MACLEAN: 26 MR. MACLEAN: 27 MR. MACLEAN: 28 MR. MACLEAN: 29 MR. GALAX: Make revisions that it's 29 MR. GALAX: Make revisions that it's 29 MR. CALAX: Make revisions that it's 29 MR. MACLEAN: 20 MR. MACLEAN: 21 MR. MACLEAN: 22 MR. MACLEAN: 23 MR. MACLEAN: 24 MR. MACLEAN: 25 MR. MACLEAN: 26 MR. MACLEAN: 27 MR. MACLEAN: 28 MR. MACLEAN: 29 MR. MACLEAN: 20 MR. MACLEAN: 20 MR. MACLEAN:	1			
12 A All this came in the context of the 14 not? 15 A I have no idea, but she said she 15 G You wanted to remove that issue? 16 MR. MACLEAN: And taking a look at 17 and we'll start with Exhibit 356, IPG's joint 18 Claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Exhibit A 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 Councel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 23 O A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Guncel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the wibhit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subeidy Group, LLG Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retransminsion Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 23 O MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 24 I Literally the same sheet year after 25 year that you go through and maybe make 26 corrections, maybe not: 27 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 28 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 39 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 31 Literally the same sheet year after 30 you passed the direct claim for 2012 51 MR. MACLEAN: The were noticed that. Typically, what 52 MR. MACLEAN: The were noticed that. Typically, what 53 WR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 54 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 55 MR. MACLEAN: The same sheet year after 56 MR. MACLEAN: The same sheet year after 77 year that you go through and maybe make 88 corrections, maybe not: 19 MR. MACLEAN: Make revisions, absolutely 10 make revisions. 11 Will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 11 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 12 the any claimante that have forwarded notices of 13 that any claimante that have forwarded notices of 14 tormination, that which, it's not often, but 15 during this broadcast year and would add in any 16 now ontities from whem rights had been 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 now ontities from whem rights had been 19 contracted. 20 A All this correct.				•
11 not? 11 A I have no idea, but she said she 11 didn't. 12 MR. NACLEAN: And taking a look at 13 and wa'll ctart with Exhibit 356, Ifc's joint 14 A UNDER STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 15 Look at Exhibit A 16 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 16 Louncel? 17 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 23 Well, then it's a typo. 24 Councel? 25 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 26 A Well, then it's a typo. 27 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this same spreadsheet? 28 Subcidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 29 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 4, it's the Worldwide 4 29 Subcidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 30 MR. MACLEAN: Tolking a look at Exhibit 4, it's the worldwide 4 30 Subcidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 40 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 41 First I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 10 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 11 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 12 termination, that which, it's not often, but 13 during this broadcast year and would add in any 16 new ontities from whom rights had been 17 contracted. 10 You wanted to remove that issue? 16 A Correct. 18 A Correct. 18 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this same spreadsheet? 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 23 A I'm sorry 24 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 25 Argumentative, irrelevant. 25 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 26 Literally the same sheet year after year after year after year arter year after year that you go through and maybe make corrections, maybe not? 29 MR. GALAS: I am certain this is the 9 MR. GALAS: Make revisions. 11 EM MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 661? 28 A That's correct.	1			
11 A I have no idea, but she said she 11 didn't. 12 MR. MACLEAN: And taking a look at 13 and wa'll start with Exhibit 356, IPG's joint 14 claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 15 Q You wanted to remove that issue? 16 A Correct. 17 and wa'll start with Exhibit 356, IPG's joint 18 claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Exhibit A 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 Councel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 23 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 23 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 24 Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 25 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 26 Subcidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 27 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 28 Subcidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 29 Retransmission Royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 20 MR. GALAS: I am certain this is the 21 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 21 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 21 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 21 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 22 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 23 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 24 tormination, that which, it's not often, but 25 during this broadcast year and would add in any 26 notices of termination that would take effect 27 during this broadcast year and would add in any 28 now outcities from whom rights had been 29 Contracted. 20 You wanted to remove that issue? 20 I noticed you still haven't corrected 21 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 22 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 23 the typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 21 A I'm sorry 22 Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 22 Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 23 I A I'm sorry 24 MR. SO/DETON: Objection, Your Honor, 25 argumentative, irrelevant. 26 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 26 literally the same sheet year after year after 27 year that you go through and maybe make 28 corrections, maybe not? 39 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 30 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 31 one	1	•		
16 didn't. 17 MR. MACLEAN: And taking a look at	1			
16 MR. MACLEAN: And taking a look at 17 and we'll start with Exhibit 356, IPG's joint 18 claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Exhibit A 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 Councel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 356. 23 O 24 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 25 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 26 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 27 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 28 A Well, then it's a typo. 29 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 29 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 23 O 23 L JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 24 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 25 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 26 A Correct. 27 Q I noticed you still haven't corrected 28 Mell, then it's a typo. 29 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 L A Well, then it's a typo. 20 L A Well, then it's a typo. 20 L A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 23 D 24 A I'm sorry 25 MR. MR. MCDEAN: Toking a look at Exhibit 26 A Correct. 27 A Well, then it's a typo. 28 A Well, then it's a typo. 29 A Time 1999 case. 29 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 23 D 24 A Well, then it's a typo. 29 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q Now, I the same spreadsheet? 24 A Well, then it's a typo. 25 A Well, then it's a typo. 26 A Well, then it's a typo. 27 A Well, then it's a typo. 28 A Well, then it's a typo. 29 A That's care. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 28 D Well A Wel	1	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,		
17 and we'll start with Exhibit 356, IBG's joint 18 claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Exhibit A 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 Councel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 356. 23 O 3 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 24 MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 356. 25 Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 26 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 27 MR. MCLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 28 MR. MCLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 30 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of a the whibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 4 the whibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 4 the whibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subeidy Group, Lic Joint Claim for 2012 6 Rotransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 8 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely 13 make revisions. 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 20 In noticed you still haven't corrected 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned 10 A MI years now, we've had this 2 A MI Well, then it's a typo. 20 A Mell, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 21 A I'm sorry 22 R. A I'm sorry 23 R. MALEAN: Sustained. 21 A I'm sorry 23 R. MALEAN: Sustained. 3 A Ji'm sorry 24 R. CalaZ: Macaleanic. 3 A Sustained. 3 A First thing, the binish same s				-
18 claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a 19 look at Exhibit A 20 JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, 21 Councel? 22 MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356. 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subeidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 9 NR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 13 the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in 19 the 1999 case. 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 230 232 1 A I'm sorry 2 NR. SOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 3 argumentative, irrelevant. 4 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 5 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 6 literally the same sheet year after year after 7 year that you go through and maybe make 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 NR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 it's been made. 17 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 contracted. 20 A That's correct.				
JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, Councel? MR. NACLEAN: MPAA 356. 230 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide Subeidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that you passed the direct claim for 2012 Retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will occur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to make on behalf of parties and then we will excise from that any claimants that have forwarded notices of tormination, that which, it's not often, but thousand the product of the country of the same and the same sheet year after year after Q Now, I think you said in response to one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. A That's correct.	li		17	
JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on, Counsel? MR. MACLEAN: MFAA 356. 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 230 1 A I'm sorry 24 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 25 MR. MCLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 26 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subeidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 8 retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 CNEW STRICKLER: Thank you on the same spreadsheet? 20 A Well, then it's a typo. 21 0 So, for 14 years now, we've had this 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 230 A I'm sorry 24 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 3 argumentative, irrelevant. 4 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 5 MR. MACLEAN: It's basically, 6 literally the same sheet year after year after 7 year that you go through and maybe make 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 6017 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	18	claim for cable retransmission 2013. Taking a	18	the typo Ministeries which was also mentioned in
230 232 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 230 1 A I'm sorry 24 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that Any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 New Charles. 19 Charles Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 22 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 23 Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 24 Same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 25 same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet? 26 NR. BOYDSTON. Objection, Your Honor, 27 A I'm sorry 28 RR. BOYDSTON. Objection, Your Honor, 3 argumentative, irrelevant. 4 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 5 MR. NACLEAN: It's bassically, 6 literally the same sheet year after year after 7 year that you go through and maybe make 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 601? 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	19	look at Exhibit A	19	the 1999 case.
230 232 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subeidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retrunsmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 RR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 now entities from whom rights had been 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 20 A That's correct.	20	JUDGE STRICKLER: Which document are you on,	20	A Well, then it's a typo.
230 1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 2 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Rotransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 8 retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 CYES. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 20 A That's correct.	21	Counsel?	21	Q So, for 14 years now, we've had this
1 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you. 2 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit 3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retrunsmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 year that you go through and maybe make 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	22	MR. MACLEAN: MPAA 356.	22	same typo appearing in the same spreadsheet?
MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that rotransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? Retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? RMR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the Rist I've ever noticed that. Typically, what Rist I've ever noticed that. Typically, what Rist I've ever whatever claims we have to make on Retransmission to year whatever claims we have to make on Retransmission that which, it's not often, but Retransmission to year whatever claims we have to make on Retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that RACLEAN: It's basically, Retransmission that would a fermination that would are retransmission make revisions. Retransmission Royalties. Retransmission Royalties. RACLEAN: It's basically. Retransmission royalties to the 2012 Retransmission royalties to the 2013 Retransmission Royalties. RETRANSLEAN: It's basically. Retransmission royalties to the 2012 Retransmission Royalties. RETRANSLEAN: It's basically. Retransmission royalties to the 2012 Retransmission Royalties. Retransmission Royalties. Retransmission Royalties. Retransmission Royalties. Retransmission Royalteant. RETRANSLEAN: RETRANSLEAN: RETRANSLEAN: RETRANSLEAN: RETRAN		230		232
3 A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of 4 the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide 5 Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 6 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 year that you go through and maybe make 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 make revisions. 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 the prior year whatever claims we have to make on 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 per ontracted. 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	1	JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.	1	A I'm sorry
the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that you passed the direct claim for 2012 RETRANSMISSION ROYALTIES to the 2013 joint claim? MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the RR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely MR. GALAZ: Make revisions. MR. MACLEAN: MR. MACLEAN: MR. MACLEAN: MR. MACLEAN: MR. GALAZ: Make revisions absolutely MR. GALAZ: Make revisions absolutely MR. MACLEAN: MR. MACLEAN: MR. GALAZ: Make revisions absolutely MR. MACLEAN: MR. MACLEAN: MR. GALAZ: Make revisions absolutely MR. MACLEAN: 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. MR. GALAZ: Make revisions. 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. MR. GALAZ: Make revisions. 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	2	MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at Exhibit	2	MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor,
Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012 Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that you passed the direct claim for 2012 RETRANSMISSION royalties to the 2013 joint claim? MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will occur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to make on behalf of parties and then we will excise from that any claimants that have forwarded notices of termination, that which, it's not often, but notices of termination that would take effect the uning this broadcast year and would add in any new entities from whom rights had been BY MR. MACLEAN: MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely make revisions. Py MR. MACLEAN: Q Now, I think you said in response to one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. A 601? A 601? Claim for the year 1999, is that right? Claim for the year 1999, is that right? A That's correct.	3	A, first thing, the line at the top, the title of	3	argumentative, irrelevant.
Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that 7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 year that you go through and maybe make 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 new entities from whom rights had been 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IFG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	-1	the exhibit, Exhibit A, it's the Worldwide	4	JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.
7 you passed the direct claim for 2012 7 year that you go through and maybe make 7 retransmicsion royalties to the 2013 joint claim? 8 corrections, maybe not? 9 MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the 10 make revisions. 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	9	Subsidy Group, LLC Joint Claim for 2012	5	MR. MACLEAN: It's basically,
retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim? MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, BY MR. MACLEAN: 10 make revisions. 11	6	Retransmission Royalties. Is there a reason that	6	literally the same sheet year after year after
MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will occur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to make on behalf of parties and then we will excise from that any claimants that have forwarded notices of termination, that which, it's not often, but notices of termination that would take effect during this broadcast year and would add in any new entities from whom rights had been MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely make revisions. 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 601? 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite contracted. 19 Claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	7	you passed the direct claim for 2012	7	year that you go through and maybe make
MR. GALAZ: I am certain this is the first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what will occur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to make on behalf of parties and then we will excise from that any claimants that have forwarded notices of termination, that which, it's not often, but notices of termination that would take effect during this broadcast year and would add in any new entities from whom rights had been MR. GALAZ: Make revisions, absolutely make revisions. 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 601? 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	a	retransmission royalties to the 2013 joint claim?	8	corrections, maybe not?
10 first I've ever noticed that. Typically, what 11 will occur in the preparations, we'll take from 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 10 make revisions. 11 BY MR. MACLEAN: 12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 601? 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	9		9	
will occur in the preparations, we'll take from the prior year whatever claims we have to make on behalf of parties and then we will excise from that any claimants that have forwarded notices of termination, that which, it's not often, but notices of termination that would take effect during this broadcast year and would add in any new entities from whom rights had been will take from new entities from whom rights had been properties from the preparations, we'll take from not be prior year whatever claims we have to make on properties from the year said in response to one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. A 601? Properties from whom rights had been Replace of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once it's been made. Properties from the year sport for the year sp			1	
12 Q Now, I think you said in response to 13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 10 Now, I think you said in response to 10 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 11 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 12 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 13 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 601? 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.	ll			
13 behalf of parties and then we will excise from 14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 20 A That's correct. 10 one of the Judge Strickler's questions that it's 21 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 21 ti's been made. 21 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 22 Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 23 Claim for the year 1999, is that right? 24 That's correct.				
14 that any claimants that have forwarded notices of 15 termination, that which, it's not often, but 16 notices of termination that would take effect 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 20 A That's correct. 14 easier to it's easy to withdraw claim once 15 it's been made. 16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 A 601? 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? 20 A That's correct.				•
1. termination, that which, it's not often, but 1. notices of termination that would take effect 1. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 1. during this broadcast year and would add in any 1. new entities from whom rights had been 1. Contracted. 1. BY MR. MACLEAN: 1. it's been made. 1. Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 1. A 601? 1. Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 1. Claim for the year 1999, is that right? 1. A That's correct.		-	i	
16 Let's take at SDC Exhibit 601. 17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 20 A That's correct.	l	•		
17 during this broadcast year and would add in any 18 new entities from whom rights had been 19 contracted. 20 A That's correct.	ii 💮			
1% new entities from whom rights had been 18 Q Yes. So, 601 is IPG's joint satellite 19 contracted. 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? . 20 A That's correct.	l			
19 contracted. 19 claim for the year 1999, is that right? . 20 A That's correct.				
2.) BY MR. MACLEAN: 20 A That's correct.	11	-		
	li			•
2 Due you aren t excise box noss, inc. 41				
22 of SDC 601 about two-thirds of the way down the	ll "'	Q but you didn't excise bob koss, inc.		A wing tee a cave a took at tile tast bade
22 of SDC 601 about two-thirds of the way down the	22	at line 23?	1 22	of SDC 601 about two-thirds of the way down the

233

```
233
     column, you'll see the joint claim on behalf of
    Tracee Productions.
                 That's correct.
                 Is that right? Which was included as
 -1
     part of your 1999 joint satellite claim, is that
     right?
                 Correct.
                 IPG has never filed a notice
     withdrawing Tracee Productions from its joint --
     1999 joint satellite claim, right?
11
                 No, we exclude it from the petitions
12;
     to participate.
13
           0
                 But you didn't withdraw the claim, did
    γοιι?
14
19
                 It's the same consequence. So, it is
16
17
                 Did you withdraw the claim or not?
                 No, it is withdrawing the claim. If
111
    you do not include a name of an entity on a
1 צ
20
    petition to participate, then their rights are
```

forfeited, so it's the same as withdrawing the

```
sure.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: It's marked as
     restricted on the document.
                 MR. MACLEAN: I quess I'd better
     request that the room be cleared, Your Honor.
                 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. Anybody in the
     room who has not signed a confidentiality
     agreement, please wait outside for a few minutes.
                 MR. MACLEAN: SDC 607 is IPG's mandate
10
     agreement with Salem Baptist Church of Chicago,
11
     Inc., correct?
12
                 MR. GALAZ: Yes.
13
                 BY MR. MACLEAN:
                 It covers only the cable and satellite
14
15
     years, royalty years 2001, is that correct?
                 That's correct.
16
17
           O
                 You have no other agreement covering
     any years for Salem Baptist Church, is that
18
     right?
19
20
                 Let me check. My 115 is -- I'm sorry.
```

I was looking at Exhibit 115 but it's a little misleading because it was prepared in connection

234

21

```
1
                 Did you file a notice withdrawing the
     claim because --
 3
                 We did not file a notice amending the
    joint claim that was filed for 1999, rather, we
     modified that in a claim on behalf of any entity
     appearing therein by the drafting of the petition
     to participate that does not include Tracee
     Productions.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Can you turn to SDC
     Exhibit 605?
11
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: 605?
12
                 MR. MACLEAN: Yes, Your Honor.
13
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Thank you.
14
                 MR. GALAZ: Okay.
15
                 MR. MACLEAN: You know what, Your
16
    Honor, I apologize, I've already covered this in
     my prior examination, so I won't over that again.
17
18
                 If you could turn to SDC Exhibit 607.
                 MR. GALAZ: Okav.
19
20
                 MR. MACLEAN: This is IPG's --
21
                 JUDGE STRICKLER: Before you begin,
    Counsel, I think it's restricted, but I'm not
```

with the MPAA rebuttal statements, so it's not applicable. My recollection is that no, that the extension agreement couldn't be located. JUDGE STRICKLER: When you say the extension agreement couldn't be located, is it 5 your testimony that there was an extension agreement or you didn't know if there was an extension agreement? MR. GALAZ: Me personally, I didn't know but Salem Baptist Church says that there was and that was the top -- that was the subject of their declaration. MR. MACLEAN: And, Your Honor, I'm 13 about to address that declaration. The 14 15 declaration itself is not restricted, so I think 16 we could invite the --17 JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. 18 MR. MACLEAN: -- gallery back in. JUDGE STRICKLER: What exhibit is it? 19 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 20 21 I'm now going to ask you to look at IPG Exhibit

22

239 237 signed between IPG and Salem Baptist Church? MR. GALAZ: Okav. Α BY MR. MACLEAN: And if I could ask you to turn -- and So, unlike with IWV Media, I never created a replacement contract to sign with Salem this is the declaration of Veronica Abney, Salem Baptist Church of Chicago, Inc. which I believe Baptist Church? was admitted into evidence earlier today. No, but I know what this refers to. Mr. Galaz, did you draft this All right, could you explain? This refers to one of the items in the declaration? I drafted the form. I sent the form form that was sent to them. They reproduced one to everybody, but it was predominately drafted by that where there was a replacement contract and 11 individuals at Salem Baptist Church. 11 it was with IWV Media, I just presumed that it 12 If I could ask you to turn to 12 was an oversight on their part and they didn't paragraph six of this declaration and Ms. Abney 13 take out that particular provision. 1.1 says, eventually, I determined that I could not 14 So, you provided them with a 14 locate a copy of the second executed contract and 15 declaration that IWV Media provided? 16 resolved that the agreement had simply been 16 As a form of the -- correct, as a form 17 misplaced over the prior decade or possibly even 17 of what needs to be generated to explain the thrown away in light of its age and the fact that 18 18 circumstances. no royalties have been generated for several JUDGE STRICKLER: So, if I understand 19 19 211 this correctly, she signed a declaration when she vears. 20 21 21 Nonetheless, I recall the existence of talks about an attached document that was never such a contract and the circumstances of attached? 238 240 1 MR. GALAZ: Well, she refers to her engagement. 2 Is that basically what you were just replacement contract and I know she says it's referring to when you said that Salem Baptist attached, but --4 Church told you that they had a continuation JUDGE STRICKLER: She does talk about 5 agreement? 5 an attached document in her --6 That's correct. 6 MR. GALAZ: I mean, no, that's MR. MACLEAN: And take a look at the actually -- there is an attachment and that's a next sentence, paragraph seven. separate matter. 8 Following the execution of the 9 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okav. 10 replacement contract, our organization has 10 MR. GALAZ: That's the acknowledgment 11 engaged in extensive correspondence with IPG. of representation. 11 12 What is the replacement contract that 12 JUDGE STRICKLER: Okay. So, the Ms. Abney is referring to here? 13 replacement --14 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, MR. GALAZ: The replacement contract 15 it calls for speculation. This is her is an error. The reference to the attached 15 16 declaration. 16 document, that's not an error. The attached 17 JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled. 17 document that's she's referring to is the 18 MR. GALAZ: I'm not certain. 18 acknowledgment of representation. 19 MR. MACLEAN: Was there? 19 We simply wanted parties in that case 20 MR. GALAZ: There -- go ahead. 20 to confirm that it was executed under penalty of 21 BY MR. MACLEAN: perjury because we thought that it might be 21

22

Was there a replacement contract

challenged here in the event that we submitted

244

acknowledgments of representation and they weren't signed under penalty of perjury. MR. MACLEAN: Now, when you provided 3 this ... the form from which this declaration was 4 prepared, did you provide the IWV Media declaration that contained that language relating to a replacement contract? MR. GALAZ: I'm certain I did. BY MR. MACLEAN: 10 Or did you provide a declaration that 11 was titled as this one is, Declaration of 12 Veronica Abney, Salem Baptist Church of Chicago, 13 Inc.? 14 No. I know I didn't include Declaration of Veronica Abney because I didn't 15 16 think that she was the appropriate contact. 17 Actually, they then directed me to her. 18 The contact that I thought had the primary dealings was actually Denise Rogers who 19 was the individual that signed the one contract 20 that we do have in our possession.

not the other stuff. I also sent them the Declaration of Maureen Millen in either a draft or final form, I don't recall for the purpose of them just seeing what kind of material you need to put into a declaration to give a comprehensive understanding to the reader. 8 So, the inclusion in paragraph seven 9 of this language following execution of the 10 replacement contact, was that your error? That was -- I would say that was their 11 error from their misunderstanding presumably of 12 13 what that meant within the IWV Media declaration. I did want to ask you about this 14 acknowledgment of representation that there is an 15 16 attachment here, acknowledgment of representation signed by Veronica Abney, Salem Baptist Church. 17 Are you at that acknowledgment of 18 representation? 19 20 So, you obtained acknowledgment of

242

241

the one that was dealing with Marion Oshita. And that's why she actually says throughout here, she actually refers to directing that the first

they came back to us and said no. Veronica was

After it went into their possession,

contract they executed.

I'm sorry, say the last part again, I didn't --

Veronica Abnev was the primary contact with Marion Oshita. I didn't know this, but this

was, in fact, the case. 10

She didn't sign the first contract.

12 She, in fact, directed someone else to sign the

contract and that's what she refers to, I was

just noticing like in paragraph two.

15 So, your question was whether I had submitted something to them that said Declaration

17 of Veronica Abney, no, I didn't.

18 Did you send them something that said Declaration of Maureen Millen? 19

No, well, I sent them something that 20

said Declaration of Denise Rodgers and some of 2:1

22 this was in here, the form for the most part, and some of your claimants, is that right?

That's correct.

And if you take a look at this

acknowledgment of representation, it says -- and

representation like this, not from all, but from

their all in substantially this form, correct

with respect to the content of it?

Correct.

And they all say to the effect of, as

this one says, by execution of this document, I

hereby confirm and acknowledge the undersigned

claimants engagement of Worldwide Subsidy Group,

LLC d/b/a Independent Producers Group for the

collection of U.S. cable and satellite 13

retransmission royalties for the following years 14

15 in which IPG has made claim on behalf of the

16 undersigned, correct?

Correct.

Now, it says the undersigned claimants

engagement. But it doesn't say when this 19

engagement began, is that right? 20

21 In this document, no, it doesn't. It

was drafted for all IPG claimants. 22

17

245

And none of these acknowledgments of representation that you've put in state that IPG did, in fact, represent to the claimants at the time that IPG filed its claims, it's joint claims, is that right? That was not the nurmose, so, no, they did not. It was the equivalent of a short from copyright assignment just to be bare bones in making a single confirmation. No details regarding the contract, the commission, anything 10 11 12 JUDGE STRICKLER: Mr. Galaz. I have a question. Did you know why staying with IPG P72, 1 4 the certification, excuse me, the declaration is 14 16 executed on November 22, 2014. The 16 acknowledgment of representation is dated April 17 16th. Do you know why there's a difference in 18 those dates? 1.0 MR. GALAZ: Yes, we submitted 20 acknowledgments of representation in preparation for these proceedings and, as I testified before, 21

we've seen enough coming to hearings things being dismissed simply because they were not under

penalty of perjury, so we just wanted to make

extra certain with those particular documents

that there was no issue with them.

JUDGE STRICKLER: You can proceed,

7 Counsel.

MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor.

Turn to SDC Exhibit 609. Your Honor.

10 I note that the SDC 609 is restricted. I request

if you want to close that?

12 JUDGE BARRETT: You know, I'm losing

it here. Let's take our afternoon recess for 15 13

14 minutes and maybe I can get brain cells back

15 together again.

MR. MACLEAN: Thank you, Your Honor. 16

(Whereupon, the above-entitled matter

18 went off the record at 2:53 p.m. and resumed at

19 3:15 p.m.)

17

JUDGE BARRETT: Please be seated. 20

Mr. MacLean? 21

22 MR. MACLEAN: Thank you. Your Honor.

246

the event that the claimant had one or more of

several of those circumstances, we pursued it in

its claims dismissed in the 2000 and 2003

proceedings.

4 Salem Baptist Church did have some of

its claims dismissed in the 2000 and 2003

proceedings. So, they were one of those that we

needed or wanted to get an acknowledgment of

representation so that there would be no issue

about IPG's representation. Ú

We did all of those back in April. 10

11 The declaration that was signed right here was

only in response to arguments that were made by 12

13 the SDC in the written rebuttal statements, so

consequently, it came after mid-October of this 14

20

16 And as I was saying, one of the -- the

17 reason we had made a reference, there were some.

if you look through some of our exhibits, they

are just purely a declaration for the purpose of

saying, hey, I did sign this acknowledgment of representation previously, and by the way, it's 21

22

under penalty of perjury just because, as I said,

248

Before we proceed, my next line of

inquiry, I'd like to go back and cover a couple

of things from last time around.

Mr. Galaz, if you could take a look

again at IPG Exhibit 53.

MR. GALAZ: Okav

BY MR. MACLEAN:

And if you'd take a look at the second

page of this exhibit, email from email address

brianb@ix.netcom.com to Walt@BobRoss.com dated 10

February 1, 2013.

Do I see it? Yes, I see it.

13 I'm just directing your attention to

14 it, thank you.

12

18

15 This email address,

brianb@ix.netcom.com, that is the email address

17 for Brain Boydston?

> Α That's correct.

19 0 To your knowledge, has this always

been Mr. Boydston's email address? 20

Α I think he may have had others, I 21

22 can't recall.

249

- Q But this, to your knowledge, this
 email address doesn't belong to somebody other
 than Brian Boydston?
 A He's the only one I've ever known with
- A He's the only one I've ever known with $\mathfrak c$ that email address.
- 6 Q And you ever had access to the account
 7 that belongs to this email address,
- 8 brianbrix.netcom.com?
- A No.
- Q No, you have not?
- 11 A Never.
- 12 Q With regard to the payments made by
- 13 WSG or IPG to Bob Ross, Inc., each of these
- 14 payments is the amount received from PBS minus
- $1\%\,$ the commission that IPG or WSG was retaining for
- 16 itself, is that right?
- 17 A Correct, these are net amounts. These
- 18 are checks that have been generated according to
- 19 our Quicken financial records.
- $_{\rm 20}$ $\,$ Q $\,$ Did you ever hear -- did you hear Mr.
- 21 Kowalski's testimony that some years it was a 20
- 22 percent commission, some years it was a 30

- i actual expenses, it would have been far in excess
- for PBS royalties of the five percent that we
- 3 did, but just didn't want to take more than five
- 4 percent attributable to expenses.
- 5 Q So, the amount of your commission can
- 6 vary from year to year because you add on some
 - additional percentages to cover expenses?
- 8 A That's correct, that's part of the
- 9 agreement.
- 10 Q But you don't actually account for
- 11 those expenses?
- 12 A Well, we could but in this particular
- one, the expenses are still not fully expended
- 14 and it would be far, far in excess of what we've
- 15 ever attributed to in.
- 16 In this case, we attributed five
- 17 percent but, we know it would have been
- 18 significantly more.
- 19 Q The expenses for 2008 --
- 20 A Yes.
- 21 Q -- table, in satellite royalties are
- not yet fully expended?

250

- A I heard him say something about that.
- 3 Q Is that accurate? Was it 20 percent
- some years and 30 percent other years?
- A I'm certain that I checked the
- caccountings and didn't look at that issue. That
- would surprise me. I think he may be confusing
- > it but I don't know.

percent commission?

- 9 Q It might be correct or it might not be
- 10 correct?
- 11 A I would presume that it's incorrect,
- 12 but I can't verify unless I actually had the
- 13 accountings in front of me.
- 14 Q Why would you presume it's incorrect?
- 16 A Because the accountings would be
- 16 fairly stable. The accountings to them, I think
- 17 are for 15 percent plus expenses and I know for
- 18 prorated and all the expenses aren't in.
- 19 And I know that, for instance, with
- 20 regard to like this last accounting that was for
- 21 -- that I think we deducted 20 percent. It was
- because we knew that if we had deducted the

A Well, we're here in these proceedings

- and they cover 2008 satellite and 2008 cable.
- 3 So, that's correct with regard to if we were to
- 4 try to isolate it to just PBS and the amount of
- 5 money that is expended in order to do -- acquire
- 6 data on PBS broadcasts, then, yes, that would be
 - far in excess of five percent I would think.
- 8 Q So, in essence, you charge your PBS
- 9 category claimants expenses incurred in
- connection with these proceedings for program
- 11 suppliers and devotional claims?
- 12 A No, I don't think that's what I was
- 13 saying.
- 14 Q Well, you collect more in excess
- 15 amount of commission in order to cover those
- 16 expenses?
- 17 A We attribute a certain amount to
- 18 expenses and I'm not sure if I completely
- 19 understand your question or I got confused on
- 20 your question.
- 21 Q And maybe I didn't understand your
- 22 answer, but I understood you to be saying,

```
253
                                                              1
                                                                              Okav.
     correct me if I'm wrong, that the expenses aren't
                                                                              IPG submitted a joint cable claim that
                                                              2
    all in yet because you're still incurring
                                                                  included Bob Ross, Inc. and a joint satellite
                                                              3
     expenses in connection with these proceedings?
                                                                  claim that included Bob Ross, Inc., correct?
                 In connection with these proceedings.
     For PBS royalties, I'd say that they're all in,
                                                              5
                                                                              Correct.
                                                                              IPG has only claimed Bob Ross, Inc. in
 6
    it would just be significant.
                 So, with respect to the claims
                                                                   the Public Broadcasting category, is that right?
                                                                              Well, I think, no, I would say well,
    submitted by PBS or your claim submitted to PBS
     and then PBS's claims or collection of royalties
                                                              9
                                                                   first of all when you make a joint claim. You
                                                                   don't distinguish what category that you're
     from the Contract Royalty Board, you submitted
     claims for Bob Ross, Inc. in both cable and
                                                              11
                                                                   making claim for.
     satellite, is that right?
                                                              12
                                                                              So, theoretically, Bob Ross could have
12
13
                 I don't think PBS has satellite
                                                             13
                                                                   claims in program suppliers. They could have
                                                                   claims in sports. They could have claims in
14
     royalties.
                                                             14
15
                 Well, that's what I was going for.
                                                             15
                                                                  whatever.
                                                                              So, you don't necessarily identify
16
    You submitted claims for Bob Ross, Inc. for cable
                                                              16
1.7
     and matellite ...
                                                             17
                                                                  when you're making a filing with the joint claim
                                                             18
                                                                   what, not necessarily, you don't have to at all
18
                 Correct.
                 - in each of the years at issue in
                                                                  except in the very general nature what category
19
                                                             19
20
    these proceedings and even on through 2013.
                                                             20
                                                                   of claims are being claimed for.
21
                                                             21
                                                                              You don't have to, on a joint claim,
```

256

specify for a particular joint claimant what

```
Or at least each of the years from
     2001 through 2013, right?
                 Okav. correct.
                 In both cable and satellite, right?
           0
                 Correct.
                 You've only claimed Bob Ross in the
    Public Broadcasting category, correct?
                 Well --
                 Is that right or not?
                 I think you have to be more specific
    with your question, you're saying have we claimed
11
    them for only PBS for what particular year and
    I'm kind of, I'm sorry, I'm at a loss, first of
14
    all, how this ties together with anything, but
    for what year and with regard to cable or
16
    satellite, could you just be a little bit more
17
    clear?
13
                 Okay, and I don't want to be unclear,
    so for every from 2001 on --
19
20
          Α
                Sure.
```

-- up to and through and included 2013

category of claims are being made claim for. 0 So, at the time you filed doing satellite claims on behalf of Bob Ross, Inc., you didn't check to see if Bob Ross. Inc. had any programming that would have been compensable on a satellite claim, is that right? At that time, no. At the time that we filed the joint claim, no, we hadn't done the research yet to determine one way or the other whether they had a claim in one category or another. Now, with respect to PBS, I believe you testified before that you just take PBS's 14 allocation formula, is that right? 15 That's correct. 16 They're the ones who do the work in 17 figuring out how much each claimant receives each 18 year, right? 19 А Well, they're the ones that do the allocation. 20

And they're the ones who figure out

21

0

22 what the allocation will be, right?

0

21

right?

Correct.

257 For PBS. 2 Right. And with respect to the creation of your claim form each year, you just use the same form year after year and you don't strike out Bob Ross, Inc., right? We wouldn't strike out any entity unless there was a notice of termination that affected it and we had parties that we've contracted with to add to the claim. So, what expenses does IPG have with 11 respect to pursuing claims in the Public 12 Broadcasting category? 13 Well, we acquire broadcast data for programs. There is various filings that require 14 15 the engagement of counsel, lots of filings. Most 16 filings require the engagement of counsel now

MR. MACLEAN: The declarations that IPG has submitted, I'll just do this quickly, on behalf of IWV Media, Envoy Productions and Salem Baptist Church, you had correspondence with each of this entities relating to their respective declarations, is that right? MR. GALAZ: I'm certain I did, I didn't just talk to them over the phone. BY MR. MACLEAN: 10 None of that correspondence was 11 produced to the SDC, is that right? 12 None of it was requested. 13 Is it right that none of it was 14 produced? 15 Α 16 Did you inform IWV Media that its 17 claims in the 2000 to 2003 case were dismissed? I do not recall but I'm fairly certain 18 that I did because I recall Maureen Millen being 19 very, very upset about it. She was very upset 20

there's lots of expenses.

MR. MACLEAN: Now, with respect to
each of the claim forms or at least each of the
claim forms for 2000 and on, the claim forms

because of a regulation that requires any entity

be required to be represented by counsel. So,

21 asking me what would be basic questions for 22 anyone here about who it is that's asserting that

O

she doesn't have entitlement to these royalties.

Did you inform Envoy Productions that

258

260

contain the declaration that says, the 1 undersigned declares under penalty of law that he or she is duly authorized by the copyright owners identified here and to make this filing on their hehalf. And further declares under penalty of law that all statements contained hereunder are true, complete and correct to the best of the undersigned knowledge and information and belief 10 and are made in good faith. 11 Was that declaration included in each 12 of IPG's claim forms from 2000 and on? 13 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm going 14 to object. I didn't ask any questions about 15 these two exhibits, the claim forms. So I think 16 it's beyond the scope of my direct. MR. MACLEAN: Well, it goes to --17 18 MR. BOYDSTON: All sides say that the 19 form simply speaks for itself. 20 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I'll 21 withdraw the question.

JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you.

its claims in the 2000/2003 cable proceeding were dismissed? A I don't recall if I had any conversations with them. I think Denise, my recollection was Denise was corresponding with them predominately. MR. MACLEAN: Did vou have -- did vou inform Salem Baptist Church that its claims in 10 11 the 2000/2003 cable proceedings were dismissed? HL: I'm going to object to this also, it's beyond the scope. I certainly asked about these entities but nothing about the 2000/2003 proceedings. 15 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 17 MR. MACLEAN: Could you turn to SDC 18 Exhibit 609? 19 Oh, right, this is where we were when 20 we took a break, Your Honor, and I'll move that 21 the exhibit is restricted. 22 JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, so if you are in

17

263 261 the room and have not signed a confidentiality is that right? 2 MR. GALAZ: There's no signature by agreement, we'll ask that you wait outside, IPG, that's correct. please? BY MR. MACLEAN: This is 609, is that right? And these are the only versions of MR. MACLEAN: SDC 609, that's correct. JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. these mandate agreements that IPG found in its MR. MACLEAN: Mr. Galaz, SDC 609 business records and produced to the SDC, is that consists of IPG's mandate agreements with Billy right? Graham Evangelistic Association for the cable and Actually, these weren't in our catellite royal years 2001, 2002 and 2003, is business records. These weren't in our business 11 that right? records initially, they were provided to us subsequently by BGEA, by Billy Graham MR. GALAZ: Yes. 12 BY MR. MACLEAN: 13 Evangelistic Association. We, I don't think had If you'll take a look at the first one any of these in our files. We might have had the 1.1 15 for the year 2001, this is signed on behalf of 15 first one, I'm not certain, but I'm certain we 10 Joel B. Aarsvold. 16 didn't have the mandate agreements for 2002 or 17 Α I think it's Aarsvold. 17 12 I'm sorry, by Joel --18 So you don't have any other copies of 19 Aarsvold. 19 these agreements, for example that IPG had 211 Aarsvold, thank you. actually signed. 20 21 A-A-R-S-V-O-L-D. 21 That's correct. 22 Thank you. On behalf of Billy Graham 22 MR. MACLEAN: If you can turn to SDC 262 264 Evangelistic Association by Joel V. Aarsvold and Exhibit 611. Oh, yes, the next exhibit is not by IPG by, I can't read it, but do you know whose restricted, so we can reopen the courtroom. signature that is? 3 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay, thank you. That's Marion Oshita's. 4 MR. MACLEAN: If you'd like now. If you turn to the next agreement for 5 SDC 611 is a declaration of George R. 6 the year 2002, signed again on behalf of Billy Chip Grange, II and attaches -- if you'd take a Graham Evangelistic Association by Joel V. look at Attachment A it's an email from David Joe Aarsvold, but no signature by IPG, right? to Arnie Lutzker, Barry Gottfried, That's correct. worldwidesq@aol.com and moshita@biqplanet.com. 10 And if you turn to the next mandate MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm going 10 agreement, this time signed on behalf of Billy 11 11 to object to any questions on this. It's beyond 12 Graham Evangelistic Association by Stephen G. the scope, I did not mention any of this in my 12 13 Scholle or Scholle? S-C-H-O-L-L-E, is that how 13 direct. 14 you read it? JUDGE BARRETT: Well, technically, Mr. 14 That's how I read it. Boydston, your examination of Mr. Galaz was 15 16 cross. Oh, no, I'm sorry, I'm sorry. 17 I said that's how I read it. 17 Mr. MacLean, would you like to 18 MR. MACLEAN: I mean is -respond? 19 MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 19 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, Mr. Galaz the document speaks for itself. 20 20 testified on direct regarding Marion Oshita and 21 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 21 the circumstances under which she left IPG and 22 MR. MACLEAN: But not signed by IPG, 22 then Mr. Galaz has an awareness of her efforts to

265 essentially engage IPG's clients. And this email goes directly to that and relates to IPG's awareness of Ms. Oshita's efforts to engage some 4 of IPG's clients. MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, for one 5 thing, Mr. MacLean objected to Mr. Galaz giving an extended answer about Mr. Oshita and cut it off, so I still think it's beyond the scope. JUDGE BARRETT: I believe you did raise irrelevance objection, Mr. MacLean. 10 11 MR. MACLEAN: Your Honor, I raised --12 well, I think I raised the best evidence 13 objection to Mr. Galaz's testimony concerning the 14 content of the non-competition agreement with Ms. 15 Oshita. 16 JUDGE BARRETT: Objection overruled. 17 MR. BOYDSTON: Also relevance. JUDGE BARRETT: Okav. overruled. 18 MR. MACLEAN: Taking a look at this 19 20 exhibit that's attached to SDC 611, you'll see in 21 the to line, one of the addresses is

worldwidesg@aol.com which I believe you testified

Which on is that? I think it was Kenneth Copeland Ministries. So, you understand Mr. Joe to be an authorized agent of Kenneth Copeland Ministries and perhaps Benny Hinn and Creflo Dollar? Well, no, I don't know that at all about Benny Hinn and Creflo Dollar. I know that with regard to the Kenneth Copeland Ministries agreement, he was in that instance authorized to 11 execute a document on their behalf because 12 they've repeatedly confirmed that in these 13 proceedings. 14 Now, it state -- starting with the 15 fourth paragraph of this email, does IPG have this email in its records? 16 17 At this point, I have no idea. 0 You didn't look for it after seeing 18 this exhibit? 19

I -- I'm sorry, did I look for it

after seeing this exhibit? I didn't go back and

266

20 21

1

look for it, no.

268

```
already is IPG's email address, is that right?
                 MR. GALAZ: That's correct.
                 BY MR. MACLEAN:
                 Did you produce this email to the SDC
     in discovery?
                 I don't think it was requested, so I
     would presume no.
                 If you could take a look at the, let's
     see, one, two, three, four, the fifth -- start
     with the fourth paragraph of this email.
10
11
                 First of all, who is David Joe?
12
                 David Joe is counsel to Kenneth
13
     Copeland Ministries. I think he was at one point
     the counsel for Benny Hinn Ministries. I think
14
     he's an advisor but not counsel to Creflo Dollar
1٢.
16
    Ministries.
17
                 He's also signatory to at least a
18
    couple of IPG's representation agreements with
    respect to a couple of those entities, is that
114
20
    correct?
              No, I think he's signatory to one
21
```

The fourth paragraph says, in any event, we said is that a reference to Lisa Galaz, who you understand to be a reference to Lisa Galaz? 4 That's what I understand. 6 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm going to object to this. This has nothing to do with Ms. Oshita, this paragraph. JUDGE BARRETT: It's in evidence, Mr. 10 Boydston. Go ahead, Mr. MacLean. MR. MACLEAN: In any event, Lisa --12 the paragraph says, in any event, Lisa, as you know, the agreements are outside their term and terminable and the subject matter at hand is the 1999 cable distribution. This needs to be put to 15 rest immediately and after it is, you should, in 16 17 all candor, expect that the termination 18 provisions will be invoked. Did any of Mr. Joe's clients or the 19 claimants in connection with Mr. Joe, connected 20 21 with Mr. Joe, terminate their agreements with 22 IPG?

22

agreement.

269 271 already entered into settlement agreements for MR. GALAZ: No, none have ever prior years. Kenneth Copeland had come in as a terminated any agreement with IPG. client prior to the other two entities. That's BY MR. MACLEAN: Did they file claims -- did All Global just -- that's my understanding of it. You don't take this email to be a Media file claims on their behalf? My understanding is that they did it reference to the potential termination or the prospect of termination of IPG's representation at least for Kenneth Copeland Ministries because Kenneth Copeland Ministries, Ms. Harbour, addresses that within her declaration. So, All Global Media did file a claim 10 MR. MACLEAN: -- with Benny Hinn, 10 11 but at least on behalf Kenneth Copeland 11 Creflo Dollar and Kenneth Copeland? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll 12 Ministries? 12 object. I think it calls for speculation. 13 Well, and she denies that they ever 13 entered into any agreement with All Global Media, Obviously, Mr. Galaz did not write this email. 1.4 14 but, yes, I think she says that brought to their 14 15 JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. MR. MACTEAN: Do you have -- does IPG attention through the SDC, you know, in these 16 16 proceedings that All Global Media filed claims on 17 17 have two representation agreements with Kenneth behalf of Kenneth Copeland Ministries. 10 18 Copeland Ministries? MR. MACLEAN: The next paragraph says, 19 MR. GALAZ: No, no, we have one. 19 20 to further clarify points, Lisa and others no new 20 BY MR. MACLEAN: agreement has been entered into that would Take a look at the next paragraph and replace the ones made the subject of this I'll go to the top of the second page of this 270 272 discussion, that is the sole agreement with him email and Creflo and the second of two agreements with First full sentence starting with Copeland. several years ago, several years ago, Marion's efforts -- do you understand that to be a What is Mr. Joe referring to here? MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I object. reference to Marion Oshita? What does this have to do with direct testimony? Yes. I see nothing. Several years ago, Marion's efforts JUDGE BARRETT: Your objection is repaid an enormous shameful debt your company noted, Mr. Boydston. Go ahead, Mr. MacLean. owed, which I attribute to Raul. Do you MR. MACLEAN: What's Mr. Joe referring understand what he's referring to in that 12 MR. GALAZ: I couldn't be certain, but I understand what he's referring to, I think he's referring to the settlement agreements that are being proposed in this What is he referring to? 1.4 14 15 correspondence, that's one of the possibilities. 15 He was referring to an amount that he 16 The likely possibility that I read it to mean. 16 thought was owed that he misunderstood was not BY MR. MACLEAN:

17

18

1.9

20

21

22

referring to.

Where it says that is the sole

Right, because at that point, we had

agreement with him and Creflo and the second of

to mean the 1999 settlement agreement?

two agreements with Copeland, you understood that

17

18

19

20

21

22

owed and became a non-issue as evidenced by the

fact that they continued to engage us. I think

when it was cleared up, I can tell you Mr. Joe

made to me and about me and that's what he was

has profusely apologized to me for comments he's

275 273 Global Media, which is All Global Media is Ms. What was the misunderstanding? Oshita's company, is that right? The misunderstanding had to do with timing of payments. It had to do with royalties That's correct. Did you discuss with Mr. Joe that All that he was contacted about from Barry Fried, who .1 was the predecessor of Mr. Harrington at your law Global Media had filed claims on behalf of his clients? Mr. Harrington informed him that WSG Oh, yes. What was that discussion? had withheld monies from them when, in fact, they just hadn't been accounted to because of the Well, I asked him if there was any timing of the accountings at that point. 10 truth to it and he unambiguously said that it was So, Mr. Joe, at that point, this was 11 11 absolutely false, that he had never given any several years ago whenever it was, 2002 I 12 authorization on behalf of any of his clients to believe, 2002 or 2003, was under the impression 13 All Global Media, comprehensive. 14 that IPG just hadn't accounted to Kenneth 14 When did you have that discussion with Copeland Ministries when, in fact, the accounts 15 15 Mr Joe? Within the last year or two. I don't 16 just hadn't come to you yet. 16 17 So, when they were paid, then the 17 know exactly when. I mean I really can't put a date on it much more specifically than that. accountings were made and he then, at least at 18 18 some point, finally accepted that well, maybe it MR. MACLEAN: Now, IPG pays a finders 19 19 was just an issue of timing. So, that's what fee to Mr. Joe for bringing these claimants to 20 20 21 IPG, is that right? 21 that has to do with. 22 For what years were those accountings? MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 274 276 1 For which royalty years? I have no this is beyond the scope of direct. 2 2 idea, no idea. JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained. 3 You have an understanding of what the 3 MR. MACLEAN: If I could just have one misunderstanding was but you don't know what 4 moment. Your Honor? royalty years were at issue? 5 No further questions, Your Honor, JUDGE BARRETT: Thank you. Yes, I mean because this issue has 6 6 been raised multiple times, I know when it Mr. Olaniran? CROSS EXAMINATION occurred. I just don't which royalty years it was relevant to. I know that, well, as I said, no, I BY MR. OLANIRAN: 10 don't. The short answer is I don't know which 10 Good afternoon, Mr. Galaz. royalty years it applied to. Good afternoon. 12 Did you have any discussions with Mr. MR. OLANIRAN: I'm sorry, may I Joe or anybody else with regard to Kenneth 13 proceed, Your Honor? 14 Copeland Ministries, Benny Hinn and Creflo Dollar 14 JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. 15 as to why All Global Media made claims on their 15 MR. OLANIRAN: Mr. Galaz, you 16 behalf for 2004 cable and satellite royalty 16 testified that I think sometime last week, you 17 17 testified that the titles that you cross-claimed I don't recall. I've had 18 18 between the program suppliers category and the conversations with Mr. Joe about All Global devotional claimants category, you did so because 19 19 Media, I don't recall if was for any particular 20 20 there a pending ruling in the '99 proceeding as 21 vear. to the definition of what belongs in the

Did you discuss with Mr. Joe that All

21

22

devotional category versus some all the

279 277 categories, do you remember that?

2 MR. GALAZ: When you said cross-

claimed, I mean I'm not sure exactly what you're

saying claiming in both categories --

BY MP OLANTRAN

Yes.

-- the devotional and program

suppliers?

12

2

12

17

18

22

Exactly. The titles you identified as

belonging in either the program suppliers 10

11 category or the devotional category.

Well, if you're talking about the

13 exhibit we were looking at before, then the

reference is really two part.

15 It could be either -- if there's a

10 column that says Phase I category and it has more

17 than one program category, for instance,

134 devotional program suppliers, it could be for a

19 couple of different reasons.

It could be either that there's an 20

21 issue as to the categorization of the program

because it was at the time that the document was

Have you done anything about those?

Have we resolved to -- I'm sorry, I'm

using full question.

All right. Have you since gone back

to figure out where those titles belong, where

they properly belong? In the latter, I'm asking

you about the latter category.

Have we done more to figure out where

they go?

17

20

22

It's a circumstance where if there's

12 two programs and one's in one category and one's

13 in the other, so, I'm at a loss of understanding.

14 Well, I see what you mean. You would

15 have one line with the same title but the title

16 actually goes in two different works?

Correct.

18 o And they go into different -- they go

19 their separate ways?

> Δ Correct.

Okay. I understand now. 21 0

And that was the misunderstanding that

278

created and the time that the filings were made

for '99, 2009 satellite and 2004 and 2009 cable.

3 The definition was unresolved for

devotional programming. It was a matter of contention in the '99 cable case and we hadn't

received the decision yet. That's one

circumstance.

The other circumstance could be that

there's two program names, one in one category

10 and one in the other.

11 In the latter instance, did you --

have you since the filing of the direct case and

debits to resolve the instance where there's --14 I'm sorry, since the filing of what?

Since the filing of the direct case,

the direct statements.

And they were to resolve the ones

19 where there's, I think you said two titles and

20 one could be in either one of the categories, the

latter explanation you had just a minute ago. 21

Have we --

we were seeing chronically come up by the SDC in

the document we had produced in discovery.

Fair enough. Now, with the former

category which -- are those titles which because

of the '99 proceeding, you weren't sure where

they went. So, there's some of those still exist

as we speak today, correct?

Where because of the '99 -- because of

the pending decision in the '99 proceeding, you

couldn't determine what category those titles 10

11 belong?

Off the top of my head, the only 12

situation which that's involved was Feed the

Children. But I think all the others that's 14

really why we're here today or because we had 15

thought that, for instance, the onboard 16

productions programming, we were asserting

belonged in the devotional programming category.

19 Willie Wilson Productions programming

belonged in the devotion programming category. 20

21 But if you were to look at that document that was

22 created well before the May direct statements,

284

- you'll see two Phase I categories for that programming specifically because it hadn't been resolved vet.
- So, at this point, I think that's what we thought would be resolved by these proceedings. Our belief being that the onboard
- productions programming which is a subsidiary of
- I believed were in church and Willie Wilson
- Productions both belong in the devotional
- programming category.
- 11 Now, I think it was in response to a 12 couple of questions from Judge Strickler about 13 why you filed a claim for Bob Ross for the 2013
- 1.4 royalty year in 2014.
- 15 And one of your responses was that you
- 10 felt an obligation to do so because very often
- claimants tell you you're terminated and then
- they change their minds and so on and so forth. 15
- Do you recall that? 19

17

4.40

1

2

- Basically, I've seen flip-flops. Α
- And in fact -- I'm sorry? 21
- I said we've seen flip-flops on --

- Well. I'll use Tracee Productions as Α
- 2 an example.

281

- With Tracee Productions, the method
- that we followed was not to go back and file an 4
- amended July claim, but instead, just not to
- pursue it. So, when we started getting the
- Federal Register requests for filing of
- ascertainment of controversy and petition to
- participate back when you had to actually
- identify the parties that you were going to
- 11 ultimately represent, we would just withdraw
- Tracee Productions and we did that, I think, as
- 13 far back as 2001.

14

- When you said --
- 15 So, you were asking about withdrawing
- a claim, that is the means by which a claim for a 16
- 17 party is effectively withdrawn. Since not
- identifying a party on a petition to participate 18
- has the same effect as the party never having
- been included on the July claim. It's a 20
- forfeiture. 21
 - MR. OLANIRAN: I move to strike that

282

- And I think your comment was that you
- can always withdraw a claim but you can't revive
- a deadline, is that a fair characterization of
- what you said?
- That's correct. That's correct.
- Can you recall the last time IPG
- withdrew a claim?
- I would imagine that every year we do
- at some point. It's not very often because 10
- there's not very many instances in which we've
- received notices of terminations, so --
- 13 And when you withdraw the claim you
- 1.1
- 11. Oh, I'm sorry, when you're saying
- 10 withdraw a claim, you mean a claim that's already
- 17 been made as opposed to removing a claimant from
- 18 the July claim?
- 19 I mean withdrawing a claim that you've
- filed -- withdrawing the claimant from a joint 20
- claim that you've filed with the copyright 21
- 22 office?

response, Your Honor. That calls for a legal

- conclusion.
- MR. BOYDSTON: Well. Your Honor he
- did ask the question as to how it happens and
- he's explaining his understanding how this
- forfeiture occurs if you don't include a party on
- the petition to participate, which I think is a
- fair answer.
- MR. OLANIRAN: Not withdrawing a claim
- -- withdrawing a claim does not, in our view at 10
- least, have the same effect as not pursuing a
- claim. I think they have completely different
- consequences.
- MR. BOYDSTON: That's the part that I
 - object to.
- 16 JUDGE BARRETT: The motion is denied.
- 17 JUDGE STRICKLER: What different
- 18 effects would they have? You said you often have
- the same effect? What different effects are you 19
- representing that they have? 20
- MR. OLANIRAN: Well. I mean when you 21
- 22 file the claim, you're required at the point that

285

you file the claim, you're certifying that you're duly authorized to file the claim. And once you file the claim, you're taking that action and, if in fact you're not 4 duly authorized, then you're in violation of the statute. Now, what you do afterwards is a completely different story. You have made a violation, so choosing not to pursue it does not absolve you of the initial sin, which is a 11 violation of the statute. 12 I'm sorry, were you finished with your 13 response? 1.4 MR. GALAZ: I think so. 14. BY MP OLDNIPAN. Well, besides Tracee Production, the 16 17 way that you've chosen to handle claims, the flip-flop claims, if you will, or claims that 18 you've found out -- that you find out subsequent 19

Have you ever informed the copyright office or the Copyright Royalty Board that you

wish to strike a claimant on behalf of whom you

had already filed?

I don't recall us ever having done

Now, with respect to the actual filing

of claims, let's focus specifically on the claim

that was filed in 2014 for the 2013 royalty year.

Are you with me?

11

17

MR. OLANIRAN: So, what exactly --12

13 what role did you play in the filing of those

claims? I know that they were signed by Ms. 14

Vernon, but what exactly was your role then? Did 15

you complete all of the claims or what exactly 16

was the role you played in that?

MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, Your Honor, 18

this is beyond the scope of direct and it was 19

covered previously in the MPAA's case-in-chief, 20

they asked that same exact question.

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained.

after you file the claims, the way that you've

to the filing of the claim that they claimants do

The claimants that do not have claims,

chosen to handle that is by simply choosing not

to pursue the claims, correct?

not -- they need to know.

Well, I'm trying to come up with an

example in my mind, but all those that are coming

to mind first hand involved foreign

registrations. So, I'm not sure if one comes to

mind with regard to the United States. So, I'm

not sure how to answer that.

10 Well, back to my original question

then, has there ever been a situation where you 11

12 file the claim and then you find out that after

the you filed the claim, after -- let me start 1.3

14 all over again.

20

15 Do you recall the situation where you

filed a claim and then you come back and inform 1.6

17 the copyright office, the Copyright Royalty

Board, that you are actually withdrawing a joint

claim of -- a former claim that you filed? I don't think we've ever filed an 20

21 amended joint claim. When I say the joint claim,

I'm referring to claims that are filed in July.

288

MR. OLANIRAN: Mr. Galaz, will you

please turn to Exhibit 356?

MR. GALAZ: Okav.

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

Q And just flip to the second page of

that exhibit.

MR. OLANIRAN: And I think Ms. Vernon

testified that the handwritten part, particularly

10 the boxes are your writing, is that right?

MR. BOYDSTON: Objection, that was Ms. 11

12 Vernon's testimony, so this goes --

13 JUDGE BARRETT: I'm sorry.

MR. BOYDSTON: That's okay. I stopped 14

when I realized you're back. 15

Objection, beyond the scope of direct. 16

He's referring to Ms. Vernon's testimony three 17

18 days ago which, obviously, is beyond the direct I

had of Mr. Galaz. 19

20 MR. OLANIRAN: Just a little bit of

leeway, I mean I just need a few more. 21

JUDGE BARRETT: If you can tie it in,

11	289		291
1	Mr. Olaniran, then do so.	1	A Yes.
2	MR. OLANIRAN: Okay.	2	Q Okay. And now, let's go three more
3	Well, you testified earlier today that	3	pages into the document from that same page and
4	the signature on Exhibit 356, that's Ms. Vernon's	4	you should be looking at 2008 satellite.
5	signature, right?	5	A Three pages further back?
б	MR. GALAZ: That's correct.	6	JUDGE BARRETT: Toward the front.
7	BY MR. OLANIRAN:	7	MR. OLANIRAN: Further front. Thank
8	Q Okay. Let's go to 357 which is the	8	you, Your Honor. And if you flip to the second
9	this sounds like a claim for a royalty year 2013.	9	page, flip to that page, you'll see, this should
10	A Okay.	10	be the 2008 satellite royalty.
11	Q And that's also Ms. Vernon's signature	11	MR. GALAZ: Okay.
12	on that, is that right?	12	JUDGE STRICKLER: Can you give the page
1.3	A That's correct.	1.3	you're looking? You're at the signature pages?
1.4	Q Okay. Now, do you have Exhibit 302?	14	MR. OLANIRAN: Signature pages.
15	I'm sorry, Exhibit 302 in front of you?	15	JUDGE STRICKLER: And so you're
16	A Okay.	16	looking at the one that's dated beneath Denise
17	MR. OLANIRAN: And I needed to count	17	Vernon's signature 7/28/2009?
18	about six pages from the back from the last page	18	MR. OLANIRAN: Correct, Your Honor,
19	from the last sheet in that exhibit. Count	19	thank you for that.
20	about six sheets from the back and that should	20	JUDGE STRICKLER: Of this okay,
21	take you to the 2009 satellite claim.	21	yes, I've got it, thank you.
22	MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'm going	22	MR. OLANIRAN: And that's Ms. Vernon's
	290		292
H			
1	to object to questions about this because I asked	1	signature, correct?
2	to object to questions about this because I asked him no questions about this exhibit or this	1 2	signature, correct? MR. GALAZ: That's correct.
2	him no questions about this exhibit or this	2	MR. GALAZ: That's correct.
2	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct.	2	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now
2 3 4	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up	3 4	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my
2 3 4 5	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were	2 3 4 5	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures.
2 3 4 5	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying	2 3 4 5	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record.
2 3 4 5 6	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this	2 3 4 5 6	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's
2 3 4 5 6 7	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The BY MR. OLANIRAN:	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And noted as
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q The 2009	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And noted as continuing.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q The 2009 A 2009 satellite royalty claim?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And noted as continuing. MR. OLANIRAN: And so, let's go
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The EY MR. OLANIRAN: Q The 2009 A 2009 satellite royalty claim? Q satellite royalty claim.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And noted as continuing. MR. OLANIRAN: And so, let's go another one, two, three, four, five, another six
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q The 2009 A 2009 satellite royalty claim? Q satellite royalty claim. A Yes.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And noted as continuing. MR. OLANIRAN: And so, let's go another one, two, three, four, five, another six pages into the document.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	him no questions about this exhibit or this material. So, it's beyond the scope of direct. MR. OLANIRAN: This is a follow-up from the questions that were JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled, I'm trying to give Mr. Olaniran an opportunity to tie this together. MR. OLANIRAN: Just three more questions and I'll be there. JUDGE BARRETT: All right. MR. OLANIRAN: Are you there? MR. GALAZ: The BY MR. OLANIRAN: Q The 2009 A 2009 satellite royalty claim? Q satellite royalty claim. A Yes. Q Are you there?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	MR. GALAZ: That's correct. MR. OLANIRAN: Okay. So now MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I renew my objection, this about the signatures. First of all, they're in the record. Second of all, the issue about Ms. Vernon's signatures, I asked nothing about. MR. OLANIRAN: I have two more questions and that's it. JUDGE BARRETT: Your objections are noted, Mr. Boydston. MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: And noted as continuing. MR. OLANIRAN: And so, let's go another one, two, three, four, five, another six pages into the document. JUDGE STRICKLER: Going backwards

296

293 And this should be looking at the signature page of the 2007 satellite claim. 2 3 MR. GALAZ: Okav. BY MR. OLANIRAN: 4 Do you see that? Now, do you -- and that's the claim that was signed on July 22, 2008. Now, do you see the signature line? 10 11 And now, is that also Ms. Vernon's 1.2 signature? 1.3 Α

claims for Bob Ross and in all the years for which you paid Bob Ross, has Bob Ross, Inc. ever

had a claim outside of the PBS category? Well, we wouldn't know that until we

got the full amount of data and specifically with

Bob Ross, that program, The Joy of Painting is

broadcast on PBS but the concern that -- and what

we've seen with other PBS programming is that it

may end up on Canadian Originated programming and

10 by virtue of it not being on the PBS network, it

1.1 actually falls into programs suppliers category

because it's on Canadian Originating Stations. 12

So, with him -- I keep saying him, but 13

with Bob Ross, Inc., it was a possibility that even though it was broadcast in the United States 15

that they show The Joy of Painting and it was on 16

17 the PBS network, there's always a possibility

18 that it could have been on a Canadian Originating

19 Station, yet once we got the data, we verified

20 that that wasn't the case.

My question goes to the years for which you compensated Bob Ross. Did you ever

294

14

respect to 2007 cable returned royalties.

JUDGE BARRETT: Sustained, I think

MR. OLANIRAN: And do you -- can you

MR. BOYDSTON: I object, this is a

tell if it's different from the other ones? All

beyond anything I questioned this witness about.

JUDGE BARRETT: Is that it, Mr.

MR. OLANIRAN: Just one more with

the ones that we have seen previously?

question about handwriting analysis that is

we're there. Go ahead. Change the subject, Mr.

Olaniran. 4

Olaniran?

14

15

16

17 18

19

20

2

15

MR. OLANIRAN: You also mentioned that when you're filing a claim that you don't know at

the time you file the claim whether the claimant

is going to have a claim in one category versus

MR. GALAZ: Not necessarily, that's 10 11 correct. And we found circumstances such as in

these proceedings where we weren't familiar that 12

particular program should be in one category as

opposed to another. 1.1

BY MR. OLANIRAN:

16 So, in the case of Bob Ross when you filed the satellite claim, even you thought 17

1 H perhaps he could have claims in other categories

which he could have compensable claims in 19

categories other than PBS, correct? 20

21 Yes, in one in particular.

And in all of the years that you filed

compensated Bob Ross in four programs other than

programs they aired on PBS?

Well, we haven't received any

distributions for any of those other years,

that's what we're here for, this proceeding.

So, the short answer is no.

MR. OLANIRAN: That's all the

questions I have, Mr. Galaz. Thank you.

REDIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. BOYDSTON: 10

11 Mr. Galaz, in regard to Feed to

Children, did IPG inform Feed the Children that 12

it was going to go ahead and make July filings

for Feed the Children in July of 2014 for the

15 calendar year 2013?

16 Yes, we did following their early July

17 notice of termination, we responded to them and

18 informed them that they had misread the contract

and this is what the contract says and it 19

explicitly said that we would be making claim and

21 there was no response.

JUDGE STRICKLER: If I may, is there

299 297 rebuttal of the SDC there are ten documents a document to that effect or was that orally?

12

17

MR. GALAZ: I think it's already in

evidence. .2 MR. BOYDSTON: Please take a look at

Exhibit 126.

THOSE STRICKLER: IPG 126?

MR. BOYDSTON: IPG 126, ves. That's

a letter of July 10. 2014 from myself to Mary Rasenberger. Mr. Galaz, looking at that

document, was this the notification of which you

11 were referring?

12 MR. GALAZ: Yes.

MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, Your 13

14

16 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

10 MR. MACLEAN: Mr. Galaz, did you

17 inform Bob Ross, Inc. that you were making a

claim on its behalf for royalty year 2012 in July 18

of -- I'm sorry, royalty year 2013 in July of 19

20

answered.

the question was.

1

10

11

12 13

14

16 17

18

19

211

21

2:

the SDC.

step down.

MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, that's what 21

question that Mr. Boydston asked and Mr. Galaz

answered. I thought that was the exact same

question. Your Honor, but substitute the Bob

Ross. Inc. for Feed the Children.

question I asked, if not, then I'm confused what

MR. BOYDSTON: Oh, I'm sorry.

MR. GALAZ: I don't think so.

MR. MACLEAN: No further questions.

JUDGE BARRETT: Overruled.

MR. MACLEAN: It's very similar to the

JUDGE BARRETT: What's the objection?

MR. MACLEAN: It was exactly the same

MR. BOYDSTON: I said asked and

I asked him and he already answered.

numbered IPG 1 through 10 and the SDC has agreed

to stipulate to their entry and I'd just offering

that up to get that confirmed and then move that

they be entered into evidence.

MR. BOYDSTON: I would also note

7 they're all filings with the CRV.

MR. MACLEAN: I have no objection to

9 the exhibits, Your Honor.

JUDGE BARRETT: Exhibits 1 through 10

inclusive are admitted.

(Whereupon, the above-entitled

13 documents are admitted as IPG Exhibit

14 Nos. 1 through 10.)

15 MR. BOYDSTON: Thank you, Your Honor.

We have no witnesses to call and we rest. 16

JUDGE BARRETT: Anything further from

anyone? Okay, we will be at recess until 9:00 in 18

19 the morning. I don't have immediately to hand --

how much time each has left. 20

21 Oh, I'm sorry, you're scheduled an

hour for opening and an hour for closing.

298

2

MR. MACLEAN: We scheduled it. Your

Honor, we agreed upon an hour total each for

opening and closing.

JUDGE BARRETT: Oh, all right. So, 5

you would like to know what you have left for

your closing or do you know?

MR. MACLEAN: We know, I think.

JUDGE BARRETT: Okay.

MR. MACLEAN: But we'll --10

11 JUDGE BARRETT: No, no, no, if you

12 know why don't you tell me?

13 MR. BOYDSTON: Well, I think that when

14 we're supposed to be keeping track, I kept track

and by my records, the SDC used three minutes. I

believe the SDC -- my calculation anyway was the

17 SDC used three minutes, MPAA used five and I used

18

19 JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. That is

consistent with my memory. No? Yes? 20

MR. OLANIRAN: We'll take that, Your 21

22 Honor.

MR. BOYDSTON: Nothing further, Your Honor. IPG rests its response to the rebuttal of JUDGE BARRETT: Mr. Galaz, you may MR. GALAZ: Thank you. MR. BOYDSTON: With regard to IPG's

> Neal R. Gross and Co., Inc. Washington DC

```
JUDGE BARRETT: Okay. So, Mr.
                                                                  arguments.
    Boydston, you used ten, so you'll have up to 50.
                                                              2
                                                                              JUDGE BARRETT: Any party who wishes
                                                                  to do so, may submit written proposed findings of
                 Mr. Olaniran, you will have up to 55
                                                              3
                                                                  fact, never mind the conclusions of law, we'll
     or Ms. Plovnick, whoever's doing that.
                                                                  see if we can figure that out.
 5
                 Mr. MacLean, you will have up to 57.
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: Is there a deadline or
                 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, I'll just
    make a proposal because we're going to be filing
                                                              7
7
                                                                              JUDGE BARRETT: Yes, well, I'm just --
8
    as we always do, extensive post-trial statements
                                                                  I was just getting ready to say how long do you
    of conclusions of fact and law, I would be
                                                                  think that would take if you decided to do it?
10
    willing to dispense with the closing because we
                                                             10
                                                                              MR. BOYDSTON: Transcripts, we're
    essentially do that in writing anyway. I don't
11
                                                                  informed, won't come until the 22nd of December.
12
    know if anyone feels that way or not.
                 MR. MACLEAN: Actually, Your Honor, I
                                                                  So, if it could be sometime, obviously, after
13
                                                                  that otherwise, we won't be able to make
    was just about to stand up and say the opposite.
14
15
    I don't believe that in this proceeding we have
                                                                  reference to the transcripts.
                                                             16
                                                                              MR. MACLEAN: You Honor, I think this
    an Order for filings of conclusions or findings
    of facts and concluding with law.
                                                             17
                                                                  is a reason not to have one in this case. We
17
18
                 And I also believe that given the
                                                             18
                                                                  just -- there's just not time in the schedule to
19
    schedule that we have coming, we are going to
                                                             19
                                                                  permit this and I would point out that, with
                                                                  respect to preparation of rebuttal statements,
20
    have a very difficult time doing that unless we
                                                             20
21
    modify the schedule which judging from the fact
                                                             21
                                                                  this is not a minor task to go through the huge
                                                                  volumes of data and the programs and everything
    that you're shaking your head, I mean I take that
```

302 304

the Board is not inclined to do that. MR. BOYDSTON: I had forgotten that we didn't have an Order for that. It's just that we always ended doing it, so I was assuming. JUDGE BARRETT: Right. We have not asked for proposed findings and conclusions. This is a preliminary hearing and we might have asked for them once before in a preliminary hearing, but to be honest, the concern of the 10 Judges is that we can get working on this as soon 11 as possible. We have webcasters just, you know, we have everything just kind of queued up for the 12 13 next several months. And so, rather than wait several weeks 14 15 for proposed findings and conclusions, I think we 16 would rather just get started. So, a closing 17 argument would be very helpful in that regard. 18 MR. BOYDSTON: Your Honor, may we 19 request that conclusions of law and fact be ordered? I guess I make a formal request for that because I think it is important to organizes

the issues to do that in addition to closing

computer programs, the code. I mean there's a lot of work that goes 4 into the rebuttal statements in this case. We can't do it on a dime. JUDGE BARRETT: You're referring to the next set of rebuttal statements that are due 8 from you in 30 minutes? MR. MACLEAN: It feels like that. Your 9 10 Honor. JUDGE BARRETT: Yes. 11 JUDGE STRICKLER: When is the 12 13 deadline? I don't have it in front of me. JUDGE BARRETT: It's March -- February 14 15 or March. 16 MS. PLOVNICK: February, Your Honor. 17 JUDGE BARRETT: February. MR. MACLEAN: Right. JUDGE STRICKLER: When in February? MS. PLOVNICK: I think it's the 27th 21 of February, but you can double check. 22 JUDGE BARRETT: We're going to

dispense with written proposed findings in this case. For this hearing, we'll just dispense with written proposed findings. Organize your closing. MR. BOYDSTON: I'm sorry, Your Honor, so may we still do it even if it has to be done before the 22nd? JUDGE BARRETT: It would not be beneficial to us. We're already organizing and divvying up the workload and I just don't think it will be very helpful. MR. BOYDSTON: All right, is that to 12 say that we're not allowed to file? I'm just 11 trying to make sure --JUDGE BARRETT: You're not allowed, 15 16 that's correct. MR. BOYDSTON: I'm not allowed to file 17 conclusions, okay. 18 JUDGE BARRETT: So, we will see you at 19 9:00 in the morning. Thank you. 20 (Whereupon, the above-entitled matter 21 went off the record at 4:19 p.m.)

\$0 214:16 215:11 A-A-R-S-V-O-L-D 261:21 a.m 1:19 6:2 84:10 Aarsvold 261:16,17,19 261:20 262:1,8 **ABC** 10:15 11:6,22 abide 218:7 ability 22:22 able 70:18 97:6 137:17 223:6 303:14 Abney 237:4,13 238:13 241:12,15 242:8,17 243:17 above-entitled 1:18 157:11 193:13 247:17 299:12 305:21 above-referred 84:7 122:2 124:7 131:4 133:2 134:2 137:20 145:15 147:13 149:9 151:11 153:10 154:3 absence 130:15 178:15 absent 24:3 absolutely 73:22 107:17 113:8 191:21 213:20 214:5 215:14 232:9 275:11 absolve 285:10 accept 52:7 59:12 60:1 88:1 137:1 accepted 273:19 access 24:18,20 25:1,6 accessed 162:22 163:6 account 187:6 215:10 249:6 251:10 accounted 186:22 187:1 188:10 214:16 273:9,14 accounting 174:13 250:20 accountings 187:5 213:1,5 214:14 250:6 250:13,15,16 273:10 273:18.22 accounts 273:15 accuracy 61:12 accurate 21:7,13 194:5 207:20 250:3 accused 141:4 acknowledge 16:5 244:10 acknowledgements 102:14

acknowledges 70:9 acknowledgment 4:2 57:1,2 102:17 240:10 240:18 243:15,16,18 243:21 244:4 245:16 246:7.20 acknowledgments 4:13 241:1 245:1,20 acquire 252:5 257:13 acquired 19:19 acquiring 156:7 acted 121:5 action 285:4 actively 115:15 activity 32:13 actual 35:22 36:13 79:22 251:1 287:7 add 6:14 145:6 148:16 162:17 230:17 231:8 251:6 257:9 added 16:14 156:17 addition 14:10 16:14 104:19 130:7 302:22 additional 21:22 41:13 49:10 136:17 187:10 251:7 address 15:16 17:2 20:9,12,15,17 70:5,7 70:21 72:2 74:8,9 84:3 86:4 137:14 148:21 170:3 191:5,7 195:22 196:3 228:9 236:14 248:9,15,16 248:20 249:2,5,7 266:1 addressed 14:5 19:12 19:14 86:10 addresses 115:19,20 265:21 269:9 addressing 76:2 86:3 99:5 adds 120:10 admissibility 94:4 admissible 79:21 171:7 admission 48:13 52:6 63:13 68:19 71:20 103:7 108:11 118:2 139:22 140:13 admit 52:6 61:22 77:2 94:12 104:15 112:9 114:13 121:20 123:16 124:2 125:5 130:22 132:16 133:19 135:11

admitted 13:19 26:20 43:16,19 44:22 45:16 46:3,10,11,14,19 47:5 47:14 48:1,7,9,17 49:4,16 50:4 53:8,17 56:4,19 57:7 62:12,22 63:7,17 64:5,18 65:9 65:19 71:5,15 73:15 74:4 78:19 79:4,10 82:5,5,13,19 83:18 89:2,7,9 101:19 102:1 103:5,11,15,17 108:19 109:5,5,5,9 111:1,4,14 113:21 118:15 122:1 124:6 133:1 134:1 137:19 139:8 141:7 145:14 146:15 147:12 148:13 149:8 150:21 153:9 154:2 158:19.19 159:19 187:8 190:18 190:20 237:6 299:11 299:13 admitting 69:12 advance 31:15 146:20 advantage 66:13 **Adventis** 3:19 49:9,12 67:16 102:16 adversaries 66:16 adversary 20:4 adverse 178:4 advisably 76:7 advisement 89:16 advisor 266:15 affidavit 68:1 69:7,9 70:8,20,21,22 99:3 affidavits 69:18 affiliated 144:20 afoul 147:4 afternoon 193:3,20,21 247:13 276:10,11 age 237:18 agent 36:11 267:5 aggregate 65:2 ago 50:5 141:17 142:1 272:3,3,7 273:12 278:21 288:18 agree 51:18 97:8,10 186:16 219:6 agreed 6:7,8 8:9,16 120:4 299:2 300:3 agreement 3:12,13,14 4:16 39:9 40:18 41:6 41:7,8,9 46:7,17 47:1 47:9 74:17 111:19

113:6,7 120:5,7 121:6 168:9,11 170:19 175:4 183:6 189:11 189:15 203:3 206:20 206:20 207:3,9 217:10,11 218:21 220:6 221:10 228:5 235:8,10,17 236:3,5,7 236:8 237:16 238:5 251:9 261:2 262:5,11 265:14 266:22 267:10 269:2,14,21 270:1,19 270:21 agreements 3:15 4:8,12 28:5 37:5,6 41:4,21 47:18 51:4 52:1 63:21 74:12,18 76:3 101:6 120:18 121:6,10 129:21 130:4 167:7 171:21 172:4.8 173:5 174:7.7.9.10.11.13 180:3,4 182:15 206:8 207:7 217:13 218:1 261:8 263:6,16,19 266:18 268:13,21 270:2,14,20 271:1,8 271:17 agrees 43:19 118:6 190:21 ahead 37:8 52:16 76:19 89:17 99:10 105:5 164:6 172:2 199:16 213:21 238:20 268:10 270:9 294:3 296:13 aid 78:4 85:2 aids 86:21 aired 95:1 98:9 296:2 alert 178:19 alerted 216:6 allegation 155:22 allegations 192:8 alleged 168:9 169:19 allegedly 32:6 33:4 allocation 256:14,20,22 allotment 6:5 7:5 allotted 7:8 allow 7:11 27:22 69:21 72:4 allowed 68:11 69:16 75:22 76:12 169:14 171:10 305:13,15,17 allows 60:12 alphabetical 34:16,18 alternative 86:9

139:5 144:3 150:6

151:9 153:6,20

211:11

alternatives 86:8 219:3

ambush 175:12 177:20

177:22 amended 283:5 286:21 amending 234:3 amendment 3:17 48:20 69:3 America 2:18 American 93:8 Amity 16:22 17:12 18:4 18:6,7,16,21 19:2,7 amount 249:14 251:5 252:4,15,17 272:15 295:5 amounts 249:17 analysis 293:18 Angeles 2:5 answer 36:16 38:12 39:1 42:19 70:17 74:15 75:2,21 76:6 131:20 150:18 228:11 252:22 265:7 274:10 284:8 286:9 296:6 answered 74:21 150:17 155:21 297:22 298:3 298:6 answering 151:17 answers 97:9 120:1 Antonio 17:5 203:21 anybody 170:14 235:6 274:13 anymore 140:21 141:14 anyway 8:11 33:22 58:17 66:15 93:18 98:12 102:19 162:10 300:16 301:11 apologies 72:15 apologize 45:5 76:17 132:1,4,13 133:20 134:15 172:17 234:16 apologized 272:20 apparently 84:13 100:13 174:2 appear 13:20 38:15 53:5 62:2 68:22 72:4 93:5 118:9 128:1 166:21 180:10 182:3 198:22 199:8 appearance 69:6 **APPEARANCES 2:1** appeared 74:13 102:18 146:6 appearing 91:7 106:15 167:8 231:22 234:6 appears 6:18 18:2 33:20 52:5 54:9 79:15 100:13 119:11 125:13 131:21 133:10 149:17

158:14 162:1 202:4 224:15 appended 187:9 208:19 appendices 26:2 29:21 30:21 34:16 Appendix 5:9,10 22:14 31:6,7,8,9 32:7 33:9,9 33:19 34:2 35:3,4 152:13 applicable 236:2 application 3:21 55:21 226:16 applied 210:7 212:1 274:11 applies 212:9,10,12,12 apply 18:6,7 212:11 appreciate 176:5 apprised 190:2 192:12 approach 134:7,17 223:16 appropriate 178:5 241:16 approximately 6:11,12 6:13 April 245:16 246:10 arguably 97:5 argue 129:9 argued 184:3 arguing 178:12 argument 62:6 76:22 77:1 100:2 129:6 147:10 154:7 170:9 173:17 175:16 180:1 302:17 argumentative 129:5 232:3 arguments 8:14 149:7 175:20 246:12 303:1 Arnie 133:11 264:8 Arnold 2:13 195:18,20 arranged 34:1 article 142:20 arts 130:20 ascertain 44:10 ascertainment 283:8 ascribe 165:6 Ashley 16:21 17:14 asked 12:13 26:20 40:20 98:16 123:15 126:8 135:17 150:16 152:3 155:21 157:15 203:2 260:13 275:9 287:21 290:1 292:8 297:22 298:2,5,7

302:6.8

asking 13:9 32:20

110:7 150:17 151:16 151:19 167:14 184:4 194:9 214:22 259:21 279:6 283:15 aspect 7:19 aspects 185:11 assert 179:2 182:15 asserted 176:17 222:10 asserting 174:2,6,21 179:2 180:2 182:22 222:7 259:22 280:17 assertions 115:14 asserts 53:3 175:3 assigned 36:10,15 assignment 11:11 245:8 assist 88:4 223:5 associated 54:1 Association 2:17 3:16 4:22 5:20,22 47:19 118:22 119:14,19 120:19 121:2,7 261:9 262:1,7,12 263:13 assume 116:4 137:10 assumed 83:13 assuming 302:4 Atlantic 18:9 attached 3:17 35:2 48:21 63:11 72:19 74:7 99:22 239:21,22 240:3,5,15,16 265:20 attaches 264:6 attachment 48:12 146:4 146:5 240:7 243:16 264:7 attachments 187:19 attack 100:7 attempt 30:20 attempted 93:21 120:21 attempting 22:9 attended 15:18 attending 70:16 attention 16:12 106:13 248:13 269:16 attorney 24:15 25:10,21 25:21 54:12,13 attributable 251:4 attribute 252:17 272:9 attributed 33:18 251:15 251:16 August 16:20 24:10 179:15 209:15 210:12 Australia 33:18 authority 218:18 authorization 156:8 182:10 219:1 275:12

authorized 224:11 258:3 267:5,10 285:2 285:5 available 60:18 Avenue 1:17 2:5 aware 9:20 10:4,5,8,14 18:17 20:11,13 22:15 87:8 188:11 229:4,12 awareness 11:16 189:14 264:22 265:3

B 22:14 26:3 30:21 31:7

31:9 32:7 33:9,19 34:2,16 35:4 261:16 back 9:18 13:17 17:8 32:7 34:7 37:16 78:12 84:9 85:16 86:7,16 91:3 110:9 117:21 122:9 152:19 185:13 212:7 215:19 218:19 218:20 219:8 236:18 242:1 246:10 247:14 248:2 267:21 279:4 283:4,9,13 286:10,16 288:15 289:18,20 291:5 background 14:21 37:15 142:19,20 backwards 292:19 Bandstand 93:9 Baptist 3:13 47:1 235:10,18 236:10 237:5,11 238:3 239:1 239:5 241:12 243:17 246:4 259:4 260:10 bare 245:8 Barnett 1:20 229:7,8 Barrett 6:3,20 7:3,6,13 8:20 9:4,8,12 12:6 16:10,15 18:19 22:19 23:2 27:3,9,16 28:6,9 28:11,19 36:21 37:1 41:15 43:1,7,12,18 44:1,19,22 45:8,11,16 46:3,11,19 47:5,14 48:17 49:4,16 52:14 52:20 53:17 54:22 55:3,7,14,19 56:18 57:7,19 61:6 62:11,15 63:7 64:5,13 65:8,18 66:3,6,9 67:11 70:3 71:4,11,15,18,21 74:3 76:14,18 77:3,5,9,16 77:21 78:10,16,19 79:1,4,7,10,13 82:1

82:10,13,16,19 83:1,6 84:5,11 87:8,17,19 88:7 89:15 90:2,12 91:10 99:7,10 102:1 103:11,16 104:21 105:4 106:9 107:3,9 107:22 108:22 109:8 110:2 111:4 112:12 113:20 114:19,22 117:16,19 118:14 122:1,10 123:7,11,17 124:6 125:11,22 126:10 127:15 130:18 131:2 132:5,8,22 134:1,8,11,13,18 137:18 138:3 142:4 143:10 144:18 145:3 145:5,14 147:11 149:8 150:15,20 151:5,9 153:8 154:2 154:22 155:4 156:22 157:4,10,17 158:6,10 158:12 159:8 161:2 162:13,16 163:9 164:1,4 165:17 166:6 166:14 167:16 168:18 169:1,21 170:2,5 171:12 172:15 176:7 176:11 178:21 179:5 180:20 181:7 184:4,8 184:18 189:17,20,22 190:10,17,21 191:15 193:7,11,16 198:3 200:7 215:17 219:12 221:20 223:10,18 232:4 235:2,6 236:17 238:17 247:12,20 258:22 260:16,22 261:6 262:21 264:3 264:14 265:9,16,18 268:9 270:8 271:15 276:2,6,14 284:16 287:22 288:13,22 290:6,11 291:6 292:11,14 293:20 294:2 298:4,13,19 299:10,17 300:5,9,11 300:19 301:1 302:5 303:2,8 304:6,11,14 304:17,22 305:8,15
299:10,17 300:5,9,11
305:19
Barry 133:10 264:8
273:4
based 22:12 23:1 35:6 50:10 54:19 62:18
106:4 147:9 149:4

158:9 171:9 174:12 178:5 183:18.19 202:5 223:21 bases 139:7 basic 259:21 basically 65:14 69:20 95:12,12 219:5 228:7 232:5 238:2 281:20 basis 31:12,16 50:5 54:5,15 71:21 72:5 80:12.13.14 88:16.18 90:13.21.22 95:10 97:10 117:8 120:11 128:22 139:13,15,22 140:12,17 169:12 201:20,21 214:10 Bates 23:20 28:17 40:5 41:22 101:12 105:11 105:12 110:18 BCG 5:15,17 bearing 75:11 Beckmann 18:2,11,21 19:3,6 began 6:4 244:20 beginning 95:16 192:19 194:20,22 begins 128:3 begrudgingly 219:19 behalf 2:2,7,17 11:6 59:11 75:12.13 104:11 115:22 121:13 156:6 172:10 186:2 196:15 197:11 206:11 215:4,8 217:3 218:18 224:1 230:13 233:1 234:5 244:15 256:3 258:5 259:3 261:15 261:22 262:6,11 267:11 269:5,11,18 274:16 275:5,12 287:3 297:18 belabor 52:10 belief 92:4 220:20 258:9 281:6 believe 9:1,19 21:12 22:5 28:12,22 37:22 38:11 45:5 46:13 48:4 48:7 64:8 65:4,5 67:13 83:21 85:19 108:6,7 111:13 115:11 121:9 128:8 129:8 131:11 147:3 159:17 166:4 167:12 169:4 170:7 171:16 171:18 180:12 181:3 184:1 186:17 188:20

190:13,15,19 191:9 198:3 221:18 237:5 256:12 265:9,22 273:13 300:16 301:15 301:18 believed 281:8 believes 91:19 belong 127:11 249:2 279:5,6 280:11 281:9 belonged 280:18,20 belonging 277:10 belongs 59:21 78:6 249:7 276:21 **BEN 2:13** beneath 291:16 beneficial 305:9 Benny 3:21 55:22 231:8 231:10 266:14 267:6 267:8 271:10 274:14 best 86:19,20 91:22 96:3 120:6,10 154:21 258:8 265:12 better 225:11 235:4 **Beverly** 15:15 beyond 258:16 260:13 264:11 265:8 276:1 287:19 288:16,18 290:3 293:19 **BGEA** 263:12 biased 186:17,18 Billy 3:15 4:21 5:20,21 47:18 118:22 119:12 119:13,18 120:7,18 121:1,7,13,14 261:8 261:22 262:6,11 263:12 binder 16:14 44:13 binders 66:19 72:13 193:5 bit 7:11 105:18 131:12 203:15 221:3 254:16 288:20 black 138:15 160:11 blah 107:10,10,10,10 108:2,2,2 blight 51:14 blurb 161:11 board 42:13 52:7 67:22 68:8,8,10 69:4 117:4 140:2 198:4,7 201:5,8 201:9 253:10 286:18 287:2 302:1 board's 80:15 139:14 Bob 184:20 185:7,15,20 186:2,12 187:3,16 188:4,6,12,17,21

189:2,3,6,9,10,15 190:6 191:19 192:19 203:15 204:15 205:4 205:19 206:11,13,15 206:22 207:1 208:12 208:18 209:8,9,16 212:5,15,18 213:14 213:16 214:16 216:19 217:10,12,16,22 218:22 222:8,11,14 223:5 224:2.12 225:7 226:20 230:21 249:13 253:11,16 254:6 255:3,4,6,12 256:3,4 257:5 281:13 294:16 295:1,2,2,6,14,22 296:1 297:17 298:10 **bones** 245:8 Boss 185:3 **bottom** 107:4,5 113:1 161:12 209:14,14 216:1 **bounce** 116:7 box 136:7,12,14 boxes 288:10 **Boydston** 2:4,4 3:4,6 6:19,21 7:15 9:1,3 12:7,8,10 16:11,17,18 18:20 22:20 23:3,4,5 25:13 26:8,10,11,15 27:7,12,14,20 28:2 37:4 42:22 43:3,11,15 43:19 44:17 45:15,22 46:9,15 47:12,21 48:6 48:12,16 49:2,14 50:1 52:8 53:14 54:2,17 55:9,11,16 56:2,16 57:6,13 58:4 61:7,11 61:15,19 62:15 63:5 63:13,15 64:3,11 65:1 65:7,16 66:7,11 67:7 68:4 69:5 70:2,3,4 71:8 72:15 73:20.22 75:4,6 76:16,21 77:4 77:19 78:1,12,22 80:18 82:9,22 83:1,2 83:8,16 84:16 85:12 86:14 87:4,14 89:21 90:5 91:11,12 96:2 98:15 99:5,8,13,14 101:18 102:4,5 103:4 103:14,20,21 104:14 105:14 106:21 107:14 108:15 109:2,3,14,15 110:15,22 111:7,8 112:8 114:2,3,12,19

114:20 115:1 116:13 116:17 117:9 118:6 118:12,18,19 120:10 120:13,14 121:19 122:5,12 123:10,13 123:18 124:1,10,15 125:4,8,12,21 126:7 126:11 127:7 129:4 129:14,21 130:16 131:1,7 132:1,3,12,16 133:6,18 134:5,10,15 134:19 135:10,16 136:6 137:4 138:1.4 139:4 141:3 142:9 143:6,11 144:2,7,15 145:7,18 146:14 147:2,16 148:12 149:2,12 150:4,10,13 150:16 151:1,6,8,14 153:5,13,19 154:6 155:3,5 156:20 157:2 157:9 158:22 163:10 163:11 164:3,5 165:21 166:3,8,12,16 167:4,9,14,17,21 168:12,20 169:6,7 170:1,3,6 171:16 172:1,14,16,20 173:13 175:19 176:10 177:5,17 180:17,21 181:2 184:1,6,9,19 185:1 190:1,5,11,17 190:19 191:1,14,16 191:17 192:22 194:14 215:15,21 216:10,18 217:1 219:9 223:4,16 224:10 232:2 238:14 248:17 249:3 258:13 258:18 262:19 264:10 264:15 265:5,17 268:6,10 270:5,9 271:12 275:22 284:3 284:14 287:18 288:11 288:14 289:22 292:4 292:12,13 293:17 296:10 297:4,7,13,21 298:2,5,12,16,22 299:6,15 300:13 301:2,6 302:2,18 303:6,11 305:5,12,17 Boydston's 60:1 125:20 131:21 195:21 248:20 Boyston's 126:3 brain 247:14 248:17 break 37:14 78:5 83:5

88:2 158:13 193:4,9 260:20 Brian 2:4 38:6 216:10 249:3 brianb@ix.netcom.c... 195:21 248:10,16 249:8 brief 41:12 briefing 62:7 briefly 91:13 bring 9:18 213:2 bringing 87:5 275:20 broadcast 80:4.8 94:16 95:21,21 96:8,14,16 96:22 97:13 98:19,20 119:3,21 135:15 137:13 139:11 165:7 186:8 200:3,11,20 211:12 212:2 230:17 257:13 295:7,15 broadcasting 143:18 143:19 145:1,12 186:11 254:7 255:7 257:12 broadcasts 106:16 185:19 214:1 252:6 brought 69:15 106:13 145:12 184:7 269:15 Brown 8:1 9:2 143:2,5 143:16 144:12,13,14 144:15 147:5 Brown's 144:10,18 148:16 149:4 bubble 98:1 bubble-wrapped 98:5 **Buck** 33:15,17,20 building 1:16 84:14 bunch 13:2 37:5 126:20 business 101:17 102:22 104:13 110:21 185:11 225:8,15 263:7,10,10 buy 95:15,15

C
C 5:9,10 152:13
CA 2:5
cable 1:6 3:11 4:2 5:10
5:12,13,14,18,21 24:1
29:21 45:20 50:4
55:12 56:9,15 73:13
99:19 100:3,15,17,19
153:16,18 195:8
202:1 205:7,11 212:5
222:3 229:18 231:13
235:14 244:13 252:2

253:11,16 254:4,15 255:2 260:3,11 261:9 268:15 274:16 278:2 278:5 294:1 calculate 207:17,18 calculation 22:11 60:17 300:16 calendar 152:6 182:3,9 196:12 210:7,9 296:15 call 66:8 299:16 called 7:21 83:11 154:12 195:19 calling 8:1,3 66:3 calls 215:16 238:15 271:13 284:1 calm 76:15 Canadian 295:9.12.18 **cancer** 70:15 candor 268:17 capability 32:12 capable 32:5 capacity 115:15 care 6:8,8 career 76:12,15 carries 91:20 92:5,6 carry 91:19 case 8:16 20:4,15 50:19 50:20 51:13 69:10,14 74:17,19 75:9,13 76:4 80:3 83:4 152:2 231:19 240:19 242:10 251:16 259:17 278:5 278:12,15 294:16 295:20 303:17 304:4 305:2 case-in-chief 287:20 **cases** 59:8 cash 219:20 220:1,14 casual 86:17 catalog 3:18 10:21 13:6 13:7 36:13 49:8,8 catch 180:22 categories 277:1,4 278:20 281:1 294:18 294:20

categorization 79:17

category 56:14 59:8,10

59:16,17,18,19,20

63:22 101:9 105:8

108:8 110:4 152:5,6

164:17 186:4,7,9,12

186:13,21 194:8,12

194:19 195:1 209:17

228:13 252:9 254:7

195:4 277:21

255:7,10,19 256:1,10 257:12 276:18,19,22 277:11,11,16,17 278:9 279:7,12 280:4 280:10,18,20 281:10 294:8,13 295:3,11 cause 69:12,21 70:12 70:17 72:4 168:13 177:7 caveat 109:9 118:3 CBS 11:22 cc 191:3,3 216:4 cc'ing 216:1 **CD** 1:6 cease 226:8 **cede** 8:19 celebration 160:20 cell 170:15 cells 247:14 Center 3:19 49:9,12 67:16 231:9 certain 19:22 39:3,13 91:22 93:20 100:2 111:15 115:6 117:2 128:9 130:6 197:1,4 201:8 202:6 209:20 213:11 217:4 228:17 228:19 230:9 238:18 241:8 247:4 250:5 252:17 259:7,18 263:15,15 270:12 certainly 36:5 50:3 72:21 74:9 92:11 125:11 129:5 177:7 180:14 260:13 certificate 36:14 certificates 36:1 certification 245:14 certifications 22:1 23:7 23:11,22 24:2 29:14 35:11 certified 198:1,6 certifying 285:1 cetera 177:20,20,20 185:12 **challenge** 11:5 18:5 84:2,20 183:8 186:14 188:14 231:13 **challenged** 18:5 146:18 150:12 197:11 240:22 challenges 83:22 146:20 Chandra 4:8 64:16 change 20:17 207:10 281:18 294:3 changed 156:17 162:10

changes 156:11 changing 227:14 characterization 123:5 125:20 126:3 143:22 152:21 154:19 167:11 282:4 charge 252:8 chart 3:20 14:7,7,11 26:22,22 53:1 60:16 126:15 chatting 21:2 check 6:17 171:1 209:9 209:15,18,20 213:18 219:15 220:1,9,11,14 235:20 256:4 304:21 checked 250:5 checking 213:1,5 checks 189:7 249:18 Chesler 228:3 Chicago 235:10 237:5 241:12 Children 194:4,9,19 195:11.14 196:9.15 208:1 231:4 280:14 296:12,12,14 298:11 Children's 195:4 Chip 48:11 264:6 **choose** 193:5 choosing 285:9 286:2 chose 30:11 chosen 51:21 75:20,21 76:5 285:17 286:2 Christian 143:17,19 145:1,12 Christianity 92:3 106:4 Christmas 166:2 chronically 280:1 church 3:13 47:2 231:10 235:10,18 236:10 237:5,11 238:4 239:1,5 241:12 243:17 246:4 259:4 260:10 281:8 Cinema 40:4,13 41:1 circled 125:1 circumscribed 32:13 circumstance 214:15 220:1 226:1 278:7,8 279:11 circumstances 43:13 73:8 214:2 221:14 225:18 226:4,13 227:5 228:7 237:22 239:18 245:22 264:21 294:11 citation 55:10 142:5

cited 18:4 55:18 90:9 93:4 161:18 citing 87:1 City 166:1 civil 50:19 claim 3:10 11:6,15 21:18 31:11 33:16 44:15 51:1 59:6 69:15 99:20 100:19 104:10 110:14 146:11 156:4 156:6.6.9 181:18.20 182:2.7 185:18 186:5 186:7 194:18 196:14 196:18 197:19 199:1 199:2 200:14,16 204:15,21 205:5,8,8 205:11,12,15 206:22 207:11 208:2 211:6 213:14 214:19,19 215:4,13 218:18 222:3,14,16,19 224:12 226:6,22 228:1 229:18 230:5,7 230:8 232:14,19 233:1,5,10,13,17,18 233:22 234:2,4,5 244:15 253:8 255:2,4 255:9,11,17,21 256:1 256:6,8,10 257:3,9,21 257:22,22 258:12,15 269:10 281:13 282:3 282:8,13,16,16,18,19 282:21 283:5,16,16 283:20 284:9,10,12 284:22 285:1,2,3,20 286:12,13,16,19,19 286:21,21 287:8 289:9,21 290:16,17 293:2,7 294:6,7,8,17 295:3 296:20 297:18 claimant 11:4 20:10 31:5,20 32:3 34:12 56:13 101:8 104:9 164:18,19,21 166:22 167:8 185:4,7 186:20 200:17 215:5,8 227:6 246:1 255:22 256:17 282:17,20 287:3 294:7 Claimant's 140:9 claimants 2:8 4:5,6,12 4:14,15 14:18,19,20 21:18 31:8 33:5 58:2

59:10 63:3,21 102:13

110:5,12 164:11,20

157:15.20

164:21 165:15 166:20 172:12 173:19 182:21 183:1,22 207:5 213:19 227:22 230:14 244:1,11,18,22 245:3 252:9 268:20 275:20 276:19 281:17 285:20 285:22 claimed 32:17 56:12 59:11,18,19 81:21 129:3 152:4 173:7 182:18 183:22 194:7 194:10,12 254:6,11 255:6,20 277:3 claiming 30:4,18 75:15 194:4 277:4 claims 3:11,11 20:16 30:8,13,19 31:1 32:4 33:4,8,8 36:10 45:5 45:20 49:12 52:4 63:22 75:11,12,13,18 75:19 100:3 108:12 108:12 121:13 154:8 154:9 155:7,11,16,20 156:2,13 172:9 185:15,20 186:1,6 197:11 201:12 205:18 206:17 207:6 220:20 222:21 223:6 224:5,5 225:12 230:12 245:4 245:5 246:2,5 252:11 253:7,9,11,16 255:13 255:14,14,20 256:1,3 257:11 259:17 260:3 260:10 269:4,5,17 274:15 275:5 285:17 285:18,18,22 286:1,3 286:22 287:8,14,16 294:18,19 295:1 clarification 13:9 167:7 clarify 14:15 82:2 269:20 clause 90:16,17 clear 73:14 74:8 98:21 109:4 117:17 163:6 201:1 212:3 221:2,4,6 254:17 cleared 235:5 272:19 clearly 79:22 94:5 117:3 clears 73:2 clerk 48:8 138:2 159:3 162:16 163:8 190:21 click 138:16,21 158:15 161:16 client 184:5 225:9

271:3 clients 170:13 171:1,3 265:1,4 268:19 275:6 275:12 CLIFFORD 2:9 clips 138:17 141:1 close 247:11 closed 7:19 105:3 closing 7:10 8:17 117:14 299:22 300:4 300:7 301:10 302:16 302:22 305:4 code 304:2 colleagues 52:15 collect 189:3 252:14 collected 186:22 218:7 218:11 collection 3:15 4:7 47:17 49:11 63:20 77:15 224:21 244:13 253:9 column 127:19,20 128:1 164:16,16,17 164:19 165:12,15,18 166:22 167:8 233:1 277:16 **columns** 165:13 182:6 come 6:9.15 22:10 69:22 101:15 102:20 123:19 124:20 182:18 201:14 271:2 273:16 280:1 286:4,16 303:12 comes 95:7 161:17 162:7 186:21 286:7 coming 87:11 105:5 170:20 195:2 247:1 286:5 301:19 comma 107:10 comment 20:7 89:22 90:1,4 282:2 comments 99:6 272:20 **commercial** 95:3 97:5 98:4,5 147:4 commercially-produ... 98:13 commission 218:15 219:7 245:10 249:15 249:22 250:1 251:5 252:15 Committee 49:20 75:3 common 99:21 214:6 communicate 188:17 communicated 22:4 communication 87:13

150:2 176:8

communications
191:11 227:12
companies 111:20
company 91:1 96:17 113:13 154:12 272:8
275:2
comparable 109:21
110:3 201:10,11
compare 71:2
compared 32:21
comparing 81:20
comparison 31:13
32:16,18 93:11 228:1
compel 173:14,17 174:1 179:22 180:12
181:11
compelled 174:8
compensable 256:5
294:19
compensated 295:22 296:1
competing 154:17
compiled 38:6
complete 78:7 117:6
158:18 183:19 201:15
258:8 287:16
completely 39:4 200:22
252:18 284:12 285:8 completeness 116:19
140:18 141:8 158:9
159:13,15
completion 7:14
compliance 139:14
178:14
complicated 221:3 227:3
complied 177:15 178:1
178:17
comply 80:15 85:13
178:13 179:16
comprehensive 214:5
243:6 275:13
comprises 13:2 computer 38:15 136:13
304:2
concepts 218:4
concern 222:13,17
224:13 295:7 302:9
concerned 224:3
concerning 195:15
265:13 concisely 67:2 175:21
concludes 43:3,6 91:8
concluding 62:18
301:17
conclusion 71:3 135:19
i e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e

135:21 284:2 conclusions 147:7 149:3 301:9,16 302:6 302:15.19 303:4 305:18 concrete 130:10 condition 91:22 conduct 41:14 conducted 10:20 76:9 76:11 118:8.9 Confidential 5:14.15 confidentiality 235:7 261:1 confirm 28:15 64:9 205:18 207:6 240:20 confirmation 245:9 confirmed 13:17 106:14 267:12 299:4 confirming 41:3 conflict 68:13 69:10 conflicting 68:11 69:17 conflicts 68:6 69:9 confused 39:4 168:21 252:19 298:7 confusing 116:19 218:4 250:7 confusion 224:20 Congress 1:16 connected 268:20 connection 64:19 129:19 145:11 180:4 235:22 252:10 253:3 253:4 268:20 connects 129:16 consecutive 206:10,17 consequence 58:15 62:10 233:15 consequences 284:13 consequently 19:20 20:1 37:17 220:22 246:14 consider 92:15 94:2 consideration 92:21,21 considered 106:5 159:18,19 considering 82:4 **consistent** 73:7 98:3 101:2 106:19 121:5 300:20 consists 261:8 constitute 101:11 constitutes 104:8 contact 19:21 166:19 207:1,5,21 227:7 241:16,18 242:8

243:10 contacted 15:21 155:15 188:12.15 192:18 227:8 273:4 contactus@mpaa 17:3 contain 258:1 contained 29:20 241:6 258:7 containing 79:16 Conte 2:5 content 88:22 93:10 96:3 98:18 115:3 140:22 147:7 169:15 244:6 265:14 contention 217:9.13 278:5 contents 3:1 60:13 96:7 136:21 150:21 context 160:4 161:1 202:12 205:21 231:12 contingent 195:7 218:20 continuation 238:4 continue 9:8 143:13 156:21 164:6 187:5 208:2 222:14 226:22 continued 220:12 272:18 continuing 206:21 207:7,8 227:18 292:15 contract 119:18,22 154:19 171:2 189:3 207:18 227:9,15,19 237:15,22 238:10,12 238:22 239:4,10 240:2,14 241:7,20 242:5,11,13 245:10 253:10 296:18.19 contracted 230:19 257:9 contracts 37:20 119:21 166:20 184:13 206:12 contractually 154:16 contrary 60:2 70:6 175:14 **control** 174:18 Controversies 5:11,13 controversy 283:8 conveniently 60:15 conversations 175:10 227:13 260:6 274:19 conveyance 11:20 conveying 12:3 cooperate 16:5 51:11 cooperated 18:22 19:4

121:14 Copeland 5:2,3 49:21 50:22 51:1,2,3,8,9,11 51:15,21 52:3 57:17 73:4,4,9,11 74:11,20 75:18,21 122:21 123:1.21 124:18 125:16 126:16 127:3 127:11 129:16,20 266:13 267:2,5,9 269:7,8,11,18 270:3 270:20 271:2,11,18 273:15 274:14 Copeland's 74:11 122:21 127:9 **copied** 137:3 copies 26:2 29:15 34:2 34:20 87:14 121:11 121:12 136:9 159:3 166:20 203:9 263:18 copy 23:20 24:2 31:18 44:14 45:4,19 72:12 72:16,16 97:16,19 117:4 136:13 137:7,7 140:19 158:14,17 237:15 copying 60:19 copyright 1:1,22 3:18 5:2 10:21 11:4,8,10 11:12,13,21 12:3,14 12:20 13:8,11 35:15 48:22 49:8,11 60:20 74:13 75:14,18 124:19 125:13 126:14 127:2,19 198:1,2,4,6 201:5,5,7,8,17,18 210:4 245:8 258:3 282:21 286:17,17 287:1.2 **copyrights** 51:5 52:3 corporate 53:6 **Corporation 3:14 47:10** 165:14 correct 9:6 10:6,12,16 10:21 12:21,22 13:4 14:12 29:11,12,14,16 29:21,22 30:4,5,8,9 30:14 36:4 38:10 39:12 41:5 42:4,7 46:14 61:9 77:4,9 79:17 100:5 107:5 112:21 130:17 132:3 132:12 135:8,9 136:13 149:19,20 153:18 166:17 167:9

169:1,5,8 171:19

178:8 188:8 191:12 195:22 196:1,3,4,19 196:20 197:14,20 198:2,7,12 199:9 200:13,21 202:20 203:4,8 204:17,20 205:6,16 209:11 210:11,15,17,20 212:17,20 215:21 216:2,3 222:5 224:6,8 231:6,6,11,16 232:20 233:3,7 235:11,15,16 238:6 239:16 244:2,5 244:7,16,17 248:18 249:17 250:9,10 251:8 252:3 253:1,18 253:22 254:3,5,7 255:4,5 256:15 258:8 261:5 262:9,16 263:3 263:21 266:2,20 275:3 279:17.20 280:7 282:6,6 286:3 289:6,13 291:18 292:1,2 294:9,11,20 300:1 305:16 corrected 27:7 166:12 231:17 correcting 70:10 correction 150:5 corrections 232:8 correctly 100:22 178:22 198:22 239:20 correspondence 4:14 4:15,21 5:4 19:12 40:22 77:15 104:8 107:16,21 108:18 110:4,8,12 129:22 130:5 133:9 172:4,8 174:11,12 175:7 180:3 182:16 191:19 206:14 208:18 216:5 217:7,9 222:1,2 227:11 238:11 259:4 259:10 270:15 corresponding 260:7 corroborate 13:3 14:3 corroboration 130:15 corroborative 130:14 council 131:13 counsel 5:5 15:21 23:19 24:8,19 25:8 54:18 83:11 85:15 94:12 136:10 175:20 176:5 178:6 179:9 194:3 199:12 229:21 234:22 247:7 257:15

257:16,18 266:12,14 266:15 count 289:17,19 couple 21:17 29:8 35:14 50:4 137:5 139:7 159:16,21 248:2 266:18,19 277:19 281:12 coupled 32:2 couples 31:5,17,19 course 10:14 35:8 59:2 163:2 181:21 197:17 204:15 225:8.15 court 41:15 42:18 43:18 54:8 67:20 140:2 courtroom 59:4 264:2 cover 20:22,22 35:2 73:16 99:21 116:20 117:16,20,21 136:16 136:19.20 248:2 251:7 252:2.15 covered 73:12 83:21 101:3 211:12 234:16 287:20 covering 235:17 covers 212:5 235:14 CRB 1:6.10 14:15 100:13 202:10 203:10 create 26:12 created 21:2 26:19 113:7 239:4 278:1 280:22 creating 21:7 165:1 creation 257:3 Creflo 53:4,22 64:17 266:15 267:6,8 270:2 270:19 271:11 274:14 criteria 147:4,10 152:20 critical 74:19 75:2 critiqued 38:22 cross 3:2 7:9 8:2,7 9:8 14:8 58:10 127:16 131:22 193:3,18 264:16 276:8 277:2 cross-claimed 276:17 cross-examination 7:1 7:17 9:14 41:18 118:7 118:8 cross-examine 9:5 58:16 cross-examined 6:22 cross-reference 30:20 cross-referenced 14:6

crossed 117:1

currently 174:16

CRV 299:7

cut 6:10 265:7 cute 58:7 D D.C 1:2 2:22 d/b/a 2:3 231:10 244:12 data 21:22 32:19 33:3,5 63:12 252:6 257:13 295:5,19 303:22 date 15:13 85:18 162:20 171:12 179:14 179:16 207:19 209:14 275:18 dated 149:21 181:5 187:15 192:6 216:9 216:10 245:16 248:10 291:16 dates 188:9 203:18 245:18 David 1:21 264:7 266:11.12 day 38:5 116:21 225:3 225:11 days 116:9.22 164:17 202:13 288:18 DC 1:17 2:11.15 dead 66:13 deadline 222:17 226:17 282:4 303:6 304:13 dealing 224:21 242:2 dealings 241:19 dealt 192:15 206:14 deathbed 70:15 debits 278:13 debt 272:8 decade 187:4 237:17 **December** 1:14 116:10 116:10,12 117:22 303:12 decide 80:21 94:3,13 decided 183:16 303:10 decision 183:17,18 216:4 278:6 280:9 decisions 183:12 declarant 72:4 declaration 3:16,17 4:7 4:11 15:4,20 22:15 48:10,14,21 63:10,14 66:21 67:15,17 68:7 68:12,14,18 69:8 70:5 72:5,19 73:2 74:6 76:1 79:15,19,20 80:1 80:9 82:4 87:22 96:21 102:19 103:7 106:7,8

106:17 130:8 134:11

custody 174:17

134:16 137:15 195:12 195:13,17,19 211:10 211:20 236:12,14,15 237:4,8,13 238:16 239:15,20 241:4,6,10 241:11,15 242:16,19 242:21 243:2.6.13 245:14 246:11.19 258:1.11 264:5 269:9 declarations 68:10 69:12 168:4.5.9 169:17 171:5,7 175:8 195:10 225:2 259:1,6 declares 258:2.6 deduce 210:7 deduced 201:14,18 deducted 250:21,22 defense 8:6 defer 71:9 deference 83:3 defied 96:5 99:6 **define** 98:15 definition 276:21 278:3 definitively 36:18 demonstrate 122:20 138:10.21 143:15 151:21 218:17 demonstrates 178:14 denied 284:16 denies 269:13 Denise 217:3 241:19 242:21 260:6,7 291:16 denominations 160:16 denote 209:18 Department 57:18 depict 146:9 deponent 131:22 deposition 5:3 74:7,15 76:9,11 describe 81:15 88:21 91:22 96:3 126:9 described 95:11 177:9 description 146:13 descriptions 147:3 designated 25:16 59:15 64:21 designation 59:6 desist 226:8 detail 20:21 details 228:6 245:9 determination 15:13 33:6 39:7 184:2 determine 36:14 40:15 97:6 256:9 280:10 determined 39:8

202:19 237:14 developing 92:10 devotion 280:20 devotional 2:7 4:6 7:20 7:21 42:11 59:7,9,16 63:2,22 90:10 101:9 106:14 108:8,13 152:1,3,6,21 194:8,19 252:11 276:19,22 277:7,11,18 278:4 280:18 281:9 difference 131:14 245.17 different 59:18 90:16 92:16 93:17 94:14 98:18.19 103:9 116:22 117:3 127:6 131:13,17 135:20 139:7 140:22 162:22 163:6 179:4 180:9 182:8.10.17 185:10 277:19 279:16.18 284:12,17,19 285:8 differentiations 66:18 differs 198:10 difficult 33:22 301:20 dime 304:5 dire 112:10,13 127:14 direct 3:2 4:1 5:7,9,10 8:2,7 16:12 29:20 40:4,13 41:1 56:8 83:15 122:11 133:5 146:7 152:15 163:21 164:9,11 176:6 185:6 230:7 258:16 264:13 264:20 270:6 276:1 278:12,15,16 280:22 287:19 288:16,18 290:3 directed 85:20 166:19 177:10 241:17 242:12 directing 168:14 242:4 248:13 direction 175:15 directly 19:2,3 265:2 directs 14:11 disagree 52:12 disagreement 61:7 disappeared 206:3 disclosed 25:12 discover 37:10 38:21 discovered 37:14 38:6 38:9 42:1 72:12 discovery 4:6 15:8,9 44:15 45:6,20 46:8

47:20 53:8 56:1 57:5 61:4 63:3 64:1 80:15 96:5 103:1 104:6 110:7,17 137:11 139:14 148:3 163:20 166:18 177:15 178:2 178:6,13,19 179:17 179:20,22 181:16 183:11 202:20 266:5 280:2 discrepancy 35:9 69:16 discuss 54:22 157:7 274:22 275:4 discussed 50:3 55:12 83:22 111:13 158:1 discussing 86:8 discussion 270:1 275:8 275:14 discussions 274:12 dismissed 246:2,5 247:2 259:17 260:4 dispense 301:10 305:1 305:2 disposition 158:2 dispute 94:22 118:11 118:12 172:21 176:15 177:14 disputing 61:12,18,19 61:20 disregard 225:9 disrespect 108:1 distantly 200:12 distinction 203:13 distinguish 93:21 255:10 distribute 85:3 218:21 distributed 95:2 distribution 1:5,9 22:10 158:3 175:3 183:6 186:15 214:3 268:15 distributions 296:4 divulging 51:9 divvying 305:10 **Docket 1:4,9 Doctor** 144:15 document 3:9 12:20 14:14 18:2 23:9,17 26:19 31:3,17 34:7 38:16 40:11,21 41:4 43:8 50:2,21 51:4,7 51:18 52:10,19 53:12 54:3 56:17 58:8,13,21 59:2,3,5 60:3 61:13

61:15 62:19 69:22

85:8 100:11,12

102:10 111:11.14 113:8 114:8 115:21 118:10 119:9 121:1 122:3 123:5 124:8 125:20 126:5,8,14,22 127:8,19 129:7,13 131:5 133:3,12,16 134:3 137:21 142:15 143:22 145:16 146:1 147:14 149:10.15 150:21 151:4.12 152:10.12 153:11 154:4 159:11 160:5 161:8 162:20 163:16 163:19 165:2 170:15 172:6 178:15 179:11 179:15 188:1 190:12 198:10,11 199:9,22 200:15 202:10 211:17 223:6 228:15 229:20 235:3 239:21 240:5 240:16,17 244:9,21 262:20 267:11 277:22 280:2,21 291:3 292:18 297:1,10 document's 147:21 documentation 127:10 155:19 documents 12:12 13:2 18:6 23:18,19,20 24:2 24:15,16,20 25:10,11 26:6 27:21 28:1 30:3 30:7 37:10 40:2 41:13 61:14 66:22 67:5 73:7 86:21 87:1 101:16 102:11,12,21 104:5 104:12 109:18,20 110:4,11,16,20 113:12 119:15 121:2 123:19 124:13 138:7 161:4,4 163:20 164:7 164:8 171:21,22 172:3,7 173:4 174:10 174:17,19 179:1,19 179:22 202:15 209:12 218:12,16 229:3 247:4 299:1,13 doing 22:16 94:8 97:1 105:22 107:19 256:2 301:4,20 302:4 Dollar 53:4,22 64:18 266:15 267:6,8 271:11 274:14 dollars 214:9 doors 122:10

doubt 21:9 dozen 117:20 Dr 4:7 9:1 63:11 95:8 96:2.7,16,18 137:14 143:2,5,16 144:12,13 144:13,18 147:5 148:16 149:4 160:8 draft 237:7 243:3 drafted 237:9.10 244:22 drafting 234:6 dramatic 92:22 draw 71:2 135:22 DreamWorks 19:18.19 19:21 20:6,10,16 drew 135:19,20 due 201:7 304:7 duly 83:13 258:3 285:2 285:5 duplicates 60:18 duplicative 103:15 **DVD** 95:5,7,17,18 96:12 96:14,15,20 97:5 98:4 98:5,10,13,14,17,18 139:8 dying 70:14

E E-X-H-I-B-I-T-S 3:8 earlier 9:22 163:4 203:2 237:6 289:3 earliest 209:14 early 116:9 193:8 296:16 easier 232:14 easy 232:14 Educational 172:11 effect 86:13 95:19 230:16 244:8 283:19 284:11,19 297:1 **effectively** 60:7 283:17 effects 284:18,19 effort 140:20 efforts 51:7 151:21 264:22 265:3 272:4,7 eight 70:7,20 71:1 eighth 165:11 166:11 166:13,15,16 either 11:19 21:5 33:9 39:22 57:2 59:7,17 73:10 107:15 121:12 169:21 178:3 218:14 219:6 243:3 277:10 277:15,20 278:20 **electronic** 24:4 25:18 26:2 31:18,19 32:2

double 197:17 304:21

34:19

electronically 29:18 203:12 elicit 176:14 elicited 72:22 177:9 email 5:8 16:19,21,21 17:2,9 19:13 38:7,7 48:11 105:10,17 106:3,11,20 107:7,8 108:6 149:17 151:18 159:7 187:17,19 190:14,14 191:5,7 192:17 195:19,20,22 196:3,5,8,21 197:2 208:19 216:9,18,22 217:1 248:9,9,15,16 248:20 249:2,5,7 264:7 265:1 266:1,4 266:10 267:15,16 271:5,14 272:1 emails 107:7 172:8 191:3 employee 120:16 employees 51:8,11 employer 7:19 employment 51:4 52:1 74:11,17,18 76:3 encompassing 214:4 endeavored 84:22 ended 192:17 214:2 302:4 engage 178:5 183:14 183:15 265:1,3 272:18 engaged 18:1 238:11 engagement 18:11 238:1 244:11,19,20 257:15,16 enormous 272:8 ensue 129:9 **enter** 82:3 entered 189:10 269:14 269:21 271:1 299:5 Entertainment 9:21 11:4 entire 21:16 60:5,6 65:6 114:15 194:11 entirely 61:9 196:11 entirety 88:12 100:19 112:4 158:19 entities 13:4 14:16 53:22 116:1 170:10 170:14,18 173:6,9 174:3,22 180:2,6 198:22 199:4 200:2 230:18 259:5 260:14 266:19 271:3

entitled 36:9 75:9 77:1 127:19 183:18 187:20 189:3 209:3 221:4,8 entitlement 36:7 221:1 226:2 260:1 entity 22:9 53:3 75:13 155:16 156:7 185:3 200:5 233:19 234:5 257:6,17 entries 127:2 128:16 entry 8:10 299:3 Envoy 46:7 79:19 106:7 165:13 167:17 168:5 168:10 173:5.10 175:4 176:19 177:11 183:5,15 184:11 259:3 260:2 episode 106:15 episodes 98:19 equivalent 67:22 245:7 Erdem 4:7 63:11 Erkan 4:7 63:11 errantly 111:22 error 112:1 202:21,22 203:9,14 240:15,16 243:10,12 errors 113:15 202:14 202:17,20 especially 31:14 117:13 **ESQ** 2:4,9,9,10,13,13 2:19,19,20 essence 252:8 essentially 17:3,6,9 81:22 84:2 140:13 153:15 169:16 204:6 265:1 301:11 establish 22:21 151:1,2 155:20 established 30:7 74:14 126:1 144:22 estoppel 67:21 et 177:20,20,20 185:12 etcetera 34:4,4 ethnic 160:15 evade 76:5,7 **evading** 128:20 Evangelical 3:16 4:22 47:19 Evangelistic 5:20,21 118:22 119:14,19 120:7,18 121:1,7 261:9 262:1,7,12 263:13

evasive 76:11

event 22:3 111:17

240:22 246:1 268:2

268:11,12 eventuality 54:7 eventually 17:8 237:14 everybody 8:18 59:1 159:3 237:10 evidence 11:13 26:20 35:16,18 36:6,7 43:22 44:8 45:2,13,18,21 46:5,8,18,21 47:2,3,7 47:11,16,20 48:3,5,15 48:19 49:1,6,18,22 50:18 51:22 52:1 53:8 53:11,12,19 54:1,14 56:1,6,21 57:5,9,12 58:3 62:14 63:4,9,19 64:2,7,9,10,20 65:11 65:14.22 66:20 67:5 68:2.5 71:7.17 73:14 73:21 78:21 79:6.12 81:1,11 82:8,15,21 85:1.7 86:3 89:2 95:6 102:3 103:19 106:17 109:13 111:6 114:1 118:17 122:3,19 123:6 124:8 129:19 130:10 131:5 133:3 134:3 137:21 139:9 139:12 141:19 144:1 144:10,11 145:16 147:14 148:17,20 149:10 151:12 153:11 154:4,20,21 168:3 178:9 211:11 219:10 219:11 228:15 237:6 265:12 268:9 297:3 299:5 evidenced 272:17 evidentiary 97:10 evidently 98:21 exact 100:15 136:8 137:3 287:21 298:6 exactly 19:20 37:13 98:22 109:8 136:8 192:13 203:17 275:17 277:3,9 287:12,15,16 298:9 examination 7:10 12:9 41:14 60:19 83:15 112:13 122:11 127:16 131:22 133:5 193:3 193:18 234:17 264:15 276:8 296:9 297:15 examine 58:11 61:5 85:10 136:16 examined 60:15 83:14

example 33:14 182:1 200:8,11 225:19 263:19 283:2 286:5 Excel 21:1,6 24:22 25:2 26:4 29:9,9 30:10 31:2 32:15,19,21 33:3 33:15 34:22 35:10 148:2,9 181:15 exception 50:17 102:15 209:8 **excerpt** 4:1,4 56:8 57:22 60:5 61:1 63:11 114:9 115:3,19 116:11,12 124:17 132:19,21 excerpts 58:8 65:4 115:10 excess 251:1,14 252:7 252:14 exchange 35:14 149:17 151:18 **excise** 230:13,21 231:3 exclude 50:12 233:11 excluded 50:10 106:8 106:18,20 112:1 139:13 168:8 169:11 169:13,17 exclusively 30:11 excuse 77:16 152:5 185:5 206:6 228:9 229:8 245:14 execute 267:11 executed 237:15 240:20 242:5 245:15 execution 115:21 238:9 243:9 244:9 Executive 160:10 exemplar 5:5 80:4,8,10 80:19 81:2 89:1 91:4 91:5 94:11,11,20,22 95:21 96:6,15,22 97:13,17 98:16 99:8 134:7,12 135:1,15,17 137:13 139:9,11 200:3 exemplars 80:16 **exhibit** 5:7 13:1,1,12,22 14:1,6,13,17 16:13,13 16:13 18:8,14 20:20 24:9 26:7,18,21 28:12 28:15,18,20,21 35:1 35:21 40:5 41:22 43:8 43:21 44:13,14,16

examining 9:9

45:1,17 46:4,20 47:6

47:8,15 48:2,18 49:5

49:17 52:16 53:10,11

53:18 55:5 56:5,10,18 56:20 57:8,10 60:10 62:12,13 63:8,16,18 64:6 65:10,20 68:2,3 68:21,21 71:6,16 77:11,12,17 78:11,20 79:5,11 80:7 82:5,7 82:14,20 83:19 87:21 99:12,13,15,18,19 100:14,16,17,20 101:1,3,5,6,11,19 102:2,7 103:5,9,10,11 103:18 104:1,15,17 105:1,11,11 108:4 109:4,12,17 111:1,5 111:10,15,18,19 112:9,15,16 113:1,5 113:20,22 114:5,13 115:4 118:2,4,16 119:8 121:20 122:4.7 122:14 124:2,9,12 125:5 131:6,9,15 132:6,8,16,22 133:4,8 133:19 134:4.6 135:11,14 137:18,22 138:6 139:5 140:3.5 140:19 141:7 142:12 144:3 145:17.21 146:5,15 147:15,18 148:13,15 149:11,14 150:6 151:13 152:9 153:6,12,14,16,20 154:5 158:8,9,18 159:18 163:14 168:19 168:20 169:1,2,9,11 170:16 173:3,3 184:5 187:8,9,13,14 190:3 190:14 192:2 193:5 194:2,2 195:10,19 197:8,15 198:12,14 201:22 202:3,4 203:6 208:7.10 215:19.20 216:12.15 222:1 228:22 229:1,17,19 230:2,4,4 232:16 234:10,18 235:21 236:19,21 247:9 248:5,9 260:18,21 264:1,1 265:20 267:19,21 277:13 288:2,6 289:4,14,15 289:19 290:2 297:5 299:13 exhibits 3:9 8:9 12:19 13:10,19 16:14 18:3 44:4,8 60:12 64:18

117:2 130:3,4 131:12 152:19 163:21 169:20 174:22 183:3 222:21 225:2 246:18 258:15 299:9,10 exist 280:6 existed 224:17 existence 178:19 182:15 237:21 exists 120:1 206:10 expect 108:19 217:21 268:17 expected 25:15 26:9 expended 251:13,22 252:5 expenses 250:17,18 251:1,4,7,11,13,19 252:9,16,18 253:1,3 257:10.19 experience 14:21 201:17 214:10 **experienced** 202:14,16 227:12 expert 81:12,14 91:15 91:20 92:6,13 93:1,2 93:12 105:15 107:17 108:16,20 165:4 183:15 expertise 105:21 106:22 expired 218:1 explain 39:5 58:17 68:13 69:15 119:17 151:16 180:19 213:20 239:7,17 explained 25:16 59:2 59:12 129:15 explaining 284:5 explanation 17:22 58:6 59:13 60:1 62:1 68:17 68:18 69:10 70:9 278:21 explicitly 296:20 exposed 224:3 Express 99:21 expressly 227:10 extended 265:7 extension 40:4,9 41:7 206:19 207:2 236:3,5 236:6,8 extensive 238:11 301:8 extent 44:10 50:15 51:20 72:6 141:9 168:4 186:20

extra 247:4

extraordinarily 225:16

eyeballing 32:17 F face 68:18 73:14 95:20 96:13 97:4,13 118:10 226:10 facially 69:9 facie 11:13 35:18 facilitate 87:11 fact 16:2 31:1 33:7 70:20 86:11 87:1,9 94:2,3,15 97:21 106:14 112:2,4 113:9 115:16 133:14 137:13 141:13,14,15 143:16 147:8 149:4 167:4,11 174:20 175:14 185:9 198:22 220:6 221:16 227:2 237:18 242:10 242:12 245:3 272:18 273:8.15 281:21 285:4 301:9.21 302:19 303:4 facts 81:4,15 91:22 301:17 factual 81:7 93:6 failed 80:5 failure 80:14 91:4 178:5 fair 94:19 280:3 282:4 fairly 99:16 115:6 130:2 217:4 250:16 259:18 faith 92:18 258:10 fall 105:8 falls 50:16 61:2 108:7 295:11 false 275:11 familiar 12:14 17:14 23:6,10 52:9 55:9 96:6 100:7 101:10 102:7 104:1 109:18 111:11 114:7 115:2 119:9,14 120:17 122:13 124:12 138:7 147:20 149:15 152:10 156:11 184:20 188:1 191:4 294:12 familiarizing 204:10 family 9:21 10:9,10,15 11:17 53:6 far 7:14 23:1 80:6 126:21 228:15 251:1 251:14,14 252:7 283:13 fashion 117:12

208:19 216:11,16 248:11 304:14,16,17 304:19.21 Fed 158:4 FEDER 1:21 27:13 180:10 federal 122:19 283:7 fee 275:20 Feed 194:4,9,19 195:3 .195:11.14 196:9.15 207:22 231:3 280:13 296:11.12.14 298:11 fee! 21:12 84:14 117:3.6 feels 301:12 304:9 Fellowship 5:17,18 felt 281:16 fictitious 3:21 55:21 Fifteen 84:6 fifth 43:8 165:10 187:18 208:21 216:11.13 266:9 figure 78:5 86:19 87:2 100:10 207:18 256:21 279:5.8 303:5 figuring 256:17 file 26:4 68:10 186:19 196:14 224:5 234:1,3 269:4,5,10 283:4 284:22 285:1,2,3 286:1,12 294:7 305:13,17 filed 3:17 48:21 68:8 69:4,5 127:10 155:11 155:12 156:1,6,9 172:9 180:13 185:15 196:18 204:15,18,22 205:12,14 222:3 224:1 233:8 234:4 245:4 256:2,8 269:17 275:5 281:13 282:20 282:21 286:13,16,19 286:20,22 287:4,9 294:17,22 files 25:19 38:3 133:17 158:14 263:14 filing 20:14 201:3 205:18 207:6 208:3 225:12 255:17 258:4 278:12,14,15 283:7 285:20 287:7,13 294:6 301:7 filings 206:5,10 257:14 257:15,16 278:1 296:13 299:7 301:16 Film 11:21 18:9 final 158:3 164:19

February 203:19

219:17 243:3 finally 140:1 273:19 Finance 49:20 finances 51:14 financial 249:19 find 55:18 72:13 110:19 223:14 227:8 285:19 286:12 finders 275:19 finding 33:16 34:8 50:7 87:9 findings 86:10,22 87:12 301:16 302:6,15 303:3 305:1.3 fine 159:9 162:6 209:2 fingertips 55:17 finish 8:14 75:5 finished 6:22 285:12 finishing 7:17 finite 32:5 firm 273:6 first 7:22 16:3 40:7 41:2 44:3 50:14 58:19 70:4 83:12 88:10 90:16 91:6 95:18 98:7 99:17 102:15 105:9 106:2 116:5,20 124:22 127:1 138:17 139:7 151:22 156:2 161:18 161:21 165:11.22 166:3 170:8 175:2 183:3,7,7 185:2 187:15 190:3 192:2 194:2 203:16 209:22 215:19 217:6 222:22 225:13 228:22 230:3 230:10 231:7 242:4 242:11 254:13 255:9 261:14 263:15 266:11 272:2 286:6 292:6 fit 161:4 five 88:3 100:21 190:13 193:7.11 251:2.3.16 252:7 292:17 300:17 flag 213:17 flight 180:22 flip 288:5 290:21 291:8 291:9 flip-flop 224:22 285:18 flip-flops 281:20,22 floor 2:21 221:18 focus 32:10 106:13 159:11 287:8 follow 172:6 173:2 179:10 181:8,8 282:14

follow-up 34:15 41:12 110:7,10 223:21 290:4 followed 283:4 following 29:10 43:5 66:22 115:16 173:6 174:15 217:5,7 238:9 243:9 244:14 296:16 follows 83:14 font 34:6 foreign 286:6 forfeited 233:21 forfeiture 283:21 284:6 Forgot 166:1 forgotten 302:2 form 34:20 35:3 54:11 60:16 77:13 95:3 131:17 141:12 237:9 237:9 239:9.16.16 241:4 242:22 243:3 244:5 257:3,4 258:19 formal 302:20 format 131:12,17 formats 131:16 formatting 131:18 former 280:3 286:19 forms 257:21,22,22 258:12.15 formula 256:14 forth 17:8 51:14 76:10 86:7,17 180:4 281:18 forward 121:15 217:8 224:12,17 forwarded 230:14 found 22:2 31:14 37:21 88:2 113:14 158:13 165:12,18 222:18 228:6 263:6 285:19 294:11 foundation 72:7 77:12 80:11,14 91:5 96:19 97:2 120:12 123:14 126:6 129:13 135:13 137:12,16 144:5 founded 143:17 154:15 four 18:12 38:17,17 40:18 90:7,9 93:3 100:21 148:8 165:10 190:13 266:9 292:17 296:1 fourth 187:18 266:10 267:15 268:1

Fox 9:21 10:2,9,10,15

11:17 12:1

Fred 15:4

free 84:14

Fried 273:4 Friedman 191:8.11 192:15 216:1.4 frightfully 87:8 front 12:15 24:9 250:13 289:15 291:6,7 292:20.21 304:13 frustrating 226:9 full 38:13 59:3 112:6 125:16 126:4.4.19 156:18 219:17 272:2 279:3 295:5 fully 251:13.22 **Funds** 1:6.10 further 12:5 26:14.16 27:15 36:19,21 41:10 42:17 80:10 92:20 110:7 116:13 193:1 210:18 258:6 269:20 276:5 291:5,7 297:13 298:15,16 299:17 future 196:12,16 G

G 262:12 Galaz 3:3,5 6:21 8:7 9:5 9:12,16 12:11 24:8,12 24:17 25:1,5,12 26:7 26:18 27:18 29:5 30:17 31:2 32:14 33:10,13 41:20 42:20 43:1 66:8,9 70:11 83:10,17 84:17 99:11 108:1 109:16 110:3 112:15 115:2 117:1 118:20 122:6,13 123:18 125:12 126:12 127:18 133:7 134:20 138:5 142:10 143:13 145:19 151:15 154:7 157:6 163:12 169:14 176:4.9 184:20 187:14 193:20 194:2 200:6 204:2 205:3 206:2 212:4 216:20 219:15 222:5 223:14 223:19 224:7,18 225:13 227:1 228:3 228:17,19 229:6 230:9 232:9 234:14 234:19 235:12 236:9 237:1,7 238:18,20 240:1,6,10,14 241:8 245:12,19 248:4,6 259:7 261:7,12 263:2 264:15,19,22 265:6

266:2 268:2,4 269:1 270:12 271:14,19 276:10,15 277:2 285:14 288:1,3,19 289:6 290:13 291:11 292:2 293:3 294:10 296:8,11 297:2,9,12 297:16 298:2,14,19 298:21 Galaz's 7:17 107:11 118:8 175:8 190:9 265:13 gallery 236:18 geese 126:20 general 23:9 255:19 generally 21:4 generated 237:19 239:17 249:18 generates 108:9 gentlemen 105:6 164:4 George 3:16 48:11 264:5 Georgia 53:21 57:17 gestures 176:4 getting 113:13 180:18 283:6 303:9 give 16:16 21:20 28:7 58:6 70:8 71:3 72:16 87:15 98:17 108:20 137:6 142:5 159:2 163:8 184:14 193:4 225:19 243:6 290:7 291:12 given 135:6 169:18 174:20 175:13 177:11 178:10 275:11 301:18 giving 81:7 142:20 265:6 Global 154:8,11,12 155:7,15,20 189:11 189:14 210:22 211:3 220:6 221:11 227:4,9 227:15,19 228:5,10 269:4,10,14,17 274:15,19 275:1,1,5 275:13 Globally 7:13 Gloria 51:3 74:11 go 20:20 21:22 22:15 31:11 32:8 37:8 44:9 44:12 45:3 51:16

52:16 67:14 72:13

76:19 78:12 83:5,6

164:6 167:16 171:1

89:17 99:10,16 105:3

172:1,5 199:16 212:7

213:21 232:7 238:20 248:2 267:21 268:10 270:9 271:22 279:9 279:18,18 283:4 289:8 291:2 292:16 294:3 296:13 303:21 God 5:17,18 51:13 goes 17:5 51:8 123:2 141:21 258:17 265:2 279:16 288:12 295:21 going 8:12,20 16:4 32:7 34:7,8 37:17 44:12 68:9 76:18 80:22 85:21.22 86:7 89:18 95:6 105:4.18 123:13 130:22 137:6 149:3 150:8 151:6.9 175:19 176:22 177:2.7 180:21 192:13 193:5 204:10 224:12.17 236:21 253:15 258:13 260:12 264:10 268:6 283:10 289:22 292:19 294:8 296:13 301:7 301:19 304:22 good 6:3 9:16 29:5,7 50:12 55:15 69:12,21 70:12,13,17 72:3 87:4 168:13,17 177:6 193:20,21 258:10 276:10,11 goodness 76:14 goofball 62:2 gospel 81:5 160:11,12 160:15,17 gotten 19:1 227:3 Gottfried 133:10 264:8 governing 156:12 Graham 3:16 4:21 5:20 5:21 47:19 118:22 119:12,13,18 120:7 120:18 121:1,7,13,14 261:9,22 262:7,12 263:12 grain 92:9 93:13 Grange 3:16 48:11,11 264:6 granted 36:11 82:2 granting 182:5,12,16 grantor 182:10 grayed 166:1 Great 4:3 57:3 172:10 Greg 29:5 **GREGORY** 2:19 ground 52:5 73:12,17

155:1 grounds 50:12 51:2 58:5 67:17,20 91:17 103:8 140:8 168:10 168:17 169:18 **Group** 2:2,3 17:5 83:12 149:18 230:5 244:11 244:12 groups 160:15 guess 10:18 11:12 39:1 43:4 78:4 114:15 120:1 149:5 165:22 235:4 302:20 H___ half 98:12 107:4,5 117:20 half-hour 98:9.11 halfway 196:11 203:20 hand 176:4 268:14 286:6 299:19 hand-marked 41:22 handed 135:4 handful 113:11 handle 90:1 285:17 286:2 handling 202:10 handwriting 27:1 293:18 handwritten 28:17 40:5 111:16 112:22 288:9 hang 86:20 115:6 199:15 happen 177:8 181:1 happened 27:8 187:2 187:14 225:16 happens 284:4 happy 137:10 **Harbor** 131:10 Harbour 5:4 72:20 73:2 73:8 74:13 76:9 130:8 130:9 269:8 Harbour's 74:6 hard 23:20 24:2 29:15 31:18 34:2 151:2 203:9 Harrington 2:9 48:1 56:4 63:16 273:5,7 head 6:6 280:12 301:22 heading 100:16 202:5 hear 41:16 177:16 178:3 210:21 249:20 249:20 heard 22:13 23:2

178:22 183:7 194:3

211:2 217:7 250:2

hearing 1:19 4:17 7:14 60:16,22 114:11 115:17 146:20 183:4 183:13 202:13 231:9 302:7,9 305:2 hearings 116:8 247:1 hearsay 50:6,6,15,17 67:18 72:1 78:15 168:1.13 175:11 177:6 heat 84:14 heavily 185:14 heels 192:3 help 8:16,18 helpful 136:18 159:16 302:17 305:11 helps 27:2 hereunder 258:7 hesitate 176:2,2 hey 246:20 higher 108:9 Hills 15:15 Hinn 3:21 55:22 231:9 231:10 266:14 267:6 267:8 271:10 274:14 history 139:19 142:20 160:5,9 161:11 162:3 hit 162:7,12 HL 260:12 holding 109:6 Holdings 57:4 **HOLMES** 2:19 Home 57:12,12 honest 302:9 Honor 6:19 7:4 8:15 9:3 9:10 12:8 22:20 25:14 26:16 28:10,13 29:2 36:20 41:11,14 42:22 44:2,18,21 45:10 46:2 50:1,14 52:8,22 53:15 53:20 54:2,6,17 55:8 57:13,16,21,22 58:4 58:19 60:9 63:1 65:6 65:12 66:1,5,7,11 69:2,11 70:2 71:8,14 71:19 72:1,5,11,18 73:16 74:1 75:4,6 76:16 77:8,10 78:15 78:22 79:3,9,14 81:18 82:9,12,18,22 83:2 84:16 85:19 86:13 87:18 88:10,18 89:11 89:20,21 90:6 91:12 95:4 97:14 99:1 101:18,21 102:4 103:4,6,14,20 104:14

104:16 105:2,7,14 106:1 107:6 109:3 110:22 111:7 112:8 112:11 113:19 114:2 114:12,14,20 116:14 117:9 118:1,18 121:19 122:5,7 123:4 124:1,4,10 125:4,7,8 126:2 127:7,13 128:21 129:4,12 131:7 132:7 133:7,18 133:20,22 134:5,6 135:10,12 136:7 137:9 138:1 139:6 140:16 141:3 142:8 142:10 143:12 144:4 145:9,19 146:14,16 147:2,17 148:12,15 149:13 150:5,7,10,13 151:15 153:5,19,22 154:6 155:3 156:20 157:9,14 158:7 160:3 162:15 163:1,12 168:2 169:5,7 170:4,6 171:11,19 172:17,20 173:1 176:1,10 178:8 178:16 179:8 180:17 181:3 192:22 193:2 193:10,19 198:5 216:17 219:9 221:17 223:4,9,17 232:2 234:12,16 235:5 236:13,20 238:14 247:8,9,16,22 258:13 258:20 260:20 262:19 264:10,19 265:5,11 268:6 270:5 271:12 275:22 276:4,5,13 284:1,3 287:18 289:22 291:8,18 292:4,13 297:14,21 298:10,17 299:9,15 300:3,22 301:6,13 302:18 303:16 304:10 304:16 305:5 **HONORABLE** 1:20,21 1:21 Honors 168:14,17 170:22 hope 83:8 hopefully 58:17 hour 8:19 98:11,13 299:22,22 300:3 hours 6:5,6,11,13,13

7:8,8 8:17

house 203:20

hundreds 202:11 206:8 214:9 i.e 13:10 idea 85:5 210:6 229:14 267:17 274:2,2 identical 53:3 202:3,4 identification 123:7,8 identified 11:8 24:20 26:6 32:6 33:4.9 38:16 110:13 156:19 195:5 200:1,7 207:19 258:4 277:9 identifies 85:8 146:10 identify 84:19 86:2 130:6 144:19,19 151:22 255:16 283:10 identifying 37:18 129:22 163:22 164:11 283:18 II 1:5,7,9,11 157:21 158:4 264:6 imagine 202:7 282:9 **IMDB** 57:11 immediately 115:22 192:3,7 225:22 268:16 299:19 Impe 197:12 198:16 199:4,13,17 impeach 148:19 implausible 22:2 31:14 important 74:10 160:22 302:21 importantly 70:22 175:12 impossible 151:6 impression 273:13 improper 32:4,6,10 33:4 80:13.14 89:13 89:14 105:8 inaccurate 22:6 115:18 inadmissable 141:11 inadmissible 81:12,13 88:20 89:4,5 105:20 105:20 107:18 Inca 18:10 incarcerated 185:12,17 203:17 incarceration 204:6 inclined 302:1

housekeeping 19:11

huge 213:17 303:21

191:18 192:15 207:10 233:19 234:7 241:14 284:6 included 13:12.16 31:1 112:20 113:5 114:15 116:6 132:5 140:7 141:9 153:3 185:16 198:19 205:4 233:4 254:21 255:3,4 258:11 283:20 includes 24:21 127:4 195:10 including 12:12,15 51:10.12 75:12 81:21 127:4 172:4,8 183:13 206:22 inclusion 243:8 inclusive 13:12 299:11 incomplete 73:18 100:14 164:14 incorporating 160:12 160:15 incorrect 194:17 199:21 250:11,14 incurred 252:9 incurring 253:2 Independence 1:17 independent 2:3 189:14 244:12 indicate 104:9 indicated 62:19 110:18 227:14 indicates 31:10 35:2 100:17 125:2 143:2 indicating 117:21 **indication** 11:9 16:3 indicators 226:7 indicia 129:16,18 individual 92:7 191:10 191:10 241:20 individuals 237:11 industry 214:6 infer 181:5 influenced 160:18 inform 21:6 189:2 259:16 260:2,10 286:16 296:12 297:17 information 19:3,5,7,21 20:4,12 22:5 32:22 34:6 37:15 51:6,9,12 81:7 106:15 136:17 160:22 177:19 183:19 188:18 200:3 206:4 210:6 212:8 258:9 informed 151:20 273:7 287:1 296:18 303:12

initial 192:1 216:6 285:10 initially 38:16 263:11 initials 130:20 initiated 150:2 innocently 227:7 input 21:22 inquire 84:12 171:20 inquired 70:16 inquiries 167:18 inquiry 85:3 170:13 171:9.11 177:10 248.2 inserted 161:21 insist 54:12 220:12 inspire 92:2,17 instance 18:9 69:21 206:9 250:19 267:10 277:17 278:11,13 280:16 instances 282:11 institution 142:21 instruct 217:1 instructed 167:1 191:9 instructing 86:22 instruction 192:14 Instructional 4:3 57:3 172:11 integrated 160:20 intend 25:11 26:12 160:19 intended 25:19 26:7 92:2,17 intent 92:4 intention 156:7 interest 19:18 20:6 interject 24:7 internal 186:14 International 18:12 Internet 122:18 139:2 interpret 62:21 interrupt 33:1 introduce 76:1 introducing 176:16 introduction 95:17 introductory 130:19 invite 236:16 invoked 268:18 involved 115:15,18 185:10,13 280:13 286:6 involvement 227:4 **IPG** 4:4,7,10,12,14,15 4:16 5:1,4,8 6:12 8:5 8:8 10:20 13:7 14:7 14:18,19 15:7,8,22

17:11,18,19 18:1,14 18:22 19:15 22:15,22 23:18 25:15 28:18 32:16 33:5 35:21 37:9 41:21 42:13 43:21 44:5,6,15 45:21 46:8 51:20 53:3.7.9 55:22 56:11.12 57:4 58:1 59:1.5.12 63:12.21 67:15 68:10.19 69:8 69:14.14 71:6.16.20 72:7 74:22 75:1,9,11 75:20 76:1 77:8 78:16 78:18,19,20 79:5,11 80:3 82:7,14,20 84:19 87:21 98:8 101:7,13 102:2 103:10.18 104:8 105:10,11,12 106:11 107:7 108:3 109:12 110:5.13 111:5.18.20 113:22 118:16,21 119:18 120:4,6,15,21 121:5,9 121:12 122:3 124:8 131:5 133:3 134:3,22 137:21 139:12 140:8 145:16 147:14 148:18 149:10 151:12 153:11 154:4,9,16,17 156:1,9 157:15 158:11 161:5 161:7,22 162:6 163:2 163:2 164:7,21 166:19 167:4 168:2 168:18,20 170:13,16 170:17,22 171:8 172:9 173:15,18 174:1,1,4,16,20 175:3 177:10,14 178:1,13 178:22 179:16,19 180:1 181:15,16,17 181:19 182:1,7,10 184:5 185:4,11,14,15 185:20 186:1,2,10,20 187:2 188:6,12,17 189:2,2,7,10 191:18 192:18 194:4,18 196:14,21 197:2 201:2 202:13,16 204:1,7,15 205:18 206:21 208:7,10 209:8,17,19,21 210:1 211:21 212:4 213:14 213:17 215:12,19 218:10 220:21 221:19 222:1,2,7,11 227:6 228:4 233:8 236:21

include 11:15 130:3,5

130:21 173:11 174:11 174:12 187:10 191:10

238:11 239:1 244:15 244:22 245:2,4,13 248:5 249:13,15 255:2,6 257:10 259:2 262:2,8,22 263:3,6,19 264:21 267:15 268:22 269:2 271:16 273:14 275:19,21 282:7 296:12 297:6,7 298:17 299:2,13 IPG's 3:10,11,12,13,14 3:15 4:1,5,9,9 13:3 28:14 32:19 40:12 43:3 44:14 45:4.20 46:6,16,22 47:8,18 49:12 56:8 60:4 63:2 65:15 99:19 120:17 139:13 163:21 164:9 164:10 174:15.22 175:15 179:12.21 180:5.11 181:4 183:20 196:3,8 197:11,19 203:3 229:17 232:18 234:20 235:9 246:9 258:12 261:8 265:1,2,4 266:1 266:18 271:7 298:22 **IPG-represented** 102:13 110:5,12 irrelevance 265:10 irrelevant 94:2 149:5 232:3 isolate 252:4 issue 45:7 52:2 74:16 76:4,5 81:1 105:19 111:21 120:3 135:17 170:13 173:19,19 177:6,14 178:8 194:6 195:1 213:6 216:6 220:2 226:2 231:15 246:8 247:5 250:6 253:19 273:20 274:5 274:6 277:21 292:7 issued 166:18 issues 73:5 138:20 191:20 221:9 302:22 issuing 215:7 it'll 186:22 item 13:7,20,21 18:12 38:16,17 40:16,17,18 165:20,22 items 239:8 IWV 3:12 46:17 79:20 106:16 116:1 203:3 239:3,11,15 241:5 243:13 259:3,16

J 2:9 Jack 197:11 198:16 199:4,13,17 Jackson 108:7,12 James 223:5 Jan 72:20 76:9 130:8,8 131:9 January 187:15 192:3,6 192:7 224:9 225:7 226:21 Jean 19:14,16 20:1 **JESSE** 1:21 Joe 264:7 266:11,12 267:4 268:20,21 270:4,10 272:19 273:11 274:13,19,22 275:4,15,20 Joe's 268:19 Joel 261:16,18 262:1,7 join 140:17 joint 5:16 197:19 205:7 205:8,11,11 206:22 207:10 222:3,20 229:17 230:5,8 232:18 233:1,5,9,10 234:4 245:4 255:2,3,9 255:17,21,22 256:8 282:20 286:18,21,21 Jov 295:6,16 Judd 4:11 5:8 67:16 68:1 69:8 70:14 149:18 150:3,17 151:17 Judd's 102:18 103:7 Judge 6:3,20 7:3,6,11 7:13 8:20 9:4,8,12 12:6 13:15 16:10,15 18:19 22:19 23:2 24:7 24:13,18 25:4,7,20 26:5,13 27:3,9,13,16 28:6,6,9,11,19 30:16 32:1 33:1,12 34:13 35:7 36:21 37:1 41:15 43:1,7,12,18 44:1,19 44:22 45:8,11,16 46:3 46:11,19 47:5,14 48:17 49:4,16 52:14 52:20 53:17 54:22 55:3,7,14,19 56:18 57:7,19 61:6,11,17 62:11,15 63:7 64:5,13 65:8,18 66:3,6,9 67:11 68:20 70:3 71:4 71:11,15,18,21 72:8

74:3 75:5 76:14,18

77:3,5,9,16,21 78:10 78:16,19 79:1,4,7,10 79:13 82:1,10,13,16 82:19 83:1.6 84:5.11 84:18 85:5 86:6,16 87:8.17.19 88:7.16 89:3,10,12,15 90:2,12 91:10 94:21 96:9 97:3 99:7,10 102:1 103:11 103:16 104:21 105:4 106:9 107:3,9,22 108:22 109:8 110:2 111:4 112:12 113:20 114:19,22 117:16,19 118:14 122:1,10 123:7,11,17 124:6 125:11.22 126:10 127:15 129:18 130:13 130:18 131:2 132:5,8 132:22 134:1,8,11,12 134:13,13,18 137:18 138:3 142:4 143:10 144:18 145:3,5,14 147:11 149:8 150:15 150:20 151:5,9 153:8 154:2,22 155:4 156:22 157:4,10,17 158:6,10,12 159:8,10 159:20 160:1 161:2,3 161:9,15,20 162:13 162:16,18 163:5,9 164:1,4 165:17 166:6 166:14,15 167:16 168:18 169:1,21 170:2,5 171:12,14 172:15 176:7,11,11 176:12 177:13,21 178:12,21 179:5,6,9 180:10,20 181:7 184:4,8,18 189:17,20 189:22 190:10,17,21 191:15 193:7,11,16 198:3 199:11,15 200:5,7 208:21 215:17 216:16 219:12 221:20 223:10,11,18 223:19 224:8 225:5 226:18 227:21 228:14 228:18,20 229:7,20 230:1 232:4,13 234:11,13,21 235:2,6 236:4,17,19 238:17 239:19 240:4,9,12 245:12 247:6,12,20 258:22 260:16.22 261:6 262:21 264:3

264:14 265:9.16.18 268:9 270:8 271:15 276:2,6,14 281:12 284:16,17 287:22 288:13,22 290:6,11 291:6,12,15,20 292:11,14,19 293:20 294:2 296:22 297:6 298:4,13,19 299:10 299:17 300:5,9,11,19 301:1 302:5 303:2,8 304:6,11,12,14,17,19 304:22 305:8,15,19 judge's 96:5 158:3 170:12 judged 144:8 judges 1:1,22 50:8,11 60:11,21 69:11 71:2 73:5 79:20 88:4 106:8 106:18 146:19 159:16 167:12 168:2,7 171:9 174:8,16 180:14 302:10 judging 301:21 judicial 67:21 180:15 July 16:19 21:3,11 29:10 30:6 121:13 155:11 171:14,17 177:3 179:17 185:18 186:5,7 196:6,18 204:18 205:2,12,14 205:22 222:4,10,12 222:13 224:2 226:22 282:18 283:5,20 286:22 293:8 296:13 296:14,16 297:8,18 297:19 jumps 142:13 June 157:21 181:5 <u>K</u>

keep 51:8,12 72:17 129:6 225:12 295:13 keeping 300:14 Kenneth 5:1,3 49:21 50:22 51:1,2,7,9,10 51:15,21 52:2 57:17 73:3,4,9 74:10,20 75:18,20 122:21,21 123:1,21 124:18 125:15 126:16 127:3 127:9,11 129:16,20 266:12 267:2,5,9 269:7,8,11,18 271:2 271:11,17 273:14 274:13

kept 300:14 KIMBERLY 2:20 kind 50:18 97:17 98:1 140:13 149:6 243:5 254:13 302:12 kinds 77:15 knew 175:2 250:22 know 6:7,10 16:2 17:6 17:18,19 19:15,20 26:10 31:15 33:15 38:19,21 39:2 42:10 55:17 59:20 65:3 66:11 67:6 78:2,3 87:13 93:22 94:1 95:5 95:18 97:21 100:11 105:5 115:7,7 117:5 124:12 126:21 128:6 128:10.17 135:21 136:16 144:6 153:14 154:10,11,13,15 155:10.11 156:15 172:22 178:17,18 186:17 188:14 211:6 211:11 212:8,9,10 213:11,13 217:2 220:5 224:19 226:5,7 226:8 228:8,16 234:15 236:7,10 239:6 240:2 241:14 242:9 245:13,17 247:12 250:8,17,19 251:17 262:2 267:7,8 268:13 269:16 274:4 274:7,9,10 275:17 285:21 287:14 294:6 295:4 300:6,7,8,12 301:12 302:11 knowledge 10:11 23:15 103:2 107:2 120:6,11 151:18 186:8 248:19 249:1 258:9 known 249:4 Knupp 2:20 Kowalski 7:22 190:16 215:21 216:19 218:13 218:22 219:4,6,19 221:12 224:10 227:11 Kowalski's 210:21 249:21

label 25:18 lack 50:20 61:10 144:5 laid 135:13 137:12,16 language 109:11 117:10 241:6 243:9

172:11

license 10:1 11:19

Life 197:12 198:16

licensed 10:2,6 12:1

large 113:14 launch 66:15 law 81:11 147:8 149:3 258:2,7 273:5 301:9 301:17 302:19 303:4 lawsuit 206:1 lay 80:10 82:2,6 88:20 89:4,6,7,8,12,13,14 91:3,4,15 92:18 96:19 105:9,19 123:14 129:12 137:17 layperson 81:13 Le 2:5 **leading** 191:13 learn 185:2 learning 51:16 leave 52:12 94:9 led 226:21 leeway 288:21 left 6:17 9:19 27:1 264:21 299:20 300:6 lefthand 161:7 165:12 legal 156:18 231:8 284:1 length 23:10 lengths 51:15 lengthy 77:11 83:7 104:17 let's 15:2 24:21 28:15 67:11 76:19 83:6 84:6 90:2 99:17 119:5 133:14 148:6 165:9,9 203:15 208:7 222:20 232:16,21 247:13 266:8 287:8 289:8 291:2 292:16 letter 4:18 15:5,9,14 17:4,18 20:22 21:1,5 21:11 24:10 35:2 40:3 40:9 77:17,22 78:3,8 99:21 119:11 128:4,6 128:10 187:15 190:2 192:1,6 207:12 208:4 215:20 216:7 224:9 225:6,7 226:10 228:8 228:9 297:8 letters 40:7 119:13 letting 67:6 leveled 100:6 liability 224:4 liable 222:18 224:14 Library 1:16 4:3 57:3

199:5,13,17 light 237:18 likewise 57:20 limit 81:19 limited 174:9 line 157:22,22 160:7,14 160:21 182:22 217:6 229:3 230:3,22 231:4 231:7 248:1 265:21 279:15 293:9 line-by-line 31:12 lines 34:5 87:7 159:17 link 139:17.18 158:15 161:14,17 162:3,4,12 links 138:16 Lisa 204:2 205:3 206:1 268:2.3.11.12 269:20 list 54:7 59:5,9,10 67:1 68:3 134:9 172:12 173:9 174:5 180:6 182:18 198:12 listed 126:4 164:20 170:14 173:10 listen 177:2 lists 22:9 165:13 literal 194:16 literally 38:5 70:15 100:15 214:8 232:6 little 7:11 19:11 44:9 117:7 131:12,17 140:7 157:19 161:10 203:15 204:11 221:3 235:21 254:16 288:20 live 120:4,21 143:6 lived 120:6 living 15:17 LLC 57:4 230:5 244:12 **LLP** 2:4,14,20 LM-403 1:15 locate 237:15 located 236:3,5 lodged 154:7 long 6:9 8:21 41:15 65:2 98:11,12 119:3 131:17 132:14,19 206:20 303:9 longer 98:12 look 13:5 18:3,8,12 20:19 21:4 24:8 31:6 31:12 33:19 36:12 40:4,14 43:7 78:1,13 83:17 94:19 98:7 101:3 102:6 103:22 109:16 111:9 114:4 117:2 119:7 122:6 124:11 127:18 131:8

133:8 136:2 138:6 139:16 140:20 142:11 145:20 147:9,18 149:14 152:8 153:13 161:6,7 163:13 179:14 187:7 190:12 194:1 196:11 197:15 199:22 207:17 208:7 209:13 211:9,15,15 211:17,20 212:8 216:9 222:20,21 226:12,19 228:21 229:2,5,9,16,19 230:2 232:21 236:21 238:7 244:3 246:18 248:4,8 250:6 261:14 264:7 265:19 266:8 267:18 267:20,22 271:21 280:21 297:4 looked 30:17 33:5 99:15 115:5,21 143:14 205:20 211:7 looking 7:14 18:13 107:4 138:15 142:22 170:15 180:13 181:12 187:14 214:13 235:21 277:13 291:4,13,16 293:1 297:9 looks 116:8 131:12 166:5 Los 2:5 losing 247:12 loss 254:13 279:13 lost 165:12,18 172:19 201:6,9,19 206:1 lot 21:2 192:8 304:3 lots 180:18 257:15,19 lower 27:1 106:12 **LUCY 2:19** lug 193:5 Lutzker 2:13,14,14 133:11 264:8 Lynch 2:10 180:12

M 1:20 M.D 153:2 ma'am 9:7 MacLEAN 2:9 3:3,6 7:1 8:15 9:4,7,10,15 12:5 16:7 22:17 27:20 35:15 41:12,17,19 42:17 44:1,2 45:3,10 45:12,19 46:6,12,16 46:22 47:8,17 48:4,10 48:20 49:7,19 50:14

52:22 53:20 54:6,20 55:2,8,20 56:7,22 57:10,15,20,21 58:19 61:13 63:1,10,20 64:8 64:15 65:5,12 66:1,5 67:4,9,13 68:6 69:2,7 70:6 71:9,12,13,18,19 71:22 72:1,10 73:16 74:1,5 75:8 76:15 77:7,10,17,20 78:9,14 78:18 79:2,8,14 81:9 81:18 82:11,17 87:18 87:19.20 88:8.10.18 89:8,11,14,20 90:6,13 95:4 96:11 97:8 98:6 101:20 103:6 104:16 104:22 105:2,6,7 106:1,11 107:6,12 108:2,3 109:1,11 111:2 112:10,14 113:18 114:14 118:1 120:9 121:21 123:4 124:3 125:6,19 126:2 127:13,17 128:21 129:11 131:20 132:7 132:10,14,18 133:20 135:12 137:9 139:6 142:5,7 143:4,21 144:4,12 145:2,4 146:16 148:14 150:7 150:11 153:7,21 154:18 157:14,18 158:7,11,13 159:1,14 159:22 160:3 161:6 161:10,16 162:1,14 162:15 163:1,7 167:10 168:1,22 169:4,9 171:18 172:3 172:17 173:1,14 177:17 178:7,16 179:4,8,10 180:11 181:3,9 187:11 190:8 191:13 193:2,10,17 193:19,22 198:5,9 199:13,17 200:10 209:1,6 214:21 215:18 216:17,21 219:5,13 220:8 221:22 222:6 223:3,8 228:21 229:11,16,22 230:2,20 232:5,11 234:9,12,15,20 235:4 235:9,13 236:13,18 236:20 237:2 238:7 238:19,21 241:3,9 247:8,16,21,22 248:7

257:20 258:17,20 259:1,9 260:9,17 261:5,7,13 262:18,22 263:4,22 264:4,17,19 265:6,10,11,19 266:3 268:10.11 269:3,19 270:9.10.17 271:10 271:16,20 275:19 276:3 297:16 298:1.9 298:15 299:8 300:2,8 300:10 301:5.13 303:16 304:9.18 MacLean's 72:18 99:6 223:21 Madison 1:16 mail 99:21 159:7 majority 49:20 128:13 making 11:5 20:14 21:18 31:10 146:11 160:9,11 176:4 181:18 182:7 222:14 245:9 255:11,17 296:20 297:17 man 93:14 management 204:7,9 204:13,14 mandate 3:13,14,15 41:6,8,9 46:7 47:1,9 47:18 218:1 235:9 261:8 262:10 263:6 263:16 Mangum 191:6 192:16 216:2,5 manner 61:21 104:18 Marc 191:6 216:2,5 March 304:14,15 Marian 15:18 154:14 185:8,17 203:22 206:1,14 Marion 227:13 242:2,9 262:4 264:20 272:5 Marion's 272:3,7 **MARK** 3:9 marked 26:17 27:2 28:11 83:18 102:7 103:22 105:1 109:17 111:10 114:5 119:8 122:6,14 124:11 131:8 134:8 138:6 142:11 145:20 147:18 149:14 152:9 163:13 164:1 187:7 190:18 235:2 marketed 96:15

material 73:10 140:14 243:5 290:3 materials 140:9 matter 1:4,8,18 50:7 84:8 86:18 155:13 157:11 176:17 177:1 183:21 193:13 219:18 240:8 247:17 268:14 278:4 305:21 MATTHEW 2:9 Maureen 4:16 107:10 111:20 113:3 242:19 243:3 259:19 McBride 19:15,16 20:1 McDougall 153:2 McNeely 33:15,17,20 mean 7:15 11:19 19:9 24:19 26:10 59:17,20 85:14 92:5 93:18 97:10 107:15 132:14 136:15 141:16 155:10 159:15 162:5 174:5 175:17 180:7,8 182:22 183:10 186:3 202:6 212:7 214:8 225:1 229:7-240:6 262:18 270:16,21 274:6 275:17 277:3 279:14 282:16,19 284:21 288:21 301:22 304:3 meaning 125:18 means 62:4,5 92:6 128:17 186:18 283:16 meant 15:22 78:16 108:1 203:13 205:11 213:9 243:13 Media 3:12,19 16:22 17:12 18:4,6,7,16 46:17 49:9,12 67:16 79:20 154:8,11,12 155:7,16,20 189:11 189:15 203:3 210:22 211:3 220:7 221:11 227:4,9,15,20 228:6 228:10 239:3,11,15 241:5 243:13 259:3 259:16 269:5,10,14 269:17 274:15,20 275:1,1,5,13 Media's 106:16 memangum@pbs.org 191:4 member 154:16

264:12 mentioned 153:2 231:18 294:5 merely 108:17 merged 10:15 merits 144:8 mess 121:4 method 186:15 283:3 methodology 22:10 165:6 214:3 Michael 140:7 mid-October 246:14 Millen 4:16 242:19 243:3 259:19 Millen's 111:20 113:3 115:21 mind 33:6 75:11 286:5 286:6,8 303:4 minds 281:18 mine 27:13 77:18,19,20 142:13 209:2 minimum 225:19 Ministeries 231:18 Ministries 3:22 5:3 49:21 51:1,8,10,11,15 51:21 52:3 53:4 55:22 73:4,5,9 74:20 75:18 75:21 123:21 124:18 127:3,9,11 129:20 231:9,10 266:13,14 266:16 267:3,5,9 269:7,8,12,18 271:18 273:15 274:14 Ministry 57:17 minor 130:2 303:21 Minority 51:13 75:3 minus 249:14 minute 172:15 180:20 193:9 278:21 minutes 6:12,14 84:6 157:2 176:3 193:4,8 193:12 235:8 247:14 300:15.17 304:8 miscalculated 208:5.6 misheard 40:20 misinterpreted 62:20 mislead 227:10 misleading 117:7 183:20 184:2 235:22 misled 175:15 misplaced 237:17 misread 296:18 misrepresented 221:15 missed 28:2 201:17 missing 78:11 100:20 198:14 199:2,7

memory 96:9 300:20

mention 80:9 86:12

Mary 195:20 297:8

mass 19:13 77:14

201:12 203:5.7 misstates 219:10,11 mistake 53:10 mistaken 166:17 misunderstanding 58:22 60:3 86:18 243:12 273:1,2 274:4 279:22 misunderstands 58:13 93:9 misunderstood 59:22 86:15 272:16 Mitchell 2:20 24:11 modified 234:5 modify 301:21 moment 72:2 276:4 Monday 1:14 37:2 money 187:3 219:8,14 220:18 221:19 252:5 monies 31:15 188:9 192:4,5 220:21 221:5 221:9 273:8 month 141:17 142:1 months 50:5 205:22 302:13 Morgan 16:22 17:15,17 18:17 morning 6:3 9:16,17 29:5,7 37:2 84:6 299:19 305:20 mornings 98:10 mortgages 13:8 moshita@bigplanet.... 264:9 motion 2:17 31:21 170:21 179:21 180:12 181:11 195:15 284:16 motions 30:1 mouthing 176:4 move 7:20 16:7 38:18 44:8,16 45:12,21 46:8 46:17 47:2,10,20 48:14,22 49:21 54:1 54:14 56:1 57:5,12 58:2 63:3 64:1,19 65:13 66:20 101:19 103:5 104:15 111:1 112:9 114:13 121:20 123:6,16 124:1 125:5 132:16 133:19 135:11 139:4 144:3 146:15 148:13 150:5 153:5 153:19 173:14,17 184:19 260:20 283:22 299:4 moved 26:19 121:14

moving 52:22 53:11,12 117:2 173:22 MPAA 6:11 7:7 8:19 16:13,14 18:5 20:2,22 21:5 22:16 23:6.18 25:9 29:6.14 30:2.3 30:18 37:7 38:7 39:8 39:16 42:6,14 43:4,5 52:18 55:4 60:9 84:1 84:21 85:7 87:11 100:2 115:13 137:8 194:1 195:9 197:8,15 228:21 229:1,22 236:1 300:17 MPAA's 11:6 21:1 30:8 30:12 32:19 287:20 **MPAAs** 197:8 multifaceted 141:10 multiple 59:14 183:22 206:17 274:7 multitude 182:19 music 81:5 91:7,8 93:8

127:5 160:12,15,17

. .N __ **N** 2:10,11,21 N.W 2:21 **NAB** 5:14,16 name 3:21 49:9 54:7 55:22 125:15 126:16 156:18 166:21 182:5 227:14 231:8 233:19 named 191:10 names 49:10 51:5 123:2 156:13 175:16 182:12 199:11 278:9 National 4:3 57:3 nationalities 160:18 nature 81:4 90:11 106:14 119:17 138:11 255:19 nearly 225:3 necessarily 12:2 85:6 186:6,16 225:14 255:16,18 294:10 necessary 34:10 54:16 62:17 84:15 87:2 176:8 need 56:10 110:9 123:15 172:22 177:16 180:3 211:16 243:5 285:21 288:21 needed 35:6 39:8 246:7

289:17

needs 170:22 239:17

net 249:17 network 143:18.19 145:1 295:10.17 never 20:17 26:19 97:20 100:4 155:12 188:15 204:8 218:12 220:17 228:4 233:8 239:3.21 249:11 275:11 283:19 303:4 Nevertheless 54:14 137:11 new 8:22 118:5 227:15 230:18 269:20 NGUYEN 2:20 Nigro 15:4,20,20 nine 6:6 100:21 non 139:13 non-compete 155:2 non-competition 265:14 non-devotional 152:22 non-issue 272:17 non-responsive 16:8 38:19 noon 157:4 Nos 65:20 299:14 note 60:11 80:9 104:22 138:14 148:5 247:10 299:6 noted 106:9 118:6 270:9 292:12,14 notes 27:11 83:18 111:16 112:22 113:1 notice 1:19 5:11,12,14 5:15,16,17,18,19,20 5:22 130:19 156:21 167:12 169:18 170:9 174:20 175:13,13 178:10 180:15,15 195:15 207:12,15,20 217:11,22 218:2,6 225:21 231:7 233:8 234:1,3 257:7 296:17 noticed 230:10 231:17 notices 230:14,16 282:12 noticing 242:14 notification 297:10 notifying 215:11 notion 69:20 notwithstanding 11:16

268:15

number 16:16 40:5,6 44:3 50:8 72:9 94:10 94:14 105:12 125:1 127:20 128:3 129:22 168:19,20 172:7 173:2 179:11,12 209:15 numbered 299:2 numbers 6:16 23:20 28:18 41:22 66:22 67:14 127:22 NW 2:11,14

0_

O 2:19 o'clock 156:21 157:5 oath 9:13 66:10 73:8 object 50:1 54:5 55:6 57:13 58:4 61:3 67:17 67:19 68:19 71:19 77:11 78:14 79:14 80:12 90:15.21 91:5 95:6 99:2 103:6 104:17 105:10 106:2 107:13 108:5,11 117:8 120:9,11 123:4 126:3 136:20 139:15 139:21 140:12 154:18 167:10 178:9 208:6 215:15 258:14 260:12 264:11 268:7 270:5 271:13 284:15 290:1 293:17 objected 95:9 103:8 140:8 169:10,12 184:17 265:6 **objecting** 58:9 85:9 objection 16:7 22:17 43:14 44:11,17,20 45:14,15,22 46:1,10 46:15 47:4,12,13,21 47:22 49:2,3,14,15 50:5,13 52:11,19 53:14,16 54:2 55:5 56:2,3,16 57:6 58:18 60:10 61:9 63:5,6 64:3,4,11,12 65:1,7 65:16,17 71:4,13,22 72:21,21 73:20 79:2,8 82:1,11,17 97:15 101:20,22 103:10 105:8 106:10 111:3 113:18 116:19 118:2 118:11 121:21 124:3 125:6,19 128:22 132:15,18,20 133:22

52:4

November 28:5 37:5

116:9,9,11,21 117:20

162:21 210:19 245:15

135:12 136:1 139:6 140:11,17 143:4,21 144:4,9 146:16 148:14 150:7 151:10 153:7,21 154:18,22 158:1,2,8,22 159:2 168:1,4 190:8 191:13 194:3 219:9,11 221:17 232:2 238:14 262:19 265:10,13,16 270:8 275:22 287:18 288:11.16 292:5 298:4 299:8 objectionable 171:6 objections 67:10 104:20,22 109:10 111:2 121:22 124:5 154:1 292:11 objectivity 143:15 obligated 20:3 obligation 206:21 222:14 224:4 226:3 281:16 obligations 120:22 Observe 160:9 observed 50:8 obtain 188:18 obtained 12:13 243:21 obviously 17:17 33:10 92:21 163:3 192:11 195:6 201:1 271:14 288:18 303:13 occasions 113:11 225:17 occur 16:3 230:11 occurred 85:14 136:22 206:7 214:12 274:8 occurring 195:7 204:12 occurs 284:6 October 158:4 210:13 210:16 odd 108:4 171:8 offer 50:19 52:6 72:6 73:20 87:20 88:5 141:18 144:7 148:20 219:2 offered 13:3 27:3,10 43:13,14,16 59:3 67:5 67:8 74:18 77:14 78:8 81:1 89:1 93:1,12 95:5 105:21 106:6,17 106:22 108:16,17 116:15 140:5,6 143:1 143:5 147:5 165:5 168:3 178:9 190:18 218:13,14

offering 69:19 73:19 77:13 81:13,14 85:1,2 91:14,15 92:19 93:10 105:15 107:14,16 123:11 130:11 141:18 299:3 office 3:18 11:11 12:14 13:11 48:22 49:8,11 124:19 190:2 192:3 198:2 201:5.17.19 282:22 286:17 287:2 office's 198:1 official 100:18 130:19 167:12 180:15 oh 21:21 27:10 36:22 45:11 46:12 72:16 103:12 132:1 134:13 160:13 164:3 165:21 168:21 172:13 191:21 200:7 260:19,22 264:1,16 275:7 282:15 298:12 299:21 okay 10:14,18 11:1 14:2 14:13 15:2,19 17:1 23:4 25:22 26:13 27:12,16 28:9,19 29:17 30:6,15 34:13 35:13 36:12,17 39:13 40:2,8 41:17 43:18 46:12,14 48:5 55:19 62:11 64:13 65:8 66:6 67:9,13 74:1 77:7 82:1 86:14 88:8 89:15 89:18 90:5,12 91:10 94:18 99:10 101:1,4 107:22 108:22 110:16 114:6 116:2 118:14 123:17 142:14 145:3 145:22 151:8 155:4 160:4 161:2 162:16 163:15 164:15 165:8 166:14 167:16 170:2 172:5,19 173:1 179:5 189:1 190:22 194:14 196:5,14 197:9 199:10 205:14 208:9 208:13,20 209:1,5,13 209:22 211:20 212:14 215:1 220:15 228:20 234:14,19 235:6 237:1 240:9,12 248:6 254:3,18 255:1 264:3 265:18 278:17 279:21 288:3,7,14 289:2,8,10 289:14,16 291:2,11

291:20 292:3 293:3 299:18 300:9.19 301:1 305:18 Olaniran 2:19 3:4,7 6:22 7:4,7 17:7 27:17 28:4,10 29:1,2,4,6 34:14 36:19,22 37:1,2 37:3 38:18,20 41:10 85:19 86:12 118:9 175:22 176:1.8 221:17.21 276:7.9.12 276:15 277:5 283:22 284:9.21 285:15 287:12 288:1,4,8,20 289:1,2,7,17 290:4,7 290:9,12,14 291:7,14 291:18,22 292:3,9,16 292:21 293:4,14,21 293:22 294:4,5,15 296:7 300:21 301:3 omitted 112:17 201:2 omitting 202:7 onboard 280:16 281:6 once 232:14 285:3 295:19 302:8 one's 279:12,12 ones 28:17 32:10 42:1 169:2 206:13 213:13 256:16,19,21 269:22 278:18 293:15,16 online 11:9 13:18 36:3 123:20 124:17,21 128:19 open 28:20,22 122:10 192:11 **opened** 136:8 opening 8:17 93:18 299:22 300:4 operations 51:17 204:1 opinion 79:16,21,22 80:13,20 81:8,12,22 82:2,6,6 88:20,21,22 89:9,10,13,13,14 90:21 91:4 92:13,19 105:9,19,19 106:6,18 106:20 107:11,15,17 108:13,16 opinions 81:11 opponent 54:13 opportunity 85:9 89:22 136:16 183:8 290:7 opposed 282:17 294:14 opposite 301:14 opposition 174:4 179:21 180:11,16 181:4,5,10,11,13

oral 8:13 175:9 orally 297:1 order 21:3,17 29:11,13 30:6 34:16,18 40:14 42:12 60:21 80:15 82:3 96:5 99:6 105:13 115:16 122:20 139:14 151:21 165:4 166:18 167:11,13,15 168:14 168:16 170:12,22 171:9,13,17,19,20 174:16 176:20 177:4 177:15 178:2,13 179:17 184:11 252:5 252:15 301:16 302:3 ordered 34:11 80:3 146:19 172:21 179:16 179:19 302:20 orders 8:11 ordinary 225:8,14 organization 53:2 218:6 238:10 organizational 3:20 53:6 organizations 53:5 Organize 305:4 organizes 302:21 organizing 305:9 original 60:13 286:10 originally 143:17 144:22 originals 60:17 137:5 Originated 295:9 Originating 295:12,18 **Oshita** 15:18 154:15 185:8,17 204:1 206:1 206:14 221:15 227:13 242:2,9 264:20 265:7 265:15 268:8 272:5 Oshita's 262:4 265:3 275:2 Outdoorsman 33:14,17 33:20 Outreach 197:12 198:17 199:5,14,18 outside 217:14 218:4 235:8 261:2 268:13 295:3 overboard 105:18 overcoats 84:15 overcome 44:11 overruled 71:5 126:10 143:10 147:11 238:17 265:16,18 290:6 298:13

oversight 42:16,21

239:12 overview 7:16 owed 272:9,16,17 owned 14:21 owner 11:8 36:9,15 122:22 200:20 owners 258:3 ownership 11:14 35:16 35:19 36:6 74:12,20 75:19 owns 52:3 73:9

Р

P 2:13 P-A 130:20 P-R-O-C-E-E-D-I-N-G-S p.m 157:12,13 193:14 193:15 247:18,19 305:22 P72 245:13 P85 163:2 PA 128:17,17 package 202:5 packaging 97:18,20 page 5:6 11:3 40:17 106:12 107:4 111:22 112:15.16 116:20 117:20,21 118:4 123:21 125:1 127:1 138:12 141:9 144:6 158:4.14.20 161:19 162:2 165:10.11 166:4 172:19 179:9 179:10 181:12 187:15 187:17 190:3.13 197:16 199:22 200:4 208:10,13,21 209:3 215:20 216:11,14,14 231:7 232:21 248:9 271:22 288:5 289:18 290:21 291:3,9,9,12 293:2 pages 18:14 22:1 23:13 24:1,1 29:15 31:13 35:11 100:19,20 112:5,7 113:9 117:17 117:21 138:13 139:1 139:16 140:8,10 147:21 148:4,6 157:21 162:2,13,17 165:8 187:10,18 197:16 198:14,15,19 199:2,7 201:1,2,18

paid 209:17 210:22 214:16 220:21 273:17 295:2 painful 44:9 Painting 295:6,16 pairing 4:4 34:12 58:1 panel 50:2 52:9 83:3 104:18 108:19 137:6 Pants 15:3,4,6 16:4 paper 43:9 papers 55:18 117:14 201:6,19 Paradigm 3:14 47:9 165:14 paragraph 24:14,21 25:9 26:6 70:7,7,20 88:11,12,14,15 90:6,8 90:15,18,19 91:3,6,13 91:17 93:3,16 98:7 161:12,13,13,17,18 169:10,12 182:1 237:13 238:8 242:14 243:8 266:10 267:15 268:1,8,12 269:19 271:21 paragraphs 169:16 Paramount 19:15,16,17 20:1,3,5,11 part 20:13 28:3,14 53:9 61:17,20 64:21 73:19 118:5 128:19 145:10 161:13 171:16 187:12 233:5 239:12 242:6 242:22 251:8 277:14 284:14 288:9 participate 155:12 233:12.20 234:7 283:9,18 284:7 particular 37:19 52:16 54:9 62:10 67:19 69:21 93:22 119:21 141:1 151:19 159:11 165:6 181:17,18 182:2 200:15 212:2 214:12 226:19,20 239:13 247:4 251:12

254:12 255:22 274:20 294:13,21 particularly 70:19 76:1 108:4 129:2 139:18 288:9 parties 10:7 13:7 14:22 18:7 22:8 31:16 60:19 61:5 93:21 94:13

107:21 108:18 110:8

120:5 121:15 130:5

135:8 166:21 167:8 178:4 181:19 182:12 182:16 221:15 224:20 224:22 226:5 230:13 240:19 257:8 283:10 Partners 18:10 parts 117:1,1 party 6:7 135:18 182:5 207:21 225:3,20 227:17 283:17,18,19 284:6 303:2 passed 230:7 payment 209:15,22 210:10,13,16 212:5 212:15,18,22 213:4 213:16 214:17 220:12 payments 186:11 188:3 188:6.13 208:12 209:7 210:18 211:3 211:12.18 212:1 213:8,9 249:12,14 273:3 pays 275:19 **PBS** 186:8,13,14,21 187:1,5 191:7,8,11,18 192:4,12,18 213:16 214:3,15,18 215:4,7 215:10,16 218:8,11 218:19,20,21 219:8

249:14 251:2 252:4,6 252:8 253:5,8,8,13 254:12 256:12 257:1 294:20 295:3,7,8,10 295:17 296:2 PBS's 253:9 256:13 penalty 66:21 240:20 241:2 246:22 247:3 258:2,6 pending 276:20 280:9 people 17:6 51:12 160:18 percent 218:14,20

219:14 220:18 221:19

252:7 percentage 31:16 108:9 percentages 251:7 percipient 81:15 91:16 91:21,21 92:13 perfect 73:6

219:16,21 220:2,12

249:22 250:1,3,4,17

250:21 251:2,4,17

perfectly 137:10 performed 54:8 performing 92:8 130:20 period 119:5 188:19

203:22 perjury 66:21 240:21 241:2 246:22 247:3 Perlmutter 228:3 permissible 91:18 permit 303:19 permitted 76:13 perpetuation 72:20 person 70:18 105:17 personal 24:19 69:6 92:18 93:5 personally 24:19 54:11 73:11 217:3 236:9 perspective 219:22 persuasiveness 141:19 petition 155:12 233:20

234:6 283:8,18 284:7 petitions 233:11 Phase 1:5,7,9,11 157:21 158:3 277:16 281:1

phony 135:13 photographs 60:14 phrase 93:18 155:9 Pick 2:4 picks 148:10

phone 259:8

Picture 2:17 Pictures 3:14 47:10 165:14 piece 19:12 129:16

130:2 133:9 Pillsbury 2:10 Pittman 2:10

place 16:1 60:20 96:20 96:21 138:17 170:8 207:7,9 209:2

placeholder 156:1,4,5 Plains 4:3 57:3 172:10 plan 22:11 88:7 199:6,7 planning 180:9 play 287:13

played 287:17 please 6:4 83:17 84:11 101:3 102:6 103:22 109:16 111:9 114:4 118:20 119:17 122:6

118:20 119:17 122:6 124:11 131:8 133:8 138:3,5 142:11 143:12 145:20 147:17 149:13 151:16 152:8 153:13 163:9,13 176:6 187:7 190:11 193:16 235:8 247:20

261:3 288:2 297:4

plenty 87:14

202:7 203:8 289:18

291:3,5,13,14 292:18

Plovnick 2:19 25:14 26:1,9 27:5 28:13 44:20 45:14 46:1 47:4 47:13.22 49:3,15 52:18.21 53:16 55:4 56:3 60:9 61:8 63:6 64:4.12 65:17 72:11 77:18 97:14 99:1 101:22 111:3 116:15 116:18 117:18 121:22 124:5 132:20 136:1 136:11.15 140:16 144:9,13 154:1 301:4 304:16.20 Plovnick's 141:15 plus 8:17 250:17 point 7:18 8:5 11:12 21:19 22:11 24:3 31:4 42:5 81:9.10 85:18 86:2 96:10.18 98:6 99:9 110:1 147:8 150:22 156:16 176:9 185:12 186:10 204:9 204:12 207:16 220:19 266:13 267:17 270:22 273:10,11,19 281:4 282:10 284:22 303:19 points 179:4 269:20 poor 54:11 portal 121:2 portion 61:2 95:8 97:6 106:12 114:17 118:3 139:17 158:20 221:5 221:9 portions 82:3 164:10 168:8 position 73:6 75:8 96:3 106:21 120:15 139:21 183:21 190:7 positions 69:17 possession 173:16,18 173:21 174:17 241:21 241:22 possibilities 270:15 possibility 270:16 295:14,17 possible 302:11 possibly 73:3 237:17 post-dated 197:5 post-term 224:21 post-trial 62:7 301:8 potential 271:6 potentially 195:6 power 11:7,7,10 50:20 75:1 practical 34:9

pre-marked 66:19 precipitated 110:11 precise 106:18 precisely 106:6 precluded 154:17 predates 181:7,9 predecessor 273:5 predominantly 90:10 predominately 237:10 260:8 prefix 128:4.6.11 prefixes 128:13 preliminary 4:17 114:11 115:17 302:7 302:8 preoccupation 6:16 preparation 115:20 245:20 303:20 preparations 230:11 prepare 183:13 prepared 188:2 235:22 241:5 present 8:16 51:22 68:11.12 presentation 92:16 presented 50:21 60:16 117:7 presently 115:10 presumably 243:12 presume 15:17 18:17 31:18 112:1 214:18 214:22 216:8 250:11 250:14 266:7 presumed 239:11 presumption 75:10 206:18.18 pretty 100:22 117:17 prevent 51:16 previously 68:14 103:8 144:22 246:21 287:20 293:16 prima 11:13 35:18 primarily 92:2 primary 106:13 241:19 242:8 principal 154:14 principals 154:14 print 139:1 printed 14:14 124:22,22 125:13 140:9 141:16 158:15,21 162:11 163:2,3 printing 138:12

printout 122:18 123:9

126:1 142:18 144:6

148:2,10,11 209:11

printouts 5:1 10:22 13:11 14:16 140:7 prior 29:13 30:1 37:7,12 37:22 50:7,10 54:18 55:9 70:8,21 109:21 110:3 115:22 142:6 145:9 152:19 188:11 188:19 189:1,6,9,13 192:17 205:20 206:5 227:5 230:12 234:17 237:17 271:2,3 privileged 24:16 25:11 probably 71:9 157:2 217:4 problem 85:6 problems 75:2 procedural 61:21 proceed 109:1 159:5 247:6 248:1 276:13 proceeding 22:18 23:8 36:1.8 37:12.19 38:1 38:2,10 39:14,18,20 39:22 45:7 50:10 54:18 55:12 70:13 73:13,17 75:20 101:14 114:10 115:10 119:1 140:4 142:6 145:10 146:11,18,22 150:12 157:21 173:20 194:5,11,20,22 195:3 196:22 197:3,6 225:1 228:2,15 260:3 276:20 280:5,9 296:5 301:15 proceedings 4:2 18:22 20:14 22:7 42:3 50:4 53:9 56:9,15 60:8 64:17 76:10 104:6,7 112:3,6 113:10 122:20 129:3 148:4 155:8,10,13,17 156:12 166:19 172:10 173:8 181:21 195:8 231:13 245:21 246:3 246:6 252:1,10 253:3 253:4,20 260:11,15 267:13 269:17 281:6 294:12 process 30:1 produce 51:22 80:4.7 80:18 91:4 96:6 141:5 171:20 172:7 173:4 179:19 196:21 197:2 266:4

25:12,12,15 26:3,11 29:10 35:22 37:7,11 37:18,20,22 38:10,17 39:2,10,14,22 40:3,3 40:12,21,22 42:2,6,8 42:13,14 44:5,15 45:6 45:20 46:7 47:19 53:7 55:22 57:4 58:1 60:21 61:4 63:12 64:1 74:17 96:14 97:21 101:7,13 103:1 104:5 110:17 112:3 113:9 135:7 136:22 139:10 148:3 154:21 163:19 164:8 168:11 173:15 174:19 175:6 181:15 183:4 203:5 259:11,14 263:7 280:2 producer 122:22 160:10 **Producers** 2:3 244:12 produces 42:13 producing 95:18 113:12 141:4 product 24:15 25:10,17 **production** 60:4 67:16 80:16 95:19 112:2,18 285:16 **productions** 3:19 16:22 17:12 18:10 46:7 49:9 49:13 56:13 95:14 96:8 106:7 130:21 135:1,2 140:15 165:14 167:17 168:5 173:6,11 175:5 197:12 198:17 199:5 199:18,21 200:2,6,16 200:19 228:4 233:2,9 234:8 259:3 260:2 280:17,19 281:7,9 283:1,3,12 proffer 114:16 proffered 70:5 proffering 70:11,12 profit 94:1,7 profits 91:1 profusely 272:20 program 4:4 22:8,16 31:6,19 32:3 34:12 43:6 56:12,14 57:11 58:1 59:5,7,8,9,14,16 75:14 79:18 80:5,8,17 81:16,19 88:22 91:8 92:1,10,16 93:6 95:22 96:8,14 97:4,11 98:9 98:11 101:8 108:8,13

produced 4:4 15:7,9

21:11 23:1,19 25:3,5

129:1,3 138:11 146:12 150:11 151:20 151:20,22 152:4,5,21 160:6,19 165:4 173:7 182:2,4,8,11,18 183:1 183:21 194:12 200:20 209:12 228:12 252:10 255:13 276:18 277:7 277:10,17,18,21 278:9 294:13 295:6 program's 160:10 programming 5:6 74:21 81:5 90:17 92:1 93:17 96:4.16 97:1 108:10 108:12 130:6 135:2 135:15 137:13 138:20 139:12 147:5 183:16 186:7,21 188:18 256:5 278:4 280:17 280:18,19,20 281:2,7 281:10 295:8.9 programs 21:18 31:9 32:17 34:3 51:2 52:4 59:15 75:19 81:20,21 84:19,21 93:11 94:14 98:19 104:10 106:4 110:13 125:17 126:5 127:10 146:10,17 164:12,16 180:9 181:18 182:17 257:14 279:12 295:11 296:1 296:2 303:22 304:2 Promark 167:18 168:5 168:10 173:11 175:4 176:19 177:12 183:6 184:12 promotes 95:12 proper 30:19 54:20 properly 279:6 proposal 301:7 proposed 86:10,22 87:9 94:22 270:14 302:6,15 303:3 305:1 305:3 prorated 250:18 prospect 271:7 prospective 152:7 provide 60:5 74:12 76:4 85:15,21 94:12 99:17 138:2 159:3 177:19 221:13 241:5,10 provided 23:18 34:11 34:19 37:5 80:22 104:18 107:20 114:17 135:5 139:20 141:6 170:9 187:11 200:3

219:4 239:14,15 241:3 263:11 providing 107:19 217:11 provision 239:13 provisions 268:18 proviso 118:3 PTP 5:16 public 3:18 10:21 36:13 49:7.8 50:16 117:5 186:11 254:7 255:7 257:11 pulled 11:2 124:21 133:17 pure 184:17 purely 93:6 246:19 purported 46:16 47:1,9 47:18 57:1 95:20 97:17 purports 61:13 122:22 196:8 purpose 21:16 31:20 75:17 93:9,13 107:18 165:1 177:9,16 243:4 245:6 246:19 purposes 27:17 86:22 98:13 116:3 pursuant 1:19 181:16 206:19 pursue 283:6 285:9 286:3 pursued 245:22 pursuing 257:11 284:11 put 25:18 54:6,12,13 62:16,20 160:4,22 162:5 171:4 175:16 182:20,21 198:11 204:21 205:21 209:1 243:5 245:2 268:15 275:17 putting 8:6

puzzle 130:2

qualification 21:21 265:11, 219:17 qualifications 85:22 qualifier 120:10 qualify 38:11 199:20 question 13:15 15:6 16:8 17:11 20:8 23:2 28:20,22 30:16 32:15 37:9 40:19,20 41:2 120:3 1 265:11, ran 11:1 265:11, ra

50:9,22 51:19 62:5

73:10 74:10,19 75:17

76:2 84:18 85:20 89:3 94:4,5,21 97:3,9 114:21 120:2 121:3 123:14,16 125:10 126:12 131:21 150:14 150:16 162:19,20 171:13 184:7,10,15 184:16 215:2 221:18 223:13,21 228:22 242:15 245:13 252:19 252:20 254:11 258:21 279:3 284:4 286:10 287:21 293:18 295:21 298:2,7,8,10 questioned 12:16 26:16 26:18 54:4 293:19 questioning 25:8 27:19 35:7 223:22 questions 12:5 26:14 26:16 27:18 28:1,8 29:9 35:14 36:20 41:10 42:17 73:2 74:15 75:22 151:7 183:11 232:13 258:14 259:21 264:11 276:5 281:12 290:1,2,5,10 292:10 296:8 298:15 queued 302:12 quick 27:19 34:15 162:18 Quicken 209:12 249:19 quickly 99:17 113:14 259:2 quite 66:10 quote 225:10 quoted 160:9 R

R 1:21 264:5 races 160:18 raise 104:20,21 116:18 129:6 213:17 220:3 265:10 raised 15:6 75:3 109:10 120:3 146:20 214:14 265:11,12 274:7 ran 11:1 113:11 Rangers 11:7,7,10 rare 225:16 Rasenberger 195:20 Raul 3:3,5 66:8 83:10 107:11 272:9 re-ask 191:14 re-cross 27:19

re-transmitted 200:12 re-typing 24:5 read 40:7 117:10 159:16 210:11 262:2 262:14.15.17 270:16 reader 243:7 reading 172:13,18 179:6 readv 83:5 303:9 realize 213:6 realized 20:2 288:15 really 58:20 73:15 176:2 184:9 204:11 213:9 275:17 277:14 280:15 reason 18:4 21:12 22:4 33:21 50:21 58:9 60:4 61:3 62:2 73:15 75:16 75:17 77:2 107:13,20 111:21 129:6 143:13 148:8 176:13 229:4 230:6 246:17 303:17 reasonable 60:20 206:18 reasons 50:9 51:19 231:1 277:19 rebut 62:17 rebuttal 4:9,9 8:6,8 62:21 64:21 65:15 69:20 72:7 84:20 115:11,13,13 143:8 144:16 220:5,17 221:12 236:1 246:13 298:17 299:1 303:20 304:4,7 recall 7:21 15:3,8 16:9 19:1 27:8 34:4 35:17 50:2 84:17 100:2,4,21 128:18 133:11 152:14 153:2 167:1 207:4 211:7,14,18 237:21 243:4 248:22 259:18 259:19 260:5 274:18 274:20 281:19 282:7 286:15 287:5 **RECD** 3:9 receipt 99:21 192:4 receive 39:8 149:22 178:18 182:9 186:1 186:10 196:5 213:15 214:6,7 225:5 received 17:4,18 19:2,6 27:21 28:4 29:14,18

30:10 31:4,4,22 32:1

34:22 43:22 45:2,18

46:5,21 47:7,16 48:3

48:19 49:6,18 53:19 56:6,21 57:9 62:14 63:9,19 64:7 65:11,21 71:7,17 78:21 79:6,12 82:8,15,21 102:3 103:19 109:13 111:6 114:1 118:17 122:3 124:8 131:5 133:3 134:3 137:11.21 145:16 147:14 149:10 151:12 153:11 154:4 169:20 174:22 187:4 188:9 192:2.5 207:16 208:4 211:2 214:11 215:21 217:21 218:12 218:16 221:11 224:9 224:10,14 249:14 278:6 282:12 296:3 receives 256:17 receiving 50:7 202:11 213:18 215:12 218:2 220:16 recess 84:6 157:5,6 247:13 299:18 recision 15:5.9 recitation 160:5 recognize 142:12,14 146:1 163:16 recognized 14:20 recollection 16:1 23:21 27:6 110:6,10 112:19 133:21 153:4 188:22 211:8 236:2 260:7 reconvene 157:5 record 24:4 50:16 52:18 55:4 73:18,19 80:6 84:3,8,9 86:1,2 87:10 88:5 89:18 97:15 100:13 115:3 117:6 130:18 136:2 157:12 193:14 198:2,6 219:18 228:8,9 247:18 292:6 305:22 record's 163:5 recording 128:12 recordings 60:14 128:14 records 38:13 78:6 101:10,17 102:22 104:13 110:21 125:3 249:19 263:7,10,11 267:16 300:15 RECROSS 3:2 **RECROSS-EXAMINA...** 29:3 red 213:17

redaction 82:6 88:1.8 88:11.11.17 90:7.15 90:18,20 91:9 98:8 redactions 88:3,6,19 89:16 95:11 redirect 3:2 7:18 12:6.9 296:9 297:15 refer 19:5 59:13.14 108:5 117:14 140:1 148:19 182:14 191:2 reference 15:19 18:9 38:3 115:17 119:22 182:4 240:15 246:17 268:2,3 271:6 272:5 277:14 303:15 referenced 14:9,16,22 18:16 229:8 references 11:10 15:5 125:15,16 referred 121:3 145:9 156:3 181:15 referring 55:10 68:21 112:17 116:4 157:18 168:22 181:4 184:9 227:22 238:3,13 240:17 270:4,10,13 272:10,12,14,15,22 286:22 288:17 297:11 304:6 refers 41:7 94:1 239:6.8 240:1 242:4,13 reflect 88:20 152:20 182:11 188:3 reflected 10:20 reflects 188:8 refresh 96:9 211:8 refreshing 133:21 refused 27:4,10 74:15 74:16 219:19 221:12 Reg 158:4 regard 12:18 14:13 22:16 37:4 41:20 79:16 81:2 83:22 91:13 93:3,16 94:10 129:19 141:8 149:7 153:16 155:16 156:13 167:19 177:4,15 183:21 192:19 249:12 250:20 252:3 254:15 267:9 274:13 286:8 296:11 298:22 302:17 regarding 22:13,14 26:2 41:1 43:4 73:3 172:9 174:11 188:13 245:10 264:20

Regent 5:6 142:19

143:3.16 144:20 145:11 Register 283:7 registration 3:21 11:13 35:16.22 36:14 55:21 registrations 5:2 13:19 127:2 286:7 regular 67:20 214:10 regulation 257:17 regulations 60:11 156:12.17 relate 101:7 168:9 182:17 related 4:12 49:20 64:17 67:16 211:19 relates 205:21 228:22 265:2 relating 49:12 51:7 52:1 80:6 88:22 168:15 172:4 173:5,7 174:10 241:6 259:5 relation 203:1 relationship 9:20 10:9 11:17,18,21,22 169:19 170:10 174:2 174:6,21 175:18 176:18 178:10,20 179:2,3 180:2,8 183:2 185:6 224:16,17 relegate 93:7 relevance 22:17,21 90:22 94:5 114:16 115:9 125:6 127:8,12 128:22 129:13,15 139:15 140:17 144:5 144:10,11,17 146:17 146:22 147:3 150:8,9 151:2,3 155:1 190:8 265:17 relevant 23:3 26:22 51:18 58:21 94:6 139:21 147:6 149:1 207:15 274:9 reliability 50:9 relied 36:3 183:10 religious 90:17 92:17 93:5,17 94:8 108:9,14 147:9 religious/devotional 106:5 relocated 201:13 rely 30:11 148:18 183:9 relying 100:11 remain 9:12 66:9

327 remember 27:20 43:10 126:12 146:5 277:1 remind 168:2 187:8 reminder 187:13 remove 231:15 removing 282:17 renew 128:21 136:1 292:4 reopen 264:2 rep 37:5.6 repaid 272:8 repeat 42:19 repeatedly 267:12 replace 269:22 replacement 238:10,12 238:22 239:4,10 240:2,13,14 241:7 243:10 report 19:9 49:20 52:5 75:3 reported 170:17 reporter 41:16 42:18 represent 17:12 54:8 118:21 136:12 166:17 227:6 245:3 283:11 representation 3:12 4:2 4:8,13 13:4 16:5 28:5 39:9 40:13,18 41:3,21 46:17 54:15 57:1,2 63:21 102:14,17 113:5,7 130:4 136:4,4 137:1 195:15 196:9 219:6 240:11,18 241:1 243:15,16,19 243:22 244:4 245:2 245:16,20 246:8,9,21 266:18 271:7,17 representative 30:12 80:20 94:17 96:13 119:12 155:15 represented 4:12,14,15 19:22 30:2 101:8 104:9 143:20 164:12 185:4 186:20 205:19 228:1 257:18 representing 97:22 121:16 136:3 284:20 represents 20:2 222:8 222:11 reproduced 239:9 request 4:6 21:17 56:11 63:3 85:13 88:3,8,11 90:7,15,18,20 91:9 105:3 110:7,11

remainder 88:15 103:9

134:22 166:20 170:11

172:5,6,7,13,14,18

173:2 174:9 179:11
179:11,11,12,20
180:1 181:8 235:5
247:10 302:19,20
requested 88:17 89:16
98:8 102:16 171:11
172:21 173:4 259:12
266:6
requesting 217:8
requests 283:7
require 257:14,16
required 156:18 218:6
257:18 284:22
requires 257:17
requiring 96:5
research 10:20 13:7
256:9
reserve 52:14 55:3
57:20 76:19 103:12
109:7 142:4
resolve 278:13,18
resolved 237:16 279:2
281:3,5
resources 183:14
respect 7:5 35:21 40:2
40:12 41:3,13 53:21
56:10 57:17 67:19
69:2 72:3 74:5,12,19
75:10 77:13 79:18
80:1,16 87:21 90:14
90:14 95:11 103:9
128:16 140:3,14
148:15 157:14,19
158:8 169:9,11 178:7
183:5 201:7 231:8 244:6 253:7 256:12
244:6 253:7 256:12
257:2,11,20 266:19
287:7 294:1 303:20
respective 259:5
respond 68:20 85:22
91:11 149:22 150:1
167:18,22 177:18
188:21 264:18
responded 150:3
167:15 171:3 174:1
176:21 177:3 178:22
296:17
responding 84:22
168:14
responds 17:7
response 4:9,9 21:3,11
57:15,16 65:14 77:2
85:2 96:1 108:15
110:6,9 134:20
168:16 174:8,15
176:20 177:11 179:12
1 476,00 477,44 470,40

```
179:13,18,20,22
  180:5 181:8.10
  184:14 231:6 232:12
  246:12 281:11 284:1
 285:13 296:21 298:17
responses 4:5 63:2
  183:11 281:15
responsible 183:17
responsive 174:17
rest 52:17 141:11
  268:16 299:16
restricted 25:17,18
  105:1 109:22 122:8
  164:2 169:22 170:7
 234:22 235:3 236:15
 247:10 260:21 264:2
restriction 26:12
restrictions 164:20
Restructure 57:4
rests 66:2 298:17
result 170:17.18
results 54:10 57:11
  187:21 208:11 209:4
resume 84:17
resumed 157:12 193:14
 247:18
retain 51:4
retaining 249:15
retransmission 36:7
 229:18 230:6,8
 244:14
return 189:7 218:7,10
 218:19
returned 204:5 209:9
 219:14 220:9,11,17
 221:19 294:1
returning 220:13
review 73:6 96:12
 109:10 183:15
reviewed 205:1
revised 198:11
revisions 232:9,10
revive 222:17 226:17
 282:3
right 17:21 18:13 25:4
 28:16 33:2 36:10,15
 42:3,6,15 72:16 78:9
 83:5,20 89:18 97:7
 99:22 105:4 107:9
 125:12 134:6,13
 144:2 147:19 151:7
 165:13 169:21 170:6
 171:15 178:6 184:5
 194:21 196:10 197:13
 200:9,19 203:3,6
 204:16 205:5,13
                         Rovin's 94:18
```

```
209:10 210:2,5,14,19
  212:16 215:6 216:7
  216:19 217:15 218:9
  218:10 220:10,14,18
  222:4 224:7,18
  232:19 233:4,6,10
  235:19 239:7 244:1
  244:20 245:5 246:11
  249:16 253:12,21
  254:2,4,9 255:7 256:6
  256:14,18,22 257:2,5
  259:6,11,13 260:19
  261:4,11 262:8 263:1
  263:8 266:1 270:22
  275:2.21 279:4
  288:10 289:5.12
  290:11 300:5 302:5
  304:18 305:12
rights 11:11 33:18
  181:20 224:21 230:18
  233:20
Rodgers 242:21
Rogers 241:19
role 287:13,15,17
Roman 11:22
room 1:15 84:12 235:5
  235:7 261:1
Ross 184:20 185:3,7,15
  185:21 186:2,12
  187:3,16 188:4,6,12
  188:17,21 189:2,4,6,9
  189:10,15 190:6
  191:19 192:19 203:15
 204:16 205:4,19
 206:11,13,15,22
 207:1 208:12,18
 209:8,9,16 212:5,15
 212:19 213:14,16
 214:16 216:19 217:10
 217:13,22 218:22
 222:8,11,14 223:5
 224:2,12 225:7
 226:20 230:21 249:13
 253:11,16 254:6
 255:3,4,6,12 256:3,4
 257:5 281:13 294:16
 295:1,2,2,6,14,22
 296:1 297:17 298:11
Ross's 217:16
rough 6:10
roughly 24:1
rounding 6:5
Rovin 80:19 94:16
 96:10,11 97:12 98:4
```

royal 231:9 261:10 royalties 36:8 174:13 189:3 209:17 210:8 214:6,7,11 215:7,12 218:8,11 230:6,8 237:19 244:14 251:2 251:21 253:5,9,14 260:1 273:3 294:1 royalty 1:1,6,10,22 60:21 181:21 186:11 198:4,6 201:5,8,9 210:4 212:6,6 213:15 213:18 214:17 215:11 222:4 235:15 253:10 274:1.5.8.11.16 281:14 286:17 287:2 287:9 289:9 290:16 290:17 291:10 297:18 297:19 rule 50:17 89:18 171:6 ruled 79:20 87:22 168:7 rules 81:11 ruling 50:11 54:18 55:9 106:19 140:2,12 142:6 178:5 195:3 276:20 run 8:21 147:4 148:5,5 165:4 running 175:21 204:1 runs 113:14

S s 195:14 217:13 S-C-H-O-L-L-E 262:13 **Saban** 9:21 10:1,5,6,9 10:15 11:4 Saban's 11:16 safe 225:11 226:14 **sake** 159:12,14 sale 95:13 98:14 **Salem** 3:13 47:1 235:10 235:18 236:10 237:4 237:11 238:3 239:1,4 241:12 243:17 246:4 259:3 260:10 salient 76:2 salt 92:9 93:13 San 17:5 203:20 sanction 178:4 **sat** 176:3 satellite 1:10 3:10,11 5:9,19,22 23:22 29:21 44:15 45:5 99:20 100:3,14,18,20 152:16 197:13,19 199:1,1,6,7 202:2

135:14,19 136:18

205:8,12 212:6 222:3 232:18 233:5,10 235:14 244:13 251:21 252:2 253:12,13,17 254:4,16 255:3 256:3 256:6 261:10 274:16 278:2 289:21 290:16 290:17 291:4,10 293:2 294:17 satisfaction 13:18 Saunders 22:14 26:17 29:19 30:22 31:7 saw 30:18.20 32:3 97:12 198:13 201:13 saying 17:3 81:5 89:5 91:18 93:20 95:17 108:7 126:7 130:13 136:21 139:18 152:2 161:20 170:22 173:15 177:18 178:4.14 198:18 200:8 201:16 211:16 218:3 225:22 246:16,20 252:13,22 254:11 277:4 282:15 295:13 says 15:14 24:14 26:10 31:7,9 41:6 60:13 62:3 92:1,15 93:4,7 93:15,22 95:19 106:3 126:8,16 127:1 129:8 138:17 142:2,2 160:7 161:11,14 164:19 166:1 181:22 182:1 196:12 200:18 210:12 217:6 218:5 224:11 231:9 236:10 237:14 240:2 242:3 244:4,9 244:18 258:1 268:1 268:12 269:15,19 270:18 277:16 296:19 scanned 113:13 203:11 scanner 113:14 scanning 112:1 202:14 202:16,20,21,22 203:8,14 schedule 301:19,21 303:18 scheduled 299:21 300:2 Scholle 262:13,13 scope 258:16 260:13 264:12 265:8 276:1 287:19 288:16 290:3 screen 33:18 scripture 90:9 93:4 scroll 165:8

SD 1:10 SDC 3:10 5:5.7.8.9.10 5:11.12 6:13 8:6.9.9 8:16 42:9,14 44:13,13 45:1,3,17,19,21 46:4 46:13,20,22 47:6,8,10 47:15,17,20 48:2,4,10 48:14,18,20,22 49:5,7 49:17,19,21 53:1,13 53:18,20 55:20 56:5,7 56:20,22 57:8,10,22 58:2.11.13 62:13.18 63:1,3,8,10,18,20 64:1.6.8.15.19 65:10 65:13.15.20 66:1 68:2 68:6.21 72:6.8 73:19 74:7.14 76:10 78:4.14 81:21 84:2 100:6 101:7 104:6 105:18 111:15 112:2 115:11 115:12 120:3 131:12 137:7 140:3 143:1,7 143:20 148:4 149:2 152:6,15 153:18 154:8 155:22 163:13 173:3,3 181:14 184:10 196:22 197:2 197:10 220:4,17 221:11 222:2 232:16 232:22 234:9,18 235:9 246:13 247:9 247:10 259:11 260:17 261:5,7 263:7,22 264:5 265:20 266:4 269:16 280:1 298:18 299:1,2 300:15,16,17 **SDC's** 4:9,9 45:4 46:6 146:6 SE 1:17 search 3:19 35:6 43:9 49:8 53:21 54:9,10 57:11,18 124:17 187:20 208:11 209:3 searchable 34:20 35:3 searches 11:2 36:4,12 49:11 seated 6:4 84:11 193:16 247:20 second 11:3 24:13 25:8 84:5 88:14 90:7,8 115:6 148:11 165:19 187:17 191:3 199:15 199:22 237:15 248:8 270:2.19 271:22 288:5 290:21 291:8

secondarily 152:3 Secretary 53:21 section 28:16 116:5 118:5 164:21 sections 116:3 sector 84:13 secured 181:19 185:8 see 6:17 18:8 24:16 26:8 30:18,22 32:18 33:7,19 40:9 62:4,10 78:1 81:9 85:10 94:19 95:8 97:12 101:12 116:10 118:4 119:6 127:20.22 128:3 137:2 138:19 146:22 148:6 149:6 161:10 161:15 164:14 165:9 165:9.15.18 171:1 179:15 185:14 187:21 200:8 225:1 233:1 248:12.12 256:4 265:20 266:9 270:7 279:14 281:1 291:9 293:5,9 303:5 305:19 seeing 32:8 206:16 231:5 243:4 267:18 267:21 280:1 seek 80:10 seen 23:7 97:20 155:19 247:1 281:20,22 293:16 295:8 segments 98:20 sell 98:17,18 sells 95:14 **Senate** 49:19 51:13 75:3 send 20:3 187:3 217:1 219:7 242:18 sending 19:16 215:11 sense 117:11,11,13 205:9 sent 15:15,15 38:6 99:20 136:9 189:7 190:2 196:6 201:21 202:1,2 203:10,12 207:11 225:21 228:4 228:8 237:9 239:9 242:20 243:2 sentence 24:14 88:14 90:8,19,22 91:6 98:7 106:2 108:6,11 160:14 218:5 238:8 272:2.11 sentences 90:8 93:19 separate 13:13 33:13

53:11 116:8 119:20

240:8 279:19 separately 204:3,4 sequential 182:6 sequentially 148:5 serially 69:17 series 123:1 208:17 served 140:18 session 105:3 set 32:5 76:10 117:12 218:6 304:7 settlement 5:14,15 219:18 270:13,21 271:1 Settlements 5:11,12 settles 43:20 **Settling** 2:7 4:5 63:2 seven 91:3,6 94:10 238:8 243:8 seventh 166:4,6,7,8 shaded 166:4 shaking 301:22 shameful 272:8 shape 141:12 **Shaw** 2:10 shawls 84:15 sheet 166:7 232:6 289:19 sheets 289:20 **short** 7:18 36:16 39:1 228:11 245:7 274:10 296:6 shorter 157:3 should've 170:7 show 52:2 107:20 108:17 127:5,5,9 160:11 177:10 178:9 295:16 showed 209:18 showing 51:14 53:1,4 129:1 208:11 shown 40:17 85:7 139:17 shrinkwrap 137:2 side 27:1 58:6 161:7 166:3,6,8,15 180:6,6 sided 197:17 sides 197:17 258:18 sign 102:16 227:16 239:4 242:11,12 246:20 signatory 266:17,21 signature 229:3 262:3,8 263:2 289:4,5,11 290:22 291:13,14,17

292:7

292:1 293:2.9.12

signatures 229:5 292:5

292:8 signed 66:21 68:1 77:22 115:22 121:9 121:12 227:9,19 228:5 235:7 239:1,20 241:2,20 243:17 246:11 261:1,15 262:6.11.22 263:20 287:14 293:8 significance 146:12 152:17 significant 93:19 159:17 253:6 significantly 251:18 Silberberg 2:20 24:11 similar 14:14 229:5,9 298:1 simple 123:21 184:17 simply 34:10 61:20 81:4 84:1 91:15 100:3 105:16,17 107:1,19 135:16 140:9 143:1 143:15 176:19 178:8 214:17 237:16 240:19 247:2 258:19 286:2 Simultaneous 123:3 132:2 214:20 sin 285:10 sing 81:5 singing 92:8,11 single 20:13 59:13,14 245:9 Singsation 56:12 79:18 80:5,17 91:7 93:4,7 95:12 98:9 108:7 138:11,18 139:19 160:6 161:11 162:3 sir 42:18 situation 215:3 280:13 286:11,15 situations 201:10,11 six 7:8,8 8:17 90:15,18 90:19 93:16 203:20 205:22 237:13 289:18 289:20 292:17 sixth 190:13 **skipped** 113:16 skipping 181:22 slowly 67:1 small 34:6 187:20 snippets 116:22 sole 120:16 154:14 270:1.18 somebody 249:2 soon 302:10 sorry 16:15 28:2 37:8

42:18 43:5 45:4 46:12 47:3 67:4 75:6.7 77:7 78:18 107:3 108:1 121:3 134:10 144:13 160:13 164:3 165:17 166:16 172:1.13.18 180:21 189:17 194:13 199:3.16 205:10 208:8 210:3 215:1 223:1 225:11 232:1 235:20 242:6 254:13 261:18 264:16,16 267:20 276:12 278:14 279:2 281:21 282:15 285:12 288:13 289:15 297:19 298:12 299:21 305:5 sort 12:20 153:16 206:3 sorts 224:20 Soul 93:8 sound 128:12.14 sounds 88:7 289:9 source 206:4 space 66:13 126:15 speak 280:7 speaking 92:8,11,12 123:3 132:2 214:20 speaks 117:10 142:2 258:19 262:20 specific 104:19,22 109:10 170:11 254:10 specifically 12:18 19:14 37:19 111:18 114:10 164:10 165:9 169:10 181:14 186:2 186:3 224:11 275:18 281:2 287:8 295:5 specify 186:6 255:22 speculation 215:16 238:15 271:13 speeches 180:18 spend 183:14 spent 21:1 spirit 61:2 spite 42:12 spoke 76:22 **sponsoring** 44:5 54:3 54:19,21 57:14 58:5 58:10,14,15,18 61:10 61:22 62:9 67:18 69:22 sports 255:14 spreadsheet 4:4 21:1,6 21:10,19,20 22:5,13

24:22 25:2 29:9.10

30:11,12,17,21 31:3

32:2,16,19,21 33:3,16 35:1,10 57:22 148:3,9 173:10 175:17 180:7 181:15 187:20 208:10 231:22 SR 128:11 stable 250:16 stack 27:21 28:4 staff 49:20 51:13 stamp 23:20 28:17 40:5 101:13 110:18 229:7 stand 6:10 13:13 27:7 54:11,12,13,16 83:13 130:20 166:12 301:14 standpoint 62:8 194:16 stands 128:7,11,18 130:14 start 44:13 121:4 224:21 229:17 266:9 286:13 started 138:12 204:9 211:14 283:6 302:16 **starting** 88:12,13 90:9 91:6 118:4 267:14 272:2 starts 16:20 112:16 148:7 165:18 state 53:21 57:18 245:2 267:14 stated 231:2 **statement** 4:1 5:7,9,10 8:18 10:12 56:8 64:22 84:20 92:3 93:6 107:13 108:21 115:12 115:13,14 143:8 144:16 146:7 152:15 163:22 164:11 183:20 220:5,17 221:12 statements 164:9 236:1 246:13 258:7 278:16 280:22 301:8 303:20 304:4,7 **States** 286:8 295:15 stating 73:8 81:4 107:1 Station 295:19 **Stations** 295:12 status 109:4 statute 285:6,11 staying 245:13 step 43:2 144:3 298:20 Stephen 262:12 STERNBERG 2:13 Steve 191:7 192:15 Steven 216:1,4 stick 150:8 stipulate 48:13 56:11

68:4 223:5 299:3 stipulated 8:4,10 48:16 173:13 stipulates 63:13 stipulation 44:6 stop 25:7 stopped 288:14 story 285:8 stream 16:19 17:9 streams 16:21 Street 2:11,14,21 Strickler 1:21 24:7,13 24:18 25:4,7,20 26:5 26:13 30:16 32:1 33:1 33:12 34:13 35:7 61:11,17 68:20 72:8 75:5 84:18 85:5 86:6 86:16 88:16 89:3.10 89:12 94:21 96:9 97:3 129:18 130:13 134:12 134:14 159:10.20 160:1 161:3.9.15.20 162:18 163:5 166:15 171:14 176:11,12 177:13,21 178:12 179:6,9 199:11,15 200:5 208:21 216:16 223:11,19 224:8 225:5 226:18 227:21 228:14,18,20 229:20 230:1 234:11,13,21 236:4,19 239:19 240:4,9,12 245:12 247:6 281:12 284:17 291:12,15,20 292:19 296:22 297:6 304:12 304:19 Strickler's 13:15 232:13 strike 16:8 21:10 38:18 101:2 123:6 133:14 134:20 155:21 165:10 257:5,6 283:22 287:3 strong 50:21 structure 3:20 53:6,7 stuff 93:15 243:1 subject 82:6 88:1 115:19.20 152:17 169:16 236:11 268:14 269:22 294:3 subjects 15:2 submit 52:11 71:1 73:5 73:13 85:17 92:14 127:7 144:15 303:3 submitted 68:7,15 69:18 102:13 122:19 155:7 156:13 222:2

223:7 225:2 240:22 242:16 245:19 253:8 253:8,10,16 255:2 259:2 subpoena 50:20 75:1 subsequent 216:5 285:19 subsequently 156:8 263:12 subsidiary 281:7 Subsidy 2:2 17:4 83:12 149:18 230:5 244:11 substance 102:18 substantial 75:16.17 substantially 244:5 substantiate 40:12 substitute 195:16 298:10 succeeded 20:11 successor 19:18 20:5 suggest 11:20 168:12 182:15 226:11 suggested 88:5 suggesting 36:5 **Suite 2:15** summarizes 13:6 181:17 summary 60:12,17 61:14,18,20 182:4,11 182:14 **Sunday** 98:10 superior 154:9 supplier 7:19 56:14 suppliers 43:6 59:8,9 59:17 152:5 194:12 228:12 252:11 255:13 276:18 277:8,10,18 295:11 support 68:22 144:16 suppose 122:8 supposed 300:14 sure 6:15 8:20 10:10,12 10:17 13:16 38:12 39:10 42:16,20 58:20 67:21 84:3 97:9 120:13 155:10 176:13 178:21 189:16,18,19 196:7 198:20 202:22 205:1.20 211:3 212:1 212:11 217:19 235:1 252:18 254:20 277:3 280:5 286:7,9 305:14 surplusage 78:7 surprise 39:21 190:9 201:15 250:7

surround 228:7 suspect 113:10 141:11 suspicion 214:14 sustained 16:10 18:19 22:19 99:7 123:8 140:11 155:1 184:18 190:10 191:15 215:17 219:12 221:20 232:4 260:16 262:21 271:15 276:2 287:22 294:2 SUZANNE 1:20 Sweet 57:12

Switching 15:2 sworn 83:13 synopses 106:16

T.M.I 37:15 tab 148:10,11 table 3:1 251:21 tabs 148:8 tactical 183:12 take 20:19 24:21 43:7 54:10.16 66:13 69:17 83:17 84:6 89:15 92:9 92:20 93:13 94:8 101:3 102:6 103:22 109:16 111:9 114:4 118:20 119:7 122:6 124:11 127:18 131:8 133:8 135:16 136:3 138:5 139:16 141:14 142:11 145:20 147:17 149:13 152:8 153:13 157:4 161:6 163:13 167:12 175:8 176:22 179:14 180:14 190:11 193:3,7,8,11 194:1 197:15 198:17 204:6 208:7 209:13 218:14 219:7 222:20 228:21 229:2 230:11,16 232:16,21 238:7 239:13 244:3 247:13 248:4,8 251:3 256:13 261:14 264:6 266:8 271:5,21 289:21 297:4 300:21 301:22 303:10 taken 12:20 75:8 170:8

talk 15:2 52:15 67:11 87:5 203:15 240:4

talking 25:2 35:21 77:6

169:3 277:12 304:1

143:8 168:19,21

259:8

talks 239:21 task 303:21 teapot 175:22 technical 62:8 technically 227:19 264:14 television 81:16,19,20 95:2 97:4 123:1 130:21 167:18 168:6 173:7,12 175:4 tell 36:18 37:13 87:12 101:5 102:7 104:1 111:10,17 138:7,14 152:9 153:14 154:10 171:10 176:20 189:10 272:19 281:17 293:15 300:12 tells 66:15 176:21 182:20 temperature 84:12 tempest 175:22 ten 8:9 100:19,21 157:2 299:1 300:18 301:2 tends 127:9 tenets 92:2,17 term 206:20 207:13 217:14,14 218:4 225:20 268:13 terminable 268:14 terminate 196:8 268:21 terminated 217:10 225:10 269:2 281:17 terminating 207:12,13 termination 207:11,12 207:15,20 217:22 218:3 224:16 225:6 225:21 226:21 227:20 228:4 230:15,16 257:7 268:17 271:6,7 296:17 terminations 225:15 282:12 terms 23:13 120:5 terrible 292:22 terrific 94:3 testified 9:22 10:19 12:11 83:14 94:16 96:11 100:1.9 185:9 208:11 245:21 256:13 264:20 265:22 276:16 276:17 288:9 289:3

testimonials 93:5 testimony 4:8,17 10:13 22:12 29:20 30:22 31:1.7 39:11 43:4 64:16.19 65:2.6 72:20 72:22 73:1 74:6 79:16 79:21 80:1,6,13 88:20 88:21 89:5,6,7 93:12 94:18 95:9 99:4 105:9 105:15 112:4 113:4 114:9,15,17 117:22 130:9 140:6,6 143:2,5 143:7,7 144:16,19,21 145:10 147:6 148:16 149:4 151:3 152:14 157:7,19 176:14 177:3,8 198:21 201:2 201:4 210:22 212:14 236:6 249:21 265:13 270:6 288:12,17 Texas 15:17 203:21 text 107:5 128:8,14 thank 7:3 8:22 9:3,10 12:8 26:13,15 28:10 28:19 29:2 34:13 41:11 43:1 46:13 52:20 55:7,19 57:19 61:6 62:11 64:15 70:4 71:8 77:3 78:22 82:9 82:22 83:2 87:17 89:20 91:10 102:4 103:20 105:2,5 107:12,22 108:22 109:1,14 110:2 111:7 114:2 118:14,18 120:21 121:18 124:10 131:7 133:7 134:5 138:1,5 142:7,10 143:12 145:19 147:17 149:13 150:4 151:15 152:8 153:8 154:6 155:14 157:9,10 158:6 159:8 160:3 163:12 164:4 166:14 176:7 184:19 189:22 190:22 191:15 192:22 193:19 198:5 209:1 221:21 228:18 230:1 234:13 236:17,20 247:8,16,22 248:14 258:22 261:6,20,22 264:3 276:6 291:7,19 291:21 292:13 296:8 298:21 299:15 305:20 Thanksgiving 37:14

testify 69:13 96:10

212:21 213:3

212:4

125:9 169:15 175:9

testifying 64:17 99:2

surprised 17:19 190:6

38:5 42:1

themes 81:6,6
theoretically 58:17
255:12 theory 13:3 129:15
thereon 33:20
they'd 148:19
thing 19:11 44:3 60:6,6 61:4,5 66:16 85:15
90:3 97:12 98:2 117:5
131:19 206:6,7 207:9 207:14 225:3,4
226:14 230:3 265:6
things 8:11 30:18 51:10
52:11 109:6 113:15 117:3 14 126:20
117:3,14 126:20 130:1,12 141:2
174:14 218:13 223:9 227:2 247:1 248:3
think 7:7,15 8:2 9:22
15:16,22 18:15 19:13 19:18 24:10 28:14
32:20 33:21 34:21
35:18 36:11 37:11
40:6,19 42:11 43:6 46:9 50:3,6 54:11,20
58:7,22,22 60:2 61:1
61:4 62:4,17,18,19 65:3 70:19 71:9,11
76:22 77:8 78:16
80:19,20 83:7 85:11 85:17,21 86:12 91:14
91:16 92:3,12 94:6,13
94:15,17,18 95:8
98:11 99:11 100:1,21 101:2 102:15,16
105:12,18 111:15,21
111:21 112:19,20
113:16 116:7,21 117:12 121:11 123:15
129:4,11,14 130:7,8,9
131:18 137:5,16 141:10,20 151:5
153:1,15 160:21
162:1,6 164:13 166:10 168:17 171:8
187:12 192:17 194:6
194:16 195:1 198:13
206:8 208:14,17 210:10 213:7 215:15
219:20,22 220:19
226:12 228:11,12 232:12 234:22 236:15
241:16 248:21 250:7
250:16,21 252:7,12 253:13 254:10 255:8
258:15 260:6 261:17

```
263:13 265:8.12
  266:6.13.14.21 267:2
  269:15 270:13 271:13
  272:18 276:16 278:19
  280:14 281:4.11
  282:2 283:12 284:7
  284:12 285:14 286:20
  288:8 294:2 297:2
  298:14 300:8.13
  302:15.21 303:10.16
  304:20 305:10
thinks 94:7
third 13:7 14:21 90:8
  108:5 111:22 112:15
  164:17 187:18 203:7
thought 11:5 30:19
  31:21 32:10 34:10
  40:20 43:15 84:5 86:7
  88:4 125:22 153:2
  227:17 229:6 240:21
  241:18 272:16 280:16
  281:5 294:17 298:6
thousands 32:9 214:9
three 15:16 30:7 34:5
  40:7 45:11 88:11
  91:13,17 98:7 104:16
  104:19 112:5,6 113:9
  116:8 119:20 128:16
  162:15,17 165:10
  182:6,13 190:13
  199:2,4,7 203:7
  206:10 225:20 226:2
  226:3 266:9 288:17
  290:9 291:2,5 292:17
  300:15,17
three-hole 43:9
three-page 4:18 77:17
 78:3,8
three-year 119:5
threw 13:14
throw 84:14
thrown 37:16 237:18
Thursday 6:18
tie 288:22 290:7
ties 152:19 254:14
time 7:5,11 21:2 22:12
  24:3 28:7,8 34:22
  35:5 38:14 42:9 44:9
  52:13 53:10 55:15
 60:20 67:10 70:22
 73:1,18 83:4 88:9
 113:6 129:11 148:21
 156:1 164:19 172:22
 175:2,21 183:3,7,8,9
  185:11,13,14 188:19
```

```
189:1 190:5 201:22
  202:1.2 203:22
  204:12 224:19 245:4
  248:3 256:2.7.7
  262:11 277:22 278:1
  282:7 294:7 299:20
  301:20 303:18
times 162:22 163:6
  274:7
timing 273:3,10,20
title 30:8,13 36:1 57:11
  59:13,15 97:19
  125:16 126:4,4,19
  165:11 181:18,20
  182:2,3,5,8,9,11
  230:3 279:15,15
titled 33:11 241:11
titles 4:5 30:3 32:4,9
  33:8,8 58:2 59:5,7,18
  86:3,4 126:20 129:1,2
  129:17,20 153:18
  183:22 276:17 277:9
  278:19 279:5 280:4
  280:10
today 7:15,16 8:14 10:3
  10:5 146:21 147:1
  203:2 219:13 237:6
  280:7,15 289:3
told 28:6 70:14 208:5
  219:5 226:5 238:4
tomorrow 159:5,6
tonight 180:22
tool 165:3
top 50:6 97:19 105:10
  106:2 107:8 125:2
  190:14 230:3 236:11
  271:22 280:12
total 6:4 132:9,10
  219:16 300:3
totally 140:22
touched 160:17 221:10
Tracee 233:2,9 234:7
  283:1,3,12 285:16
track 33:2 300:14,14
Train 93:8
transcript 5:3 55:17
  72:19 74:7 116:4,5
  117:4 131:9 132:11
  157:15,20
transcripts 87:2 303:11
  303:15
transmitted 214:1
traveling 38:14
Travis 195:18,20
trial 175:12 177:19,22
tried 87:6
```

```
troublesome 85:11
true 96:2.4 118:13
  121:10 136:6.13
  145:4 258:8
truly 160:19 226:15
truth 176:17.22 275:10
trv 78:5 175:20 183:8
  226:13 252:4
trving 22:21 39:5 51:12
  61:21 66:12 85:13
  86:18 129:12 151:1
  176:13 286:4 290:6
  305:14
Tuesday 175:1 183:4
turn 195:9 197:7,7
  208:9 209:3 234:9,18
  237:3,12 247:9
  260:17 262:5,10
  263:22 288:2
turned 23:16 223:12
Turning 215:19
turns 183:20
TV 127:4,5
two 15:16 27:17 29:20
  34:16 59:17 66:16
  69:17 71:2 78:13
  93:19 107:7 115:12
  116:2,3 117:16
  119:13 128:4,6,10
  137:5 148:8 159:22
  162:22 163:6 165:10
  165:12 170:10,14
  175:7 179:4 180:6,9
  182:5,12,21 190:12
  195:10 218:13 229:3
  229:5 242:14 258:15
  266:9 270:2,20 271:3
  271:17 275:16 277:14
  278:9,19 279:12,16
  281:1 292:9,17
two-hour 98:12
two-thirds 232:22
TX 128:7
type 31:13 89:6,8
typically 50:19 207:5
  230:10
typo 231:18,20,22
U.S 244:13
ultimately 218:15
 222:18 283:11
unambiguous 224:15
```

225:6 226:11

unclear 254:18

unambiguously 275:10

underlying 30:3 163:21 164:9 underneath 126:17 undersigned 244:10,16 244:18 258:2,9 understand 10:19 32:12.14 48:12 52:9 53:2 63:12 69:11 85:12 88:13 91:18 93:20 150:18 155:6 162:9 170:20 171:5 176:13 197:10.14.22 198:21 202:22 208:15 217:12,16 239:19 252:19,21 267:4 268:3,5 272:4,10,12 279:21 understanding 35:9 37:13 58:12,12,20 121:15 122:16 125:17 126:21 146:8 152:18 156:3,5,16 163:8 217:17 243:6 269:6 271:4 274:3 279:13 284:5 understands 59:1 107:1 understood 15:12 32:4 132:15,19 155:3 181:2 191:6 219:2 252:22 270:20 unfortunate 51:20 Unfortunately 70:14 United 286:8 295:15 University 5:6 142:19 143:3,17,18 144:20 145:1 unnecessary 102:19 unquote 225:10 unresolved 278:3 unterminate 225:10 update 20:9 updated 20:12,18 updating 20:14 upset 259:20,20 use 22:9 24:5 86:21 257:4 283:1

V 262:1,7 validity 50:22 75:10 validly 205:19 value 165:6 Van 197:11 198:16 199:4,13,17 variation 214:8

variety 102:13 123:2 127:4 185:10 208:17 various 13:4 22:8 53:4 77:15 111:20 116:22 125:17 181:19 257:14 vary 251:6 verified 295:19 verify 145:7 214:13 250:12 verifying 217:9 Vernon 287:15 288:8 Vernon's 229:2 288:12 288:17 289:4.11 290:22 291:17,22 292:7 293:11 Veronica 237:4 241:12 241:15 242:1,8,17 243:17 version 100:18 versions 263:5 versus 276:22 294:8 VICTORIA 2:10 video 95:13,14,15,15 95:16 138:17 videos 95:13 videotape 95:1 view 93:10 284:10 viewed 141:1 violation 20:16 285:5,9 285:11 virtue 143:16 295:10 vis 18:11,11 voir 112:10,13 127:13 Volume 1:13 volumes 303:22 voluminous 59:3 60:7 60:13,14 voluntarily 74:14 voluntary 76:8 volunteer 153:15 W

wait 72:16 149:6 223:12 235:8 261:2 302:14 waived 146:21 177:6 Wal@bri 190:15 Walt@bobross.com 216:10 248:10 want 58:6 65:3 66:15 68:17 84:3 89:17 90:1 91:11 95:15 104:10 116:18 159:11,18 176:12 178:4 180:18 191:2 211:15 243:14 247:11 251:3 254:18 wanted 9:5 29:8 35:13

38:21 87:20 97:15 110:13 138:19 192:10 223:20 226:6,8 231:15 240:19 246:7 247:3 Warren 4:11 67:15 149:18 Washington 1:2,17 2:11.15.22 wasn't 13:15 18:3,17 22:3 27:8 35:12 38:12 64:14 84:22 96:12 109:4 141:16 171:19 171:21 184:6 219:20 227:10 295:20 waste 172:22 watch 97:5,11 98:21 135:21 138:17 watched 135:19,20 way 16:20 21:5,21 24:4 34:1 64:20 65:13 86:4 86:19,20 93:22 141:12 155:9 193:8 227:22 232:22 246:21 256:9 285:17 286:1 301:12 ways 182:19 279:19 WCCI 53:2 64:17 WCCI's 3:20 53:5 we'll 7:20 28:21 87:2 142:4 148:21 157:4 186:22 193:11 219:7 222:21 223:11 229:17 230:11 261:2 300:10 300:21 303:4 305:2 we're 20:3 43:5 58:7,9 76:18 77:5,8 81:13 85:22 86:3 89:18 91:15 92:19 95:17 105:11,15,22 107:3 107:18,19 109:6 129:12 130:11,22 141:4,18 146:21 147:1 168:19,21 169:3 170:15 171:10 173:22 174:5 175:21 176:22 177:2 180:17 180:21 183:17 197:8 200:22 202:10 227:14 252:1 280:15 294:3 296:5 300:14 301:7

303:11 304:22 305:9

we've 7:9 19:1 23:7

36:3 40:21,22 44:3

50:21 55:17 66:19

305:13

72:11 75:2,8 99:15 111:13 121:14 129:14 136:7 139:8 201:9 202:19 220:15 221:14 224:19,22 225:18,19 226:4 231:21 247:1 251:14 257:8 281:22 282:11 286:20 295:8 wealth 127:5 web 13:11 123:9.21 138:12 140:7,9 webcasters 302:11 website 5:2 12:14,21 14:15 122:22 125:14 126:15 140:20,22 141:10,14 142:1 160:22 162:9,21 websites 122:21 week 9:19 13:14 27:21 28:6 38:5 84:17 276:16 weekend 13:17 42:2 weeks 37:16 203:20 302:14 weight 71:3 91:20,20 92:6,7 108:20 131:2 141:21.22 welcome 62:16 went 13:16 84:8,9 88:2 123:20 124:21 138:12 140:19 157:12 184:10 184:11,12 193:14 241:22 247:18 280:6 305:22 weren't 12:2 14:4 37:21 241:2 263:9,10 280:5 294:12 whatsoever 61:8 146:22 169:19 174:20 178:11 white 138:15 **WHITTLE 48:8** whoever's 301:4 Wide 123:9 wife 51:3 Wikipedia 5:6 142:19 144:6 Williams 81:14 94:20 Willie 5:5 56:13 79:15 79:17 80:4 87:22 95:14 96:7 134:6,22 135:1 140:14 141:6 160:5,10 197:12 198:17 199:5,18,20

200:1,6,16,18 280:19

281:8

willing 48:13 54:10,15 78:4 301:10 Wilson 5:5 56:13 79:16 81:3,3 87:22 91:1 94:5 95:14 96:2,8,18 98:16 134:7,22 135:1 137:14 140:14 141:6 160:6,8,8,10 197:12 198:17 199:5,18,20 200:1,6,16,18 280:19 281:8 Wilson's 79:18 80:4 95:8,9 96:7,16 99:3 Winford 4:8 64:16 Winthrop 2:10 wise 131:18 wish 87:16 191:18 287:3 wishes 303:2 withdraw 222:16 226:16 232:14 233:13 233:17 258:21 282:3 282:13,16 283:11 Withdrawal 5:16,17,18 5:19,21,22 withdrawing 233:9,18 233:21 234:1 282:19 282:20 283:15 284:9 284:10 286:18 withdrawn 190:11 283:17 withdrew 282:8 withheld 273:8 witness 3:2 7:22 8:1,3 44:5 54:3.7.19.21 57:14 58:5.10.16.18 61:10.22 62:9.16.20 62:21 66:4 67:18 68:12,16,22 69:13,14 70:1,11,12 75:1 77:13 81:15 83:11,13 85:10 91:16 114:21 123:5 123:20 124:14 126:8 150:14,19 152:14 153:17 154:13,19 165:4,19,22 166:10 167:3,6,20 176:19 180:19 183:15 184:22 189:19,21 190:4 193:21 198:8 199:19 200:9 209:5 223:12 293:19 witnesses 58:14 299:16 woman 105:16 Woodson 157:6 word 5:17,18 67:20

141:15 words 35:20 88:13 176:5 work 8:21 11:21 24:15 25:10,17,21,21 256:16 304:3 working 222:12 302:10 workload 305:10 works 12:3 41:1 51:5 124:18 127:4 143:3 279:16 World 123:9 Worldchanger 77:21 Worldwide 2:2 15:3,4,5 16:4 17:4 83:12 149:18 230:4 244:11 worldwidesg@aol.com 196:2 264:9 265:22 worth 108:10 138:11 144:8 would've 185:18 197:5 198:18,20 202:6 204:18 205:2,2 210:1 210:3 wouldn't 14:22 22:2 32:8 33:11 108:19 197:4 202:21 204:13 213:17 214:14,15 215:3,10 220:21 221:4,7 225:14 257:6 295:4 wrap 98:1 wrapped 137:2 write 225:22 271:14 writing 288:10 301:11 writings 60:14 written 3:18 4:1 27:1 29:19 48:21 49:10 56:8 64:21 67:14 68:9 68:9 69:3 84:20 120:22 121:6 140:6 146:6 147:5 149:4 163:21 164:9,10 173:5 217:22 218:6 246:13 303:3 305:1,3 wrong 43:16 80:21 86:6 172:13,18 194:14 209:2 223:1 253:1 wrote 105:16,17 WSG 101:17 102:22 104:13 110:21 115:15 133:17 206:20 209:21 217:11 218:6,18 220:21 249:13,15

273:7

year 8:22 119:21 182:3 182:6,9 185:16 196:12 204:16 210:2 210:4,7,9 211:13 212:2,6,6,15,19,22 213:4 214:7,12 215:9 215:10 222:4 225:20 225:21 230:12,17 232:6,6,7,19 246:15 251:6,6 254:12,15 256:18 257:3,4,4 261:15 262:6 274:21 275:16 281:14 282:9 287:9 289:9 296:15 297:18,19 years 15:16 16:6 19:22 26:22 45:6 71:1 98:10 119:4 152:6 181:17 181:21 182:13 192:16 196:13,16 206:6,17 211:1,4,6,18 212:11 213:8,10,12 226:2,3 231:21 235:15,15,18 237:20 244:14 249:21 249:22 250:4,4 253:19 254:1 261:10 271:2 272:3,3,7 273:12,22 274:1,5,8 274:11,17 294:22 295:1,21 296:4 0 **0327** 5:8 __1_ **1** 5:11 148:6 157:5 173:2 179:11 195:19 196:6,18 208:19 210:16 248:11 299:2 299:10,14 1/2 6:5 **1:08** 157:13 1:44 193:14 **1:52** 193:15 **10** 5:21 66:18 198:14,16 202:8 297:8 299:2,10 299:14 10,000 22:1 24:1 29:15 31:13 35:10

10:52 84:10 100 218:19 219:21 220:12 100-page 34:7 **1000** 5:13 **101** 1:17 18:14 28:15,18 28:18 37:22 40:5 41:22 102 4:12 1022 209:15 **103** 4:13 **105** 13:1,1,13 14:1,4,4 107 142:13 10786 2:5 109 4:14 11 148:6 158:16 162:10 **111** 4:15 **113** 4:16 **1146** 105:10,12 106:3 **115** 13:5 14:6,10 15:1 18:3,8 40:14 41:5 235:20,21 **1153** 106:11 107:7 **118** 4:17 11th 24:10 179:15 12 3:4 156:21 **12:01** 157:12 **1207** 108:3 122 4:21 28:20,21 43:8 43:19.21 78:11 1233 2:14 **124** 5:1 **125** 10:21 14:14.17 35:21 126 297:5,6,7 **127** 26:18,21 27:2 **127/193** 3:6 **129/297** 3:6 **131** 5:2 **133** 5:3 **134** 5:4 **1340** 157:21 **1345** 157:22 **137** 5:5 13th 116:9,11,21 117:20 149:21 14 162:19 222:13 231:21 **145** 5:6 **147** 5:7 149 5:8 14th 116:9 **15** 1:14 192:16 193:8 247:13 250:17 **151** 5:8 **153** 5:9

10:30 83:4

10:32 84:8

25 12:19 13:10 98:10 **51** 4:11 67:2,15 68:19 **154** 5:10 213:15,16 274:16 173:3 71:5.6 278:2 15th 149:21 516 40:6 **16** 187:15 2004-09 1:6 **257** 223:3 229:1 **2004-2009** 1:5 26 6:5,15 12:19 13:10 **5169** 40:7,17 41:4 16th 224:9 225:7 2004-9 5:11,14 181:5 **53** 3:20 187:8,9,13,14 226:21 245:17 190:3.14.18 208:7.9 **17** 179:10 **2005** 19:19 204:19,22 **27** 6:6,9,15 216:11 208:10,13,17 215:19 17th 192:3,7 205:2,5,7,8,10,12,15 **276** 3:7 215:20 222:1 248:5 **18** 162:21 231:8 205:22 211:1 213:4 27th 216:16 304:20 2006 182:3,6,13 209:15 **28** 209:15 210:12 **545** 118:4 1818 2:21 29 3:4 **55** 301:3 199 53:9 210:11.12 2007 210:13 211:12,22 299 5:11,12,14,15,16,17 **56** 3:21 4:1 **1999** 3:10 44:14 50:4 212:10,13,19 213:8 5:17,18,20,21 **57** 4:2 301:5 55:11 64:16 75:13 76:9 122:19 152:15 213:10,12 293:2 **5th** 116:10,12 117:22 _____3 197:3 231:19 232:19 294:1 2008 99:19,20 100:3,14 **3** 5:14 60:12 169:12 233:5,10 234:4 6 5:17 157:21 268:15 270:21 100:15,18,20 197:13 181:12 210:13 1999-2009 1:10,11 197:20 199:6,6 202:1 3.300 23:22 60 231:4 202:2 210:16 251:19 3:15 247:19 **601** 3:10 44:14,16,19,22 2 252:2,2 291:4,10 30 158:4 249:22 250:4 45:1 232:16,17,18,22 **2** 5:7,12 6:13 157:22 293:8 304:8 602 45:9 200:4 **2009** 5:13 152:15 **302** 197:8,16 289:14,15 **603** 3:11 45:3,10,12,16 153:17 182:9.13 **2:53** 247:18 **3057** 18:14 45:17 20 197:16,17 218:14 278:2.2 289:21 **3059** 18:15.15 604 3:11 45:19,21 46:3 249:21 250:3,21 290:15.16 **307** 194:2 195:10 2011 16:20 210:19 **2000** 4:1,17 34:3 39:19 **30th** 21:3.11 28:5 29:10 **605** 46:6.8 234:10.11 56:9,14 104:7 112:3,5 2012 113:7 188:20 30:6 37:6 171:14,17 606 3:12 46:13,16,18,19 113:9 114:10 146:6 230:5,7 297:18 177:3 179:17 46:20 47:2 148:4 157:20 246:2.5 **2012-6** 1:5 333 20:20,20,21 24:9 607 3:13 46:22 47:2,5,6 257:22 258:12 259:17 **2012-7** 1:9 26:7 234:18 235:9 2013 157:21 158:5 2000-2003 140:3 **351.10** 60:11 608 3:14 47:8,10,14,15 2000/2003 260:3,11,14 187:15 188:11,19 355-7900 2:22 609 3:15 47:17,20 48:1 2001 10:16 34:3 119:6 189:1,6,9,13 190:1 356 222:21,22 223:2,3 48:2 247:9,10 260:18 119:22 121:16 152:7 192:20 196:12,15 229:1,17,22 288:2 261:4,5,7 210:2,4 211:15,22 208:3,19 216:11 **610** 48:4,6 212:9,12,15 213:8,10 222:4,12 224:9 225:7 **357** 222:21 289:8 **611** 3:16 48:10,14,17,18 213:12 235:15 254:2 226:21 229:18 230:8 **360** 16:13,17 264:1,5 265:20 254:19 261:10,15 248:11 253:20 254:2 **612** 3:17 48:20,22 49:4 ____4 254:21 281:13 287:9 49:5 68:6,7,22 69:3 2002 34:3 119:6 121:12 289:9 296:15 297:19 **4** 5:15 169:10 198:14.15 **613** 3:18 49:7,16,17 121:17 133:9 211:15 **2014** 1:14 16:6 158:16 614 49:19,21 202:7 261:10 262:6 263:16 162:10,19,21 181:5 4:19 305:22 **615** 72:6,10,18,22 73:19 273:12,13 196:6,19 222:4,10 **40** 6:11,14 73:20 74:3,7,14 76:10 2003 4:2,17 34:3 39:19 224:2 226:22 245:15 **408-7600** 2:16 **616** 3:20 53:1,13,17,18 56:9,14 104:7 112:3,6 281:14 287:9 296:14 **45** 3:10,11 **617** 53:20 54:1 55:1,2 113:10 114:10 119:6 297:8,20 46 3:11,12 **618** 3:21 55:20 56:1,4,5 202 2:12,16,22 121:12,17 146:6 47 3:13,14 **619** 4:1 56:7,18,20 148:4 157:20 185:19 20th 2:14 **476** 125:2 **62** 4:4 83:19 99:13,15 **21** 12:19 13:10 157:22 203:19 246:2,5 **48** 3:16 99:18,19 101:1 259:17 261:10 263:17 210:19 **49** 3:15,17,18 **622** 4:2 56:22 57:5,7,8 **213** 2:6 **623** 57:10,12 273:13 **498** 127:1 **20036** 2:15,22 **22** 12:19 13:10 245:15 **624** 4:4 57:22 58:2 20037-1122 2:11 ____5 293:8 62:12,13,22 163:14 **2004** 19:19 149:21 22nd 303:12 305:7 170:16 184:8,10 **5** 1:13 5:16 172:7 153:17 185:18 203:19 23 230:22 **624-1996** 2:6 198:14,15 202:7 2300 2:11 204:16 205:11,11,15 **625** 4:5 63:1,3,7,8 173:3 **5,800** 23:22 24 12:19 13:10 211:1 212:6,22 173:3 179:7 50 211:21 301:2

П	
626 4:7 63:10,16,18 627 4:7 63:20 64:1,5,6 628 64:8,9 629 4:8 64:15,19 65:8 65:10 63 4:5,7 630 4:9 65:13,18,20 631 4:9 65:13,18,21 64 4:7,12 101:3,5,6,11 101:19 102:1,2 130:3 141:7 64984 158:4 64987 158:4 65 4:8,13 102:7 103:5 103:10,11,17,18 130:4 66 4:9,9,14 104:1,15 105:11,11 109:4,12 130:4 663-8000 2:12 67 4:15 109:17 111:1,4 111:5 68 4:16 111:10,18,19 112:9,16 113:20,22 69 169:1,10,22 184:5	82 4:20,20,21 5:4 133:8 133:19 134:1,4 83 3:6 4:20 67:2 79:13 79:15 82:5,7 87:21 98:8 134:6,8,15,16 84 5:5 134:10,12,14,16 135:11 137:18,22 85 138:6 139:5,12 142:4 157:15 158:11 161:7 161:21 162:6 86 169:2,11,22 87 4:20 67:2 82:10,11 82:13,14 142:13 88 4:21 67:3 82:16,17 82:19,20 89 5:6 142:12,13 144:3 144:3 145:14,17 8th 2:21
7	
7 5:17 6:11 7/28/2009 291:17 703 2:15 71 4:11,17,18 114:5,13 115:4 118:15,16 72 4:18 67:2 71:11,13 71:15,16 236:22 73 4:21 72:13 119:8 121:20 122:1,4 74 67:2 71:18,20 72:7 72:12 76:1 77:4 75 4:18 67:2 72:13 76:20,21 77:6,8,17 78:14,17,18,19,20 76 4:19 67:2 79:1,2,4,5 77 4:19 67:2 79:7,8,10 79:11 78 4:18 158:4 79 4:19,19 5:1 122:7,14 124:2,6,9 7th 192:6	
8 8 5:18	
80 5:2 124:12 125:5 131:1,2,6 219:16 220:2 81 5:3 131:9 132:17,19	
132:22 133:4	